#### Volume 14

Pages 2231 - 2428

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Richard Seeborg, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. ) NO. 3:20-CR-00249 RS

ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Friday, February 28, 2025

## TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

## **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiff:

PATRICK D. ROBBINS

ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

450 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: CHRISTIAAN HIGHSMITH

DAVID J. WARD MATTHEW CHOU

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For Defendant:

KING & SPALDING, LLP

50 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94111

BY: MICHAEL J. SHEPARD, ATTORNEY AT LAW

### (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: Ana Dub, RDR, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CRG, CCG

CSR No. 7445, Official United States Reporter

1	INDEX		
2			
3	Friday, February 28, 2025 - Volume 14		
4		PAGE	<u>vol.</u>
5	Government Rests	2295	14
6			
7	GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
8	QUINN, ETHAN (RECALLED)	2245	1.4
9	(PREVIOUSLY SWORN) Cross-Examination resumed by Mr. Stefan	2245 2245	
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Ward	2284	
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Stefan	2294	14
11			
12	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
13	TINKER, JEFFREY (SWORN)	2297	14
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Stefan	2297	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Highsmith	2318	
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Stefan	2325	14
16	Recross-Examination by Mr. Highsmith	2328	14
	DIGITAL VADI AMENDA		
17	RUZICKA, KARL STEVEN (SWORN)	2329	14
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Diamond	2329	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Chou	2360	
19	Redirect Examination by Ms. Diamond	2368	14
20			
21	FAHY, JOHN RICHARD	0250	1.4
22	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Stefan	2370 2370	
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ward	2370	
23	Redirect Examination by Mr. Stefan	2395	
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Ward	2397	14
24			
25			

1	INDEX								
2									
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.						
4	WEISSMAN, ARTHUR NORMAN (SWORN)	2398	14						
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Diamond Cross-Examination by Mr. Highsmith	2399 2417	14 14						
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Diamond	2422	14						
7									
8	EXHIBITS								
9	TRIAL EXHIBITS IDEN	<u>EVID</u>	VOL.						
10	560	2287	14						
11	1523	2252	14						
12	2277	2390	14						
13	2743	2411	14						
14	2744	2411	14						
15	3132	2346	14						
16	3133	2346	14						
17	3134	2346	14						
18	3135	2346	14						
19	3136	2346	14						
20	3137	2346	14						
21	3138	2346	14						
22	3139	2346	14						
23	3140	2346	14						
24	3141	2346	14						
25									

1			<u>I N</u>	D E X				
2			E X H	I B I	T S			
3	TRIAL E	EXHIBITS				IDEN	EVID	VOL.
4	3142						2346	14
5	3143						2346	14
6	3144						2346	14
7	3146						2346	14
8	3147						2346	14
9	3149						2337	14
10	3325						2306	14
11	3363						2416	14
12	3369						2412	14
13	3376						2414	14
14	3384						2303	14
15	3386						2355	14
16	3387						2353	14
17	3388						2358	14
18	3389						2358	14
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

PROCEEDINGS

# Friday - February 28, 2025

### 8:11 a.m.

2236

2

1

# PROCEEDINGS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

### ---000---

(Defendant present out of custody.)

(Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Remain seated. Please come to order.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Good morning, Your Honor. I've got a couple of issues.

Number one, it appears the defense is treading towards an advice-of-counsel defense, and we've received no notice of an advice-of-counsel defense. So I think that's precluded.

**THE COURT:** Okay. Do you want to respond to that?

MR. SHEPARD: We are not offering an advice-of-counsel defense. We are offering a defense of good faith. Mr. Andrade had a conversation with a lawyer. It informs his good faith.

THE COURT: I think that's correct. I mean, there's a difference between saying, "I did this because I'm relying on legal advice, " and, "I'm operating on the assumption that I'm not violating law."

I mean, the issue would be whether or not there's sort of the suggestion that you can rely on these lawyers, and that, I wouldn't let you do. If it's, these are one of many people that are consistent with his view that he's operating in good

```
faith, that's okay.
 1
              MR. SHEPARD: Yes. That's how we will argue it.
 2
              THE COURT: I mean, just because he's a lawyer doesn't
 3
     mean he's preclu- -- these witnesses, can't say, "Well, they're
 4
 5
     a lawyer; so, therefore, they can't testify." It's all in how
 6
     they ask the questions.
 7
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood. I just wanted to be very
     clear that he can't say, "I relied on my lawyer's advice.
 8
     That's why I lied."
 9
                         Correct. Well, yeah. I mean, he probably
10
              THE COURT:
11
     disagrees with your characterization.
12
          But you cannot --
              MR. SHEPARD: Slightly.
13
              THE COURT: You cannot say, "I did this because I got
14
15
     legal advice that said it was okay."
16
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              I want the --
              THE COURT: You can't do that.
17
                              Thank you, Your Honor. I want the
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
18
     contours to be clear.
19
          Second, a bunch of the evidence that's going to come in
20
     today, or try to come in today, is after the charged time
21
22
     period. It's far -- it's 2020, 2021.
23
          The Court made a ruling in motions in limine on --
     you know, the defendant's precluded from saying, "In the
24
```

future, my product is finished, " or, "In the future, I'm going

PROCEEDINGS

to repay everybody."

So we're going to be moving to exclude any evidence that's far after the charged time period. The defendant's trying to introduce evidence, saying, "Well, my product eventually was finished. I eventually was going to repay everybody." That falls within the Court's order on the motions in limine.

THE COURT: Well, your argument is, regardless of whether or not this thing would ever have worked, he made misrepresentations that it was almost done or done and that was a lie.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: That's as I understand your case.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm not sure what the relevance is later on, but I'm not sure why it's objectionable. I mean, what --

MR. HIGHSMITH: That's the issue.

THE COURT: Let's cut to the chase on this.

Are you going to be, Mr. Shepard, eliciting testimony about whether or not this thing ever got off the ground in 2020, or whenever it is?

MR. SHEPARD: Our focus here, again, is on our client's good faith that he was always trying to complete this and thinking he was about to complete this, and that's part of his good faith.

It is interesting to me to hear the Government say, "Oh,

```
it doesn't matter that he never completed the technology,"
 1
    because I lost count of the number of witnesses who were asked:
 2
    Did you ever, ever see --
 3
              THE COURT:
 4
                         True.
 5
              MR. SHEPARD: -- whether this technology was
 6
     completed?
              THE COURT:
                          Fair.
 7
          You know, I mean, your argument, it doesn't -- there is a
 8
    bit of a relevance issue, but it's not undercutting your
 9
     argument because I assume what you're going to say is it
10
11
     doesn't matter.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: It goes to the Court's relevance
12
13
     point, is that it confuses the jury. The issue is: During
     this time period, he made statements about the state of the
14
15
     technology that we say were not true, and they bring in
16
     statements that, "Oh, two years later, it was finished."
17
              THE COURT: You can point out it was two years later.
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                             Yes.
18
              THE COURT: I mean, Mr. Shepard is right that -- I
19
     mean, I may not think it was quite as many times as he thinks;
20
     but you did elicit testimony with some -- you, collectively --
21
     regularly that "You knew this thing never worked. This never"
22
     -- "You never saw this." So I think he's entitled to a little
23
```

MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood.

latitude on that.

24

The last thing is, we received some exhibits this morning at 5:30 a.m. that they intend to produce. We've never seen them before 5:30 this morning. We received some documents at 11:20 p.m. last night. I was asleep, thankfully. We received some at 11:00, some at 10:00 p.m., and that was a tremendous number of documents previously unproduced.

So, look, I get it's trial, I get things are scrambling, but that's sort of unprecedented.

MR. SHEPARD: We got a lot of this. We had a technical issue last night. We've been trying to upload exhibits to them to make it easier, rather than just send numbers, and we've been trying to upload exhibits to them.

I know Mr. Stefan had a great deal of difficulty last night trying to upload them, which delayed the delivery of several of them. He tried sending them by ZIP files. You know, he was trying all different sorts of things.

MR. HIGHSMITH: This stuff is not on an exhibit list. It's one thing if it's on an exhibit list. I totally get -- I totally get it. We're not complaining about this stuff. But it's 5:00 in the morning, 11:24 at night, 11:00 at night. That's the only issue. Not on the exhibit list.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you think should flow from this?

MR. HIGHSMITH: I mean, I also think they're not admissible.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well --

MR. HIGHSMITH: I think they're mostly hearsay.

THE COURT: -- that may be a different issue.

I mean, that doesn't really have to do with when it was produced.

But the bottom line is this: I was there. I understand the situation. I hope that -- the one thing I will count on everyone not to do is to play games with this stuff. some -- I'm confident that it's a logistical difficulty as opposed to a strategic effort to make life difficult for the other side, which I would be quite upset about.

You're going to have to -- I hear you.

I want -- now it's the defense side's time. Please do everything you can to get the stuff to them, please.

But I think this would be one of those things that if there is a particular document or particular documents that you say, "We've been sandbagged. We can't deal with this for whatever reason, " I'll think about it. If it's the general, "We got this at 11:30 and it's not nice," I hear you, but I don't think there's really that much I can do.

I'm not going to take the position that any paper that wasn't on the exhibit list is out of the case because I want to get to the merits of this thing. But if you can identify some specific thing that you said you've now been put in a position where you can't arque your case, I'll hear you; but, otherwise,

Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS Document 628 Filed 03/23/25 Page 12 of 198 2242 I'm just going to use the bully pulpit and ask you to please do 1 this, as best you can. 2 MR. SHEPARD: I appreciate that, Your Honor. I assure 3 you there's nothing strategic about it. 4 5 THE COURT: I understand. I accept that 6 representation. MR. SHEPARD: We're doing the best we can. 7 THE COURT: I understand. 8 MR. SHEPARD: And it's just a huge volume of material. 9 MR. HIGHSMITH: I would just make, on one -- we 10 11 received two documents this morning at 5:30. One is a declaration that was filed in a court case, and we can't file 12 up -- we can't follow up and research the docket of that court 13 case if we get something at 5:30 in the morning. We can't 14 15 explore that. 16 So I would move for that document to be excluded. 17 declaration from a witness. I think it's hearsay anyways, and I'll move to exclude it because it's hearsay. It's an 18

out-of-court statement, a declaration by an attorney who will be testifying today.

MR. SHEPARD: We're not offering that statement. are just trying to fulfill our obligation to provide any prior statement that we have. That's why we produced it.

> THE COURT: Okay.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.

```
Oh, we have one clip we're going to play on redirect.
 1
                                                                  The
     focus of yesterday's cross for Mr. Quinn -- for Special
 2
     Agent Quinn was the search and how it was very scary. So we're
 3
     going to play the first six minutes of the interview with
 4
     Mr. Andrade, showing that it was not scary and Mr. Andrade was
 5
     very happy to talk to Special Agent Quinn.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: I assume that Mr. Shepard won't mind.
          You wanted more of it played anyways --
 8
              MR. SHEPARD: Yeah.
 9
              THE COURT: -- so --
10
              MR. SHEPARD: We're fine with that. We had asked for
11
     the whole thing, so we won't have a problem with that.
12
13
     fact, maybe we'll do it ourselves if the Government is open to
     its admission.
14
                          All right.
15
              THE COURT:
16
          So how much more time, Mr. Stefan, on Mr. Quinn would you
17
     estimate?
              MR. STEFAN: Less than 30 minutes.
18
                          Okay.
                                 Then you'll do the --
19
              THE COURT:
                             We'll do the clip.
20
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
              THE COURT: -- redirect.
21
22
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Yeah.
23
              THE COURT: And then we'll do the sidebar, or it may
     be -- you probably, hopefully, won't have -- if he has half an
24
25
     hour, you'll have less than that.
```

1 MR. HIGHSMITH: Yes. THE COURT: So I don't think we'll be quite at the 2 break time. So we'll do a sidebar for the defense to make its 3 record, and then we'll proceed with, I quess, Mr. Tinker; 4 5 right? MR. SHEPARD: Yes, Mr. Tinker will be next; and 6 thankfully, we've gotten them his exhibits quite a while ago by 7 the standards of this case. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And let's be careful with the 9 It's going to be a -- I unders- -- it's easier said 10 lawyer. 11 than done when we do have lawyer witnesses, so let's be careful. At least give an opportunity to object and stuff 12 on -- if we're getting close to the legal advice issue. 13 14 Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 MR. HIGHSMITH: 16 (Recess taken at 8:23 a.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 8:33 a.m.) 17 (Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury.) 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Are you ready for the jury? 19 THE COURT: I think so. Yes. 20 (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury.) 21 22 THE COURT: The jury is present. 23 Good morning, members of the jury. Happy Friday. Special Agent Quinn, you understand you remain under oath? 24 THE WITNESS: 25 I do.

Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS Document 628 Filed 03/23/25 Page 15 of 198 2245 QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN 1 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Stefan? 2 ETHAN QUINN, 3 called as a witness for the Government, having been previously 4 5 duly sworn, testified further as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION (resumed) 6 BY MR. STEFAN: 7 Good morning. 8 Q. Good morning. 9 Α. Yesterday when we left off, you had testified that 10 11 Mr. Andrade had offered to provide you source code for the -well, for the AML BitCoin and CrossVerify projects; is that 12 13 correct? He did. 14 Α. I want to turn back to the search that you 15 16 conducted of -- well, where you were at least present for, for 17 Mr. Andrade's home in March of 2020. Okay. 18 Α. MR. STEFAN: With respect to that search, 19 the Government -- pardon me -- the defense would move to admit

what's been marked by the Government as Exhibit 1523, an audio

portion you're planning to play? Have you discussed it with

MR. WARD: Your Honor, we'll play this on redirect.

THE COURT: Well, is there an understanding of what

recording of the search of Mr. Andrade's residence.

20

21

22

23

24

```
QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN
```

```
the other side?
 1
              MR. WARD: We provided it to the defense. It's the
 2
     first five and a half minutes of the recording.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, if they want to play it now, they
 4
 5
     can do it.
          So, you may.
 6
 7
              MR. STEFAN:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
          Could we get --
 8
              THE COURT: Wait, wait.
 9
              MR. WARD: One moment, Your Honor.
10
11
          We have copies of the transcripts of the recording, so...
              THE COURT: With this new section?
12
              MR. WARD: With this new section.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. So I assume, Mr. Stefan, that's
14
15
     fine with you.
16
              MR. STEFAN: That is fine with me, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Very good. You can pass it out.
17
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
18
              THE COURT: Mr. Ward, do you happen to have one I
19
     could have?
20
              MR. WARD: Yes, I do.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
22
23
                    (Document handed up to the Court.)
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I need to -- I switch it
24
     otherwise.
25
```

(Pause in proceedings.) 1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can show it to the jury? 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MR. STEFAN: Could we please tee up 1523. 4 Ι 5 appreciate it. Thank you, ma'am. 6 Good? (Audio was played but not reported.) 7 MR. STEFAN: Can you please pause publication at this 8 point. 9 So, Agent Quinn, you're tracking that we're probably about 10 three minutes into this recording or thereabouts? 11 Thereabouts. 12 Α. This document at the beginning of the conversation to the 13 Q. three-minute mark, or thereabouts, of a 38-minute conversation? 14 That seems about right. 15 Α. 16 And within the first three minutes, Mr. Andrade had spoken to you about his desire to speak with his attorney? 17 18 Α. He asked if he could call his attorney. He did not say he wanted to speak with his attorney. He asked if he was able to 19 call, which we said yes. 20 Right. First, that you would have to seize his phone? 21 Q. That's correct. 22 Α. And there would have to be some other means that he would 23 call his attorney? 24 Correct. We would have to get another phone, which is 25 Α.

ultimately what we did, or he was free to leave and get a neighbor's phone. He was free to -- he asked if he could. We said, yes, he could, but we would have to seize his phone as part of the search warrant.

(Stenographer interrupts for clarification of the record.)

THE WITNESS: He asked if he could, and we said he could and he was free to leave, and, you know, he could get a neighbor's phone if he wanted to. He wasn't restricted from action of calling his attorney.

### BY MR. STEFAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. Understood. And as you indicated within the recording to
- 12 Mr. Andrade, you weren't keeping him there? You didn't tell
- 13 | him you were keeping him there; right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. And you did tell him that "Oh, you can call your attorney,
- 16 | just not with your phone"; right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Regardless, you didn't stop the conversation at that point
- 19 and say, "Oh, go ahead and talk to your attorney"?
- 20 A. We did not.
- 21 Q. Later on, when Agent Wynar stepped in at around the
- 22 38-minute mark and overheard the conversation between you and
- 23 Mr. Andrade, that's exactly what he did; right? He shut the
- 24 | conversation down?
- 25 **A.** He said -- he said, "Yes, you" -- I believe, if I'm

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

- remember correctly, he said, "Marcus, you're asking to talk to 1
- an attorney. Why don't you talk to an attorney." 2
- Well, specifically, he said, "Marcus, stop talking"? 3 Q.
- That's correct. 4 Α.
- 5 And that was just based on his overhearing of the Q.
- conversation that was happening between you and Mr. Andrade; 6
- correct? 7
- Yes. 8 Α.
- Q. Okay. 9
- MR. WARD: Objection to the context of why he said 10
- 11 that.
- THE COURT: That's also a little late. Overruled. 12
- 13 Keep going.
- BY MR. STEFAN: 14
- And, of course, to actually contact his attorney, he would 15
- 16 need the attorney's telephone number; right?
- 17 That's correct. Α.
- And you had just taken his phone? 18
- Yes, as part of the search warrant. 19 Α.
- So he would have to rely on you or another member of his 20
- team in order to actually get his contact information for his 21
- attorney; right? 22
- 23 Or he could leave the -- leave the house. He could get a
- phone book. He could go to a neighbor. Again, he was free to 24
- 25 do what he was. He wasn't under any restriction. The easiest

- thing was for him to use one of our phones, which is ultimately 1 what we did. 2
- And you instructed him that he was free to leave, as you 3 indicated; right? 4
- 5 Α. Correct.
- But his wife was there in the house with him; correct? 6
- Correct. She was also free to leave if he wanted to. 7 Α.
- His children were there in the house with him? 8 Q.
- Correct. We had set aside a room for them to try to be as 9 Α. comfortable as possible. It's an uncomfortable situation to 10
- have law enforcement there. 11
- Now, you hadn't set aside the room for them before 12
- 13 securing the house; correct? When you secure the house, you
- just secure the house? 14
- That's correct. 15 Α.
- So when you entered the house, they weren't in some 16
- secluded room; right? 17
- 18 Well, when I entered the house, they had been set aside Α.
- on -- I believe on a couch by the IRS --19
- When the --20 Q.
- -- at that point. 21 Α.
- When the agents who were actually initiating the securing 22
- of the house entered the house, they hadn't already been 23
- secluded elsewhere? 24
- 25 Α. That's correct.

- 1 Q. That couldn't have been something arranged in advance?
- 2 **A.** Yes. They were still in the home, yes.
- 3 | Q. So when the agents came into the home with weapons drawn
- 4 and were clearing from room to room, the children and wife were
- 5 | there in the house with the agents?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. And there were some -- at this point, when you're talking
- 8 to Mr. Andrade, there's some 11 IRS and FBI agents in the
- 9 house; right?
- 10 **A.** I believe it's approximately that number.
- 11 | Q. In addition to whatever number of civilian Sheriff's
- 12 | Office individuals you had present?
- 13 **A.** I believe, by that point, there wouldn't have been any
- 14 | local law enforcement there.
- 15 Q. Okay. If the recording said otherwise, if there in the
- 16 recording, you and Mr. Andrade have a conversation about how
- 17 | there's local law enforcement present, that would obviously
- 18 | mean that there was, in fact, local law enforcement present?
- 19 A. Correct. I just don't have a recollection of it at this
- 20 | point. But typically, local law enforcement will leave once
- 21 | we've secured the home. But there may still have been one
- 22 | there. I just don't recall.
- 23 Q. The conversation continued for another 35 minutes after
- 24 | this point; right?
- 25 **A.** That's correct.

```
QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN
          In much the same fashion that it was proceeding at this
 1
     Q.
     point except in a more, as we discussed yesterday,
 2
     confrontational manner with respect to your questions of him?
 3
          Correct.
 4
     Α.
          And, I mean, throughout the interview, throughout the
 5
     Q.
     portions that the Government played for us yesterday, based on
 6
 7
     the noise you could hear in the background, you understand that
     Mr. Andrade's children and wife were present with him there in
 8
     the house?
 9
          That's correct.
10
     Α.
11
          In close enough proximity that we could, you know, hear
     them -- hear his children making a ruckus, crying, making
12
     noise?
13
          I believe for at least part of the interview it was.
14
     I believe at some point they were moved to another room, but I
15
16
     don't have a specific recollection of that.
              MR. STEFAN:
                           Okay. We can go ahead and continue
17
     publication of this exhibit, please.
18
                   (Audio was played but not reported.)
19
              THE COURT:
                          Just so it's clear, that exhibit -- and
20
     that's 1523; is that right?
21
                           Correct, Your Honor.
22
              MR. STEFAN:
```

1523 is admitted.

(Trial Exhibit 1523 received in evidence.)

THE COURT:

23

24

25

///

## QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

### BY MR. STEFAN:

- 2 **Q.** Thereafter, there's another 35 minutes of conversation?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 | Q. And apart from that clip, in addition to the ones that
- 5 | the Government played yesterday, there's additional
- 6 | conversation, of course, that hasn't been played for the jury?
- 7 **A.** That's correct.
- 8 Q. I want to talk about some of the -- well, I first want to
- 9 talk about some of the other evidence that you seized in
- 10 | searches or that your fellow agents seized.
- 11 You said that you weren't present for the search of the
- 12 Las Vegas office; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. I just want to -- I want to clarify. Yesterday you spoke
- 15 to how a property owner would be informed that a search had
- 16 been performed on like the office in question here.
- 17 Can you describe exactly what was done in this instance?
- 18 **A.** In the NAC Foundation search?
- 19 **Q.** Yes.
- 20 | A. I could not. I wasn't present.
- 21 Q. Okay. Normal procedure, then, would be that notification
- 22 | is made to, you said, the property owner?
- 23 A. The possessor. It may not be the property owner. It
- 24 | could be someone renting an apartment. It would be the
- 25 | leaseholder, whoever lives there or controls that.

- 1 Q. So say my apartment is searched and I'm not home.
- 2 Yeah. Α.
- And I come home. My apartment's been searched. How would 3 Q.
- I know that my apartment's been searched? 4
- It would be -- it would be a receipt that would be left 5 Α.
- there, along with a copy of the search warrant. 6
- 7 It'd just be, like, on a counter or --Q.
- It would typic- --8 Α.
- -- desk or something like that? 9 Q.
- It would typically be somewhere visible, you know, dinner 10 Α.
- 11 table, whatever makes the most sense. It wouldn't be hidden
- away somewhere. 12
- 13 If I didn't come home for, you know, a month and see it,
- then I wouldn't know that my apartment had been searched? 14
- I mean, we're engaged in a pretty hypothetical situation. 15 Α.
- We often call people during search warrants. It's pretty 16
- unusual for us to just come in, leave something, and go out, 17
- but it really depends on the facts of the case. 18
- So that could easily transpire? 19
- I wouldn't say easily. I'd say that's probably a pretty 20
- rare situation, but it would kind of be up -- if the person 21
- doesn't come to the property, then, yes, they might not be 22
- aware of it for a while. 23
- Are you aware that in this instance in the Las Vegas 24
- 25 search, the Office Squad staff who were there in the Las Vegas

office were instructed not to inform Mr. Andrade regarding the execution of the search warrant on the office?

- I wasn't present for it, so I don't -- I wasn't privy to that conversation. It's possible per our conversation yesterday, but I actually don't have firsthand knowledge of whether the Office Squad was instructed one way or another.
- Possible as in that would be consistent with standard Q. procedures, that the individuals other than the owner would be instructed -- with knowledge of the search would be instructed to refrain from speaking to the possessor of the search?
- 11 I wouldn't necessarily say it's standard procedure. Ιt may be depending on what the facts of the case are. 12

You know, very frequently, you know, neighbors might witness us doing a search warrant. We wouldn't instruct them one way or the other about talking to someone. It's very fact dependent.

- Certainly conceivable in this instance that that Q. instruction could have been given then?
- 19 Α. I suppose so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- MR. STEFAN: Can we display Exhibit 3357.
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Just for the --
- MR. STEFAN: Oh, yes, for the judge and counsel and 22 23 Mr. Quinn, Agent Quinn.
- I actually have a physical version of this I'm providing 24 25 to Agent Quinn.

- 1 Q. Agent Quinn, do you recognize what I just gave you?
- 2 **A.** The binder? Which tab would you like me to open it to?
- 3 Q. How about the first page. I think you need to flip all of
- 4 those pages over.
- 5 A. Oh, I see.
- 6 **Q.** Yeah.
- 7 A. This coin purchaser agreement for Hicham Chahine? Is that 8 what you're looking for?
- 9 MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, may I assist the witness?
- 10 **THE COURT:** Sure.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Oh, I see. You meant the cover.
- 12 BY MR. STEFAN:
- 13 Q. Yes. Apparently, the cover is actually the first page --
- 14 A. All right.
- 15 Q. -- as it appears in the exhibit.
- 16 Okay. Can you look down at the bottom right corner?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Below the Exhibit 3357 number, what's the information
- 19 | that's stamped there in the bottom right-hand corner?
- 20 **A.** You're talking about the one on the image or the binder?
- 21 Because the binder doesn't have an exhibit number on it.
- 22 **Q.** I apologize. The one on the image.
- 23 **A.** I'm sorry. Could you repeat where you wanted me to look?
- 24 **Q.** The bottom right-hand corner.
- 25 **A.** Okay.

- Q. What's the information reflected there?
- 2 **A.** It appears to be a Bates stamp number.
- 3 | Q. And who would have applied this Bates stamp number?
- 4 A. I -- potentially, the U.S. Attorney's Office. I'm
- 5 actually not sure who put this particular Bates stamp number on
- 6 | it.

- 7 Q. Does this do something to indicate that this was a
- 8 | document seized by the Government?
- 9 A. Yes. The one in the front where it says "1B85," that
- 10 | would typically be an evidence number applied by the FBI to
- 11 designate something in our file system.
- 12 | Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the evidence in
- 13 | this case?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 | Q. And specifically to this binder -- I know there was a lot
- 16 of evidence -- do you have any recollection of reviewing this
- 17 | binder during the course of the case?
- 18 | A. This binder? I don't have a particular recollection of
- 19 reviewing this binder.
- 20 \ Q. Given the Bates stamp number that's applied there in the
- 21 corner, can you be confident that the exhibit represented here
- 22 on the screen is an exhibit that was -- or a document that was
- 23 | seized by the FBI?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 MR. STEFAN: The Government moves to admit it. Or

```
2258
QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN
```

In a previous life. The defense moves to admit it. 1 pardon me.

The Government objects. MR. WARD: There's no business records foundation. It's hearsay. The witness has said that he doesn't specifically recollect looking at this binder, and we haven't seen it either.

> Sustained. THE COURT:

MR. STEFAN: Okay. Your Honor, may I retrieve the binder?

> THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STEFAN: I want to display for Mr. Quinn 10

Exhibit 2743. 11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Agent Quinn, in the course of your investigation, did you 12 interact with Benjamin Boyer? 13
- Α. I did. 14
- And you interacted with him fairly extensively; correct? 15
- 16 Α. Depends on "extensively," but I talked to him several
- 17 times.
- In the course of your investigation, Mr. Boyer provided 18 you and the other investigators documents; correct? 19
- That's correct. 20 Α.
- And among those documents was the company agreement that 21 Q.
- he signed with Block Bits AML Holdings, the special purchase 22
- vehicle through which he obtained AML BitCoins; is that 23
- correct? 24
- 25 I believe so, yes. Α.

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

2259

1 Q. Can you take a look at Exhibit 2743 there on your screen?

A. Yes.

- 3 | Q. And tell me whether you recognize the document.
- 4 **A.** I believe so. I don't have a specific recollection of it,
- 5 | but I recall seeing something like this.
- 6 Q. And does the Bates stamp down in the bottom right corner
- 7 | indicate that you-all received this from Benjamin Boyer?
- 8 A. I believe so.
- 9 Q. And can we take a look at Exhibit 2744.
- 10 Do you recognize this to be the signature page for the
- 11 | Block Bits AML Holdings company agreement that I was referring
- 12 to?
- 13 **A.** That's what it appears to be.
- 14 Q. And you recognize the exhibit stamp there in the corner
- 15 | indicating it was received by the FBI?
- 16 A. That's -- I believe that Bates stamp number was applied by
- 17 Mr. Boyer's lawyers, but that's the best I can recall.
- 18 MR. STEFAN: Defense moves to admit.
- 19 MR. WARD: The Government objects. Lack of
- 20 | foundation. It's hearsay. And I also think this is running up
- 21 against *Lindsay* that we discussed yesterday at sidebar.
- 22 THE COURT: What's the basis for admitting it through
- 23 | this witness? All he can testify to is, okay, it was retrieved
- 24 at some point. What are you offering -- what's the basis for
- 25 | this? What's the exception to the hearsay rule that applies to

SIDEBAR

```
2260
```

```
this document?
 1
              MR. STEFAN: Well, it's a contract, Your Honor, and --
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
 3
              MR. STEFAN: -- we're not admitting the contract for
 4
 5
     the truth of any of its contents, but just for --
              THE COURT: What are you admitting it for?
 6
 7
              MR. STEFAN: For its existence, for the fact that it
     was signed.
 8
                         What's the relevant fact?
 9
              THE COURT:
              MR. STEFAN: The information within the contract, what
10
11
     the contract reflects regarding the arrangement between Mr. --
                          Sustained. Sustained. It's not coming
12
              THE COURT:
13
     in.
              MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, may we request a sidebar?
14
              THE COURT: All right.
15
16
          (The following proceedings were heard at the sidebar:)
              MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, understanding maybe
17
     the Government seems to think that we have an authenticity
18
     issue, despite the fact that this is a document that the FBI
19
     specifically received --
20
              THE COURT: They didn't object on authenticity
21
     grounds.
22
23
              MR. STEFAN: Okay. Well, then on the hearsay issue --
24
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
25
              MR. STEFAN: -- it's a contract. It doesn't --
```

STDEBAR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You keep saying these -- that's fine. THE COURT: Then you have to have a reason why it's -- you're sort of saying, "Well, it's not hearsay because it's a contract." Fine. Let me assume I accept that. Then what are you doing? MR. STEFAN: The terms of the contract reflect an arrangement between Block Bits Capital and Mr. Benjamin Boyer which contravenes in many ways the terms and conditions that were set out by Mr. Andrade's NAC Foundation and that reflect an arrangement between Boyer and Block Bits AML Holdings which is different than the one that would have existed between Boyer and Mr. Andrade had he signed that contract. THE COURT: Mr. Boyer was on the stand. Why didn't you go through it with him? This witness, all he can say is "We got it." MR. SHEPARD: And as far as I understand the law, that's all we need to get it admitted. I'm happy to submit something to the Court. Contracts, offers, they are not hearsay. So we have now --They still have to be relevant. THE COURT: MR. SHEPARD: Yes. And --THE COURT: And usually, you would need a witness to establish the relevance. You don't just get up and say, we seized these 25 documents, and you have some reason to say they

shouldn't be hearsay, so admit them all.

I mean, that's what you guys are doing.

```
MR. SHEPARD: First of all, the Government just spent
 1
     their direct examination --
 2
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 3
              MR. SHEPARD: -- with -- by introducing, I lost count
 4
 5
     of how many documents this witness --
 6
              THE COURT: Did you object to any of them?
              MR. SHEPARD: -- seized.
 7
          No, because the law --
 8
              THE COURT: Therefore, they all came in.
 9
              MR. SHEPARD: The law says we're entitled to -- they
10
     are entitled to admit those, and so are we. And --
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
12
13
              MR. SHEPARD: And, for example, the post-ICO binder,
     the binder that Mr. Stefan showed shortly before we got to this
14
15
     exhibit, the pre-ICO binder --
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MR. SHEPARD: -- was introduced by the Government same
17
18
     way.
              THE COURT: Over no objection and it came in.
19
              MR. SHEPARD: Because it is -- and I'll submit to
20
     the Court some legal authority on this. Contracts are not
21
22
     hearsay. They --
              THE COURT: I don't dis- --
23
              MR. SHEPARD: -- are verbal; they are events.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
                                So you get over the hearsay problem.
```

24

25

contract.

1 MR. SHEPARD: Yes. We're over --THE COURT: But then you don't -- then it seems to be 2 you think, if you get over the hearsay problem, everything 3 comes in. It doesn't come in. It has to be linked up. 4 5 witness has to be telling us why it's relevant and all of that. There's a sloppiness to the offering of these materials on 6 7 your side, frankly, that is making it very hard for me to follow because you just kind of get up and say "Here," and I 8 don't get a thoughtful going through, "Okay, it's not hearsay 9 because of this reason and it's relevant because of this 10 11 reason." I'm not getting any of that. I'm getting "Here it is, " and it's not helping me to try to understand. 12 Now, this document -- frankly, I'm going to just admit 13 this so we can move it along. I mean, I'm getting tired of 14 15 this but --16 MR. STEFAN: Well --THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 MR. STEFAN: And if I may? 18 Let me hear from Mr. Ward. 19 THE COURT: MR. WARD: We renew our objection. There's no 20 foundation for the document. It is -- they are offering it for 21 22 the truth of the matter. They're offering it for what it says

in the document as to what Ben Boyer believed about the

him about it. Agent Quinn doesn't know anything about the

Ben Boyer was on the stand. They could have asked

document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's also -- again, I'm worried that they're wandering into this Lindsay area where they're going to pick out different provisions in a boilerplate contract to arque.

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, we --

**THE COURT:** You've already introduced -- and I think Mr. Ward has a point, but you've already introduced the documents that say this is not an investment; this is whatever. You've already got that into evidence. So what --

MR. SHEPARD: Your Honor, this is the opposite of This is Mr. Dillman selling something that is an investment, and that's the contrast. That's why we think Dillman is not really a co-conspirator.

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, you are right. We should have done this through Boyer. However, Boyer testified to this exact issue.

> THE COURT: All right.

MR. STEFAN: He testified that there were terms within his Block Bits AML Holdings company agreement which contrasted -- you can check the transcript -- which contrasted with the terms that we presented to him in the terms and conditions for AML BitCoin and the purchase agreements for AML BitCoin. And so he -- that contrast was laid out for him in his testimony. Remiss that I didn't introduce it at that time.

```
Well, I'm going to -- I'll let this one
 1
              THE COURT:
     come in.
 2
          But I don't agree with you, for future reference, just
 3
     labeling it a contract doesn't mean it's not being offered for
 4
 5
     the truth of the matter asserted. It may be a contract, but
     that doesn't mean, oh, there are no hearsay problems anymore
 6
 7
     because it's a contract. I don't agree with that.
          So --
 8
              MR. SHEPARD: Okay. I will submit the Court some
 9
     authority on that. We've authenticated it.
10
11
              THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let this one come in.
              MR. SHEPARD: I'm now also going back to the pre-ICO
12
13
    binder, the one before that.
          If I may, I will submit the Court authority. Contracts,
14
     offers, they're not hearsay; they're events. And --
15
16
              THE COURT: Events. Yeah, that's where I'm having
17
     trouble.
              MR. SHEPARD: Okay. I'll submit some authority.
18
              THE COURT: You may have some authority that in a
19
     particular case says, "Okay. This isn't hearsay. It comes in
20
     for whatever." But there's not going to be authority that
21
     says: If you label it a contract, you're not -- automatically,
22
23
     it becomes some sort of a judicial fact that is not being
     offered for the truth. It doesn't matter what the label is.
24
```

But I'll read whatever you give me.

1 MR. SHEPARD: I appreciate that. THE COURT: So I will admit this over their strenuous 2 objection, but be careful, because I agree with the Government 3 that you cannot make the argument that -- because it gets into 4 5 this Lindsay problem -- that everybody, the victims, it's their fault; they knew they weren't doing an investment here. 6 not going to let you go down that path. 7 MR. STEFAN: That's not -- we're not trying to go down 8 that path at all. That wasn't the path we took with Mr. Boyer 9 The path we took with Mr. Boyer was contrasting the 10 either. 11 agreement he made in one place with the agreement that existed. 12 THE COURT: Okay. It's a distinction without a difference. 13 MR. WARD: He's asking -- he's seeking to get this in to say Mr. Boyer 14 15 should have read the contract --16 MR. STEFAN: No. MR. SHEPARD: No. 17

MR. WARD: -- more closely or should have read the AML Bitcoin --

MR. SHEPARD: No.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARD: -- contract more closely --

(Simultaneous speaking; stenographer interrupts for clarification of the record.)

MR. WARD: -- should have read the boilerplate in these contracts more clearly as an excuse for overlooking the

```
misrepresentation.
 1
              THE COURT: What are you offering it for? You're
 2
     saying that's not what you're offering it for.
 3
 4
              MR. SHEPARD: Right.
 5
              THE COURT: What are you offering it for?
              MR. SHEPARD: We're offering it to contrast
 6
    Mr. Dillman from Mr. Andrade. We're offering it to contrast
 7
     what Mr. Dillman was selling from what Mr. Andrade is selling,
 8
     which is part of: We don't think they're part of the same
 9
     conspiracy.
10
11
              THE COURT: Well --
              MR. SHEPARD: That's part of our defense, and
12
     contrasting these two documents is part of it.
13
              THE COURT: But that's nothing to say that what
14
15
    Mr., quote/unquote, Andrade was selling was not -- you can't
16
     say: Mr. Andrade was selling to his purchasers something that
17
     is plainly not an investment. They should know better. I
18
     mean, you can't go there.
              MR. SHEPARD: We're not --
19
              MR. WARD: Your Honor, can I make a --
20
              MR. SHEPARD: We're not saying that. This is
21
22
     contrasting Dillman --
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. SHEPARD: -- from Andrade --
24
25
              THE COURT: I'll let you do it.
```

MR. SHEPARD: -- to address the conspiracy. 1 MR. WARD: Can I make a suggestion? That you admit it 2 conditionally, not allow Mr. Stefan to question Agent Quinn 3 about it because he doesn't know. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, Agent Quinn doesn't know anything about it. 6 MR. WARD: He doesn't know anything about it. 7 And we can admit it conditionally, and then we can brief 8 the issue and make a determination of whether it should come in 9 and the scope of their ability to make this argument in a more 10 reasoned fashion. 11 THE COURT: All right. I'll conditionally 12 admit it. 13 MR. SHEPARD: And I would ask the same be done for the 14 15 pre-I -- the post-ICO binder, that --MR. WARD: I haven't even seen --16 MR. SHEPARD: -- it's the same --17 MR. WARD: We don't even know what's in it. 18 THE COURT: Well --19 MR. STEFAN: You know what's in it. You seized it. 20 MR. WARD: Come on. 21 THE COURT: Conditionally admitting it is -- it's 22 We'll do both of those so the record -- I mean, I'm not 23 going to -- in front of the jury, I don't think they need to 24 hear all this. 25

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

2269

MR. SHEPARD: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These are conditionally admitted, and the THE COURT: parties will brief the issue, and then we'll move on because Agent Quinn doesn't know anything about this.

> MR. SHEPARD: Correct. Thank you.

MR. WARD: Thank you, Your Honor.

(The following proceedings were heard in open court:)

THE COURT: Thank you.

Members of the jury, I think so far, relative to many trials I've sat through, we haven't had that many sidebars. Actually, some trials can be quite frustrating to the jury because we're going to the side all the time. The lawyers have been very good in this case limiting that. But once in a while, we have an issue that we really need to hash out, and that was one of those times.

But thank you very much for your patience.

Mr. Stefan, you may proceed.

### BY MR. STEFAN:

Thanks for your patience, Agent Quinn.

I want to talk about some of the exhibits that were introduced through you by the Government.

MR. STEFAN: Let's start with Exhibit 560. Go ahead and just zoom in on the text that appears. So, like the entire block below the email line at the top, below the date. entire email, please.

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

Q. Agent Quinn, in looking over this document, I'm looking at paragraph 6 below the line "Workers." Do you see that?

A. I do.

3

4

8

Q. The text says [as read]:

"Have them find a total of 10 articles per week pertaining to AML and KYC of exchanges and digital currencies."

Correct?

- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. It doesn't say anything about AML BitCoin in particular.
- 11 It just says "AML and KYC of exchanges of digital currencies"
- 12 generally; correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And they're to send 15 per week and then select the
- 15 | 10 best to post; right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Nothing in that language about selecting articles specific
- 18 | to AML BitCoin?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. And then paragraph 7, if you look below, in paragraph 7
- 21 the instruction is that they're going to post a couple of
- 22 | times -- four times per day on social media and then they're
- 23 going to respond to each other's posts; right?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 \ Q. There's nothing in that paragraph at all that addresses

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

2271

posts specific to AML BitCoin; right? 1

That's correct. Α.

- Or hyping AML BitCoin? 3 Q.
- That's correct. 4 Α.
- 5 In fact, looking through this email, there's not a single Q.
- place where it appears that the individuals being tasked are 6
- told to hype AML BitCoin? 7
- I do not see that in this email. 8
- These instructions that are being given is to post more 9 Q.
- general information about AML/KYC compliance issues on 10
- 11 exchanges and digital currencies; yes?
- Well, that, and asking for additional -- asking -- Marcus 12
- directing her to -- or saying he's going to explain more to 13
- So presumably, there's additional instructions outside 14
- this email. 15
- 16 And outside the scope of your individual knowledge?
- Beyond what potentially we've learned from interviews of, 17 Α.
- you know, individuals who worked at the NAC Foundation or on 18
- the AML BitCoin project. 19
- You don't know the substance of the conversation, if it 20
- occurred, between Mr. Andrade and this individual? 21
- Α. That's correct. I would not have been present for that. 22
- 23 Can we show Exhibit 598, please. MR. STEFAN:
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Just to the witness? 24
- 25 MR. STEFAN: This has been published, so...

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay.

- MR. WARD: Exhibit 560 has not been admitted.
- 3 MR. STEFAN: I said 598.
- 4 MR. WARD: Oh, 598.
- 5 MR. STEFAN: Can we turn to the second page.
- Q. You discussed this exhibit previously with Government
- 7 | counsel; correct?

- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 | Q. Who is Thomas Kane to you?
- 10 A. My understanding, simply from public information, is he is
- 11 a private wealth manager.
- 12 Q. Beyond being a private wealth manager, are you familiar
- 13 | with any relationship that he had with Mr. Andrade?
- 14 A. Beyond the subject -- beyond this email, no.
- 15 | Q. Did you know that he was a mentor in the American
- 16 | Mentorship Program?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. Did you interview him at all to figure out in what
- 19 | capacity he actually individually dealt with Mr. Andrade?
- 20 A. I did not.
- 21 Q. And you did not know, then, that he served as mentor,
- 22 under the American Mentorship Program, to Mr. Andrade?
- 23 A. I did not.
- 24 Q. Can we turn to Exhibit 765.
- 25 Mr. Quinn, you recognize this, of course?

I do. Α.

- So this is the template email which began a string of 2
- emails that Japheth Dillman sent thereafter to different 3
- individuals that you identified in your testimony? 4
- 5 That's correct. Α.
- With respect to this template email, you did not identify 6
- 7 anywhere in the evidence any response from Mr. Andrade to this
- email? 8
- That's correct. Α. 9
- And with respect to the subsequent emails that were sent 10
- 11 to the individuals you identified in your direct examination
- that were based on this template, you never identified any 12
- responses from Mr. Andrade to those individuals either; 13
- correct? 14
- Α. That's correct. 15
- 16 Or to Mr. Dillman's email to those individuals?
- Correct. 17 Α.
- 18 And, in fact, you don't have any responses from those
- individuals to Mr. Andrade or to Mr. Dillman either? 19
- I would have to review the emails to see if any exist. 20 Ι
- don't recall one today. 21
- 22 You don't recall any such responses? Q.
- 23 There may have been some. I don't recall any, sitting
- 24 here today.
- 25 You don't recall such responses, then? Q.

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

- 1 A. I believe I answered the question. Yes.
- 2 | Q. With respect to the bcc line, you see that Mr. Abramoff is
- 3 listed there?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 \ Q. And you understand, of course, that blind carbon copy
- 6 | indicates that Mr. Andrade, as a recipient of that email,
- 7 | wouldn't have seen Mr. Abramoff bcc'd?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. All of these messages --
- 10 MR. STEFAN: And we can advance to 76 -- pardon me --
- 11 776.
- 12 Q. And just take a look at the date on the email,
- 13 | 25 September 2017. You see that?
- 14 **A.** I do.
- 15 Q. All of the messages that were sent by Mr. Dillman were all
- 16 | sent on this day, 25 September 2017; correct?
- 17 **A.** I believe all the ones we admitted, correct.
- 18 | Q. You didn't identify anywhere in the evidence the same
- 19 | emails or emails following that template that were sent on
- 20 different days; correct?
- 21 A. Mr. Dillman sent a lot of emails, and I believe most of
- 22 | them are around this time period. I don't know if they were
- 23 | all sent on 25 September or if some may have been sent later.
- 24 | I just don't recall at this point. But there were a
- 25 | significant number of these emails.

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

2275

Q. Each of the ones that the Government has introduced through you yesterday were all sent on this day?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- 4 Q. You have no specific recollection of any emails following
- 5 | that template in 765 being sent on any other days?
- A. There may have been. I don't have a specific recollection of it.
- Q. These emails are to you, from an evidentiary standpoint, of some significance; is that correct?
- 10 **A.** They were, yes. That's why we identified them.
- 11 Q. You identified them. And any other emails that followed
- 12 that same template, you would have done your absolute best to
- 13 | identify?

- 14 A. Yes. We seized a number of emails from Mr. Dillman.
- 15 Q. But my specific question is that with respect to any
- 16 | emails that followed the template that's provided in 765, you
- 17 | would have ensured to have collected those emails in
- 18 particular?
- 19 **A.** To the best of my ability, yes.
- 20 Q. You have no specific recollection, after exercising the
- 21 best of your ability, to collecting any emails like this on any
- 22 other days except 25 September 2017?
- 23 THE COURT: You've kind of covered this.
- You can answer that, but let's move on.
- THE WITNESS: I seized these emails a number of years

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

1 ago, and I believe there's literally thousands of emails of

- 2 Mr. Dillman's for both his scheme and this scheme that we
- 3 | seized. So I just don't have enough instant recollection of
- 4 every date of every email I seized, sitting here today in 2025.
- 5 BY MR. STEFAN:
- 6 Q. All right. Clearly, you have no knowledge or
- 7 | understanding of what Mr. Andrade was specifically doing that
- 8 | particular day?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you have no specific confirmation that Mr. Andrade
- 11 | actually read these emails?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 **Q.** There's no read receipts on them, say?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. You testified --
- 16 MR. STEFAN: We can take down this exhibit.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 Q. You conducted a search of Mr. Andrade's office in Houston
- 19 the same day as the search of his home; correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 **Q.** In March of 2020?
- 22 | A. Correct.
- 23 Q. In the process of searching that office, you would have
- 24 | seized a complaint that Mr. Andrade filed against you and
- 25 Agent Wynar; is that correct?

- 1 A. You know, I don't remember if we seized that at his -- the search of his office. I don't recall.
  - Q. You have learned of that at another time, then?
- 4 **A.** Yes. I believe that was sent to us by another witness.
  - Q. And specifically, Mr. Andrade had provided a complaint against you and Agent Wynar for misconduct?
- 7 MR. WARD: Objection. Hearsay, relevance, and 403.
  - THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 9 Go ahead.

5

6

- 10 **THE WITNESS:** Sorry.
- 11 THE COURT: Answer the question.
- 12 **THE WITNESS:** Could you restate the question? I
- 13 apologize.
- 14 BY MR. STEFAN:
- 15 Q. Mr. Andrade had filed a complaint against you and
- 16 Mr. Wynar with respect to your conduct in the case?
- 17 A. I believe so. I believe he also filed a complaint against
- 18 | Bryant Wong, the IRS agent.
- 19 Q. And you're aware, of course, of that complaint? It
- 20 | happened during the course of your investigation; right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 **Q.** Before the indictment?
- 23 A. I don't recall if it was before the indictment, but it
- 24 seems likely.
- 25 **Q.** You became aware of this, certainly, prior to the

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

2278

indictment in June of 2020? 1

- Potentially. I don't recall specifically when it 2 Α. occurred, but that seems likely. 3
- After reviewing that complaint -- which I assume you did 4 5 thoroughly; correct?
- I don't know how thorough I was with it, but I did read 6 Α. it. 7
- I mean, these were statements of Mr. Andrade; correct? 8 Q.
- We read through it, but it wasn't that meaningful 9 Α.
- for our investigation. Beyond it, of course, if there's 10
- 11 allegations of misconduct against an FBI agent, the appropriate
- authorities would investigate that: OIG, Attorney General, 12
- 13 whomever. So, read it, but it wasn't as relevant to our
- investigation. 14
- And speaking to its relevance, did you take any kind of 15
- 16 investigative action with respect to the issues that
- Mr. Andrade raised in his complaint to you? 17
- I don't -- I mean, his complaint wasn't to me. 18 Α.
- regarding me. I don't recall what the issues were he raised in 19
- the complaint. 20
- So you don't recall doing any follow-up investigation 21 Q.
- regarding whether Jack Abramoff had threatened Mr. Andrade that 22
- 23 he would get the Government involved against him?
- Well, I believe Mr. Andrade mentioned that when he called 24
- 25 me one time in -- what? I forget the exact time he called. Ι

believe August of 2018. But the -- but we certainly were 1 running out allegations of misconduct by Mr. Abramoff. He was 2 one of our subjects of this case. So, certainly, if he was 3 threatening someone, that would be of interest to us. 4

You investigated the claim that Mr. Andrade had been Q. threatened by Jack Abramoff with Government action; correct?

MR. WARD: Objection. Hearsay, lack of foundation, and relevance.

> THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. STEFAN: May I have a moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause in proceedings.)

### BY MR. STEFAN:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Agent Quinn, you testified on direct examination that the 14 pre-ICO purchasers did not know that they were purchasing 15 16 tokens from Mr. Andrade personally.
- I believe so potentially. I don't recall specifically the 17 Α. question, though. 18
  - This was in response to a question from Mr. Ward regarding your knowledge of that, what the pre-ICO purchasers' impressions were of who they were obtaining AML BitCoins from.
    - Yeah. I think we could potentially read back the Α. transcript for my exact answer. I want to make sure I'm answering the proper question or affirming the proper response.
    - I mean, do you recall testifying --Q.

2280 QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

Yeah. Α.

- -- that the pre-ICO purchasers were under the impression 2
- that they were not buying AML BitCoins personally from 3
- Mr. Andrade? 4
- 5 I'm not sure I answered that exact question, or maybe I'm Α.
- misremembering. I don't know if I could go into the minds of 6
- 7 every ICO purchaser. That's why I'd like to hear the question
- as asked before I affirm my answer. 8
- Is your understanding, then, that the pre-ICO Okay. 9 Q.
- purchasers were not -- did not understand or did not believe 10
- 11 that they were purchasing tokens directly from Mr. Andrade?
- MR. WARD: Your Honor, I'm going to object to what the 12
- purchasers' -- his opinion as to what the purchasers' 13
- understanding of these contracts were. 14
- THE COURT: Sustained. 15
- 16 MR. STEFAN: Can we please display Exhibit 3170 at
- 17 page 45.
- 18 Yes, it was admitted.
- And please zoom in on subparagraph C on this exhibit. 19
- first C, the big letter C. 20
- Do you see that text there, Agent Quinn? 21 Q.
- Α. I do. 22
- 23 MR. WARD: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this
- line of questioning. 24
- THE COURT: Based on? 25

QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN

Based on its relevance and based on our MR. WARD: discussion of Lindsay.

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, I understand that Agent Quinn doesn't recall apparently testifying on direct examination that the AML BitCoin pre-ICO purchasers didn't understand that they were buying directly from Mr. Andrade. That is what the Government understands -- or, pardon me -- the defense understands his testimony to have been. So it addresses his prior testimony.

Moreover, this is evidence that's already been admitted.

THE COURT: Well, I'll let you ask a question.

This witness does not know what people who were buying these tokens had in mind. He's not the witness for that. don't ask questions to him about what was in the mind of purchasers because he doesn't know.

So form -- you've got -- this is an exhibit in evidence; that's fine. Now, what is your question about this?

### BY MR. STEFAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The representation made in the pre-ICO purchase agreements from Mr. Andrade to purchasers was that Mr. Andrade could make coins available from his own accounts to provide to purchasers; is that correct?
- I'm sorry. Could you restate that question? Α.
- The representation made in the purchase agreements for pre-ICO buyers was that Mr. Andrade was selling these tokens

```
from his own personal supplies?
 1
              MR. WARD: Objection. Again, lack of foundation.
 2
     don't know that --
 3
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 4
 5
          This is not the witness to ask this question. He doesn't
     have knowledge of this subject. So, sustained.
 6
              MR. STEFAN: Understood.
 7
          You can take down that exhibit.
 8
          May I have one moment, Your Honor?
 9
              THE COURT:
10
                          Yes.
11
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
     BY MR. STEFAN:
12
          Okay. One last area to address, Agent Quinn. Before --
13
     or in the same month that you searched Mr. Andrade's Las Vegas
14
15
     office, you also conducted a search warrant at the home of
16
     Jack Abramoff; is that correct?
                I believe it was on the same day.
          Yes.
17
     Α.
          And when you initially reported to Mr. Abramoff's home and
18
     had conversation with him, you and Agent Wynar indicated to him
19
     that you were there regarding a Paul Erickson; is that correct?
20
          That's correct.
21
     Α.
          That's an individual for whom the agency was running a
22
     separate investigation; right?
23
```

Relevance.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure where it's going, but

MR. WARD: Objection.

24

2283 QUINN - CROSS / STEFAN 1 you may answer that question. THE WITNESS: Well, Mr. Erickson was both a subject of 2 a separate fraud investigation, as well as other things by the 3 FBI. 4 5 BY MR. STEFAN: 6 Q. So he was the subject of a separate investigation, fraud investigation? 7 Correct. Α. 8 And other matters? 9 Q. Correct. 10 Α. And when you reported to Mr. Abramoff's home to ultimately 11 serve the search warrant and perform the search of his house, 12 that was the basis that you provided to him initially to get a 13 conversation going? 14 Correct. We were interested in his answers as well, but 15 16 for -- regarding Mr. Erickson, but that was the initial 17 approach. 18 And this had been inspired in part by a search that you had conducted of Mr. Erickson's girlfriend about two months 19 prior in July of 2018; correct? 20 Where are you going with this? Where is 21 THE COURT:

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, on this occasion, Agent Quinn

and the FBI seized documents related directly to AML BitCoin

this going?

from Maria Butina's apartment --

22

23

24

1 THE COURT: So?

MR. STEFAN: -- and failed to deliver those to 2

prosecutors.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE COURT: These --

MR. WARD: Objection. Relevance.

Sustained. Sustained. THE COURT: This is not an

area -- you know this is not an area to go into.

Next question.

MR. STEFAN: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. WARD: 12
- 13 0. Good morning.
- Good morning. 14 Α.
- 15 Mr. Stefan asked you about the complaint that Mr. Andrade
- 16 filed against you and maybe other agents at the FBI.
- 17 Are you aware of other complaints Mr. Andrade filed
- against people that crossed his path? 18
- I believe Mr. Andrade will -- he files lawsuits; he 19
- makes complaints. It's common. 20
- 21 Did you -- did you determine that he had filed lawsuits Q.
- against purchasers of AML BitCoin? 22
- He did. 23 Α.
- And did he file lawsuits against his -- some of his former 24
- employees? 25

QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

```
1 A. He has.
```

- 2 \ Q. And did he file lawsuits against people who posted
- 3 | negative comments about him?
- 4 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Leading.
- 5 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 6 BY MR. WARD:
- 7 Q. Did he file --
- 8 MR. STEFAN: Hearsay.
- 9 BY MR. WARD:
- 10 Q. To your knowledge, did he file lawsuits against people who
- 11 posted negative comments about AML BitCoin?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Did he file --
- 14 MR. STEFAN: Objection.
- 15 BY MR. WARD:
- 16 Q. Did he file a --
- 17 MR. STEFAN: Hearsay.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. WARD:
- 20 Q. Sorry. Did he file an opposition to the seizure of his
- 21 property?
- 22 **A.** He did.
- 23 Q. Did it surprise you that he filed a lawsuit against you
- 24 after the nice things he said to you when you were interviewing
- 25 | him and searching his house?

```
A. Well, I don't believe --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. STEFAN: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. Yes. Yes. Sustained.

MR. WARD: Can we bring up Exhibit 765.

A JUROR: Can you speak up?

- MR. WARD: Yes, I'm sorry about that. I'm just going to bring the mic closer.
- Q. Mr. Stefan asked you a number of questions about whether you'd identified any responses to Mr. -- from Mr. Andrade to this email.
- 11 Mr. Andrade's cc'd on this email?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Did you ever find any objections to -- from Mr. Andrade,
- 14 | saying that he was currently on a whirlwind tour between
- 15 | Central America and Europe literally speaking with presidents
- 16 | and prime ministers?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. And did you see any objection to the phrase "There are
- 19 literally billions of dollars in deals that are currently being
- 20 negotiated"?
- 21 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Foundation.
- MR. WARD: I'm asking if he saw any responses or any
- 23 | objections in the emails he searched.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
- 25 MR. CHOU: Did you publish it?

Yeah, I think this is --1 MR. WARD:

- Again, did Mr. Andrade object -- in the review of the 2 Q.
- emails and the documents that you seized and searched, did you 3
- ever see Mr. Andrade objecting to any of the statements in 4
- these? 5
- I did not. 6 Α.
- In all of the subsequent documents that were admitted that 7 Q.
- used this template, was Mr. Andrade cc'd on all of those? 8
- 9 Α. He was.
- MR. WARD: Can we turn to Exhibit 560, please. 10
- Mr. Stefan asked you a number of questions about this 11
- document, but it wasn't admitted. So at this point, 12
- the Government would move to admit Exhibit 560. 13
- THE COURT: 560 will be admitted. 14
- (Trial Exhibit 560 received in evidence.) 15
- BY MR. WARD: 16
- 17 Agent Quinn, this is an email from Mr. Andrade to Melissa Q.
- Foteh. Do you see that? 18
- Α. I do. 19
- And do you see where he writes [as read]: 20
- "Create 10 different Yahoo! email accounts" and 21
- (do not share the passwords) "? 22
- I do. 23 Α.
- Do you know what he's referring to there? 24
- I believe these are the email accounts associated with 25 Α.

2288 QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD 1 the -- I guess we called it, like, sock puppet accounts that 2 were to post. And --Q. 3 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Speculation. 4 5 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. WARD: 6 Remind us what the term "sock puppet accounts" refers to. 7 Q. In -- my understanding of it is that it is someone posting 8 on a social media or Internet account purporting to be someone 9 but is, in fact, controlled by someone else. So like a fake 10 11 person or a fake poster. And then, again, when he says, "Create 10 different 12 BitcoinTalk accounts and 10 different Skype accounts, " is that 13 also in reference to the sock puppet? 14 I believe so. 15 Α. 16 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Speculation. THE COURT: Overruled. 17 BY MR. WARD: 18 Looking at Number 7, Mr. Andrade writes [as read]: 19 "They should post a total of 4 times per day on 20 all social media. The first two posts should be them 21 posting the articles. The second two posts should be 22

them responding to the post of a co-worker.

Is that referencing posting on online forums like

to explain this to you."

23

24

# QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

1 | BitcoinTalk and others?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Mr. Stefan asked you a number of questions about
- 4 the search of the NAC Foundation office in Las Vegas. When did
- 5 | that search occur?
- 6 **A.** That was on the same day as Mr. Abramoff, which I believe
- 7 | was September of 2018.
- 8 Q. In September of 2018, was that an active office being used
- 9 by the NAC Foundation?
- 10 A. It was rented by the NAC Foundation, but I don't believe
- 11 anyone worked there except for some of the Office Squad folks
- 12 | who maintained it.
- 13 Q. The folks that worked there, they were working for
- 14 Mr. Andrade?
- 15 **A.** Correct, among other clients, I believe.
- 16 Q. And there were documents related to the NAC Foundation --
- 17 | were there documents from the NAC Foundation in those offices?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And were those documents seized by the FBI?
- 20 **A.** They were.
- 21 Q. And was there any specific instruction to keep this search
- 22 | secret from Mr. Andrade?
- 23 A. There was no instruction from us as the case agents. And
- 24 I wasn't present for the interactions between the Office Squad.
- 25 And may have been, but there may not have been. I don't

QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

1 recall.

- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that weeks or months or years would have gone by and Mr. Andrade wouldn't have understood there was a search of an office that he was using?
  - MR. STEFAN: Objection. Foundation.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
- 7 BY MR. WARD:
- 8 Q. Mr. Stefan asked you about the source code for the
- 9 AML BitCoin. Did the FBI and the grand jury subpoena
- 10 | specifically request that Mr. Andrade and his company produce
- 11 | the source code for AML BitCoin?
- 12 **A.** We did.
- 13 | Q. Did you have discussions with Mr. Andrade about the
- 14 | production of that source code?
- 15 **A.** We did.
- 16 | Q. Did you -- did he tell you that he would produce the
- 17 | source code to you?
- 18 **A.** He did.
- 19 Q. And did you ever, during the investigation, receive that
- 20 | source code?
- 21 A. I have not seen any source code.
- 22 Q. Mr. Stefan asked you yesterday about agents wearing
- 23 bulletproof vests during the search. Is it FBI protocol that
- 24 agents wear bulletproof vests when conducting a search?
- 25 A. Correct, during the initial entry and the securing of the

QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

2291

1 location.

- 2 Q. Why is that?
- 3 A. For personal safety in case -- I mean, these are stressful
- 4 | times when we do search warrants. So you have to wear it for
- 5 | personal safety. In this particular case, I was not wearing
- 6 one because I came in after the area was secured.
- 7 Q. Is one of the concerns the FBI has is it that there may be
- 8 weapons in the property?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And this is a stressful time?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- 12 Q. In this search, did the FBI agents find weapons in
- 13 Mr. Andrade's house?
- 14 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Relevance, 403.
- 15 THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?
- 16 MR. WARD: The relevance is that Mr. Stefan asked
- 17 | questions about why the agents were wearing protective gear.
- 18 THE COURT: He's answered that question. So...
- 19 MR. WARD: Well, I think part of the reason as to why
- 20 they were doing it is relevant as to whether there were weapons
- 21 in Mr. Andrade's house.
- 22 THE COURT: Whether or not there were weapons found,
- 23 | your question goes to why they approached the search in the way
- 24 | they did. You've gotten that answer. What was found is not
- 25 relevant. So, sustained.

QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

BY MR. WARD:

- 2 Q. Did the agents do a check to determine whether any weapons
- 3 | were registered to Mr. Andrade before they went into that
- 4 search?

1

- 5 A. We did.
- 6 Q. And what did they find?
  - **A.** I believe there were registered weapons.
- 8 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Same objection.
- 9 THE COURT: No. It's a different issue. He's
- 10 talking -- no. Overruled.
- Go ahead. You can answer that question.
- 12 BY MR. WARD:
- 13 **Q.** What did they find when they checked whether Mr. Andrade
- 14 owned weapons?
- 15 **A.** I believe there were weapons, though I don't have the
- 16 | specific recollection of which weapons or anything like that.
- 17 | Q. Well, the question was: Did they -- was there a -- did
- 18 | they believe that there could be weapons in the house?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Speculation.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 22 BY MR. WARD:
- 23 **Q.** And did they find weapons in the house?
- 24 THE COURT: You've already asked that question, and I
- 25 | sustained that objection.

## QUINN - REDIRECT / WARD

MR. WARD: Withdrawn.

- 2 Q. Agent Quinn, you heard the first seven minutes of your
- 3 | interaction with Mr. Andrade. During that interaction, did
- 4 Mr. Andrade say that you're down to earth and not
- 5 | disrespectful?
- 6 A. He did.

- 7 | Q. And did you tell him, "You can call your attorney. You're
- 8 | free to do what you want"?
- 9 **A.** I did.
- 10 Q. And did you tell him again, "You can make a telephone
- 11 | call, " and things like that. "You can leave if you want"?
- 12 **A.** I did.
- 13 Q. And did you then say, "We have to do our search warrant,
- 14 | but you can leave"?
- 15 **A.** I did.
- 16 Q. Was there any time that Mr. Andrade and his family were
- 17 | ever not free to leave?
- 18 A. At no point, no.
- 19 Q. And was there ever -- was Mr. Andrade ever prevented from
- 20 using his wife's phone or his landline or somebody else's phone
- 21 to call his attorney?
- 22 **A.** I believe -- I don't specifically know about his wife's
- 23 | phone, but I don't believe we were seizing that phone, so he
- 24 | could have used that.
- 25 \ Q. And after all of this, did Mr. Andrade continue to

2294 **STEFAN** QUINN - RECROSS /

voluntarily talk to you? 1

> That's correct. Α.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- After he called his attorney, did he continue to talk to 3 you for some time after he called his attorney? 4
  - He attempted to talk to me several times, and I told him we had to sit there quietly.
    - MR. WARD: One moment.

(Pause in proceedings.)

Thank you, Agent Quinn. MR. WARD:

MR. STEFAN: May I, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

#### RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. STEFAN: 13
- Agent Quinn, Mr. Andrade offered you the source code prior 14 to the subpoena being served upon him for the source code; 15
- 16 correct?
- He did not. He said he would potentially offer and he 17 Α.
- would give us a log-in for his GitHub account. At no point did 18
- I receive that log-in. He sent an email saying that about 19
- 9:00 p.m. at night after he called me. 20
- At 7:00 in the morning the following day, he sent an email 21 saying he had spoken to his attorneys and he would go through 22
- 23 the attorneys, which I was fine with.
- So I don't believe he ever actually provided us a log-in 24
- 25 for the GitHub. So he said he would provide it, but I don't

2295 QUINN - RECROSS /

```
believe an offer was actually truly made.
1
```

- At the time that he sent you the email, which we reviewed 2 Q.
- yesterday, you didn't send him the share link to provide the 3
- source code? 4
- I actually did send him a link from our Dropbox -- or 5
- Dropbox equivalent, called Teleporter for him to upload 6
- information to it, which he did not do. 7
- This is information you didn't relay to us yesterday 8
- during your direct examination? 9
- I mean, you didn't ask a question about that. 10 Α.
- Or the cross-examination? 11 Q.
- I'm sorry. What's the question? 12 Α.
- 13 MR. STEFAN: I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: You may step down. 14
- (Witness excused.) 15
- 16 MR. HIGHSMITH: The United States rests, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Very well.
- We will take our break, members of the jury, first break. 18
- Remember my admonitions. Do not discuss this amongst 19
- yourselves or with anyone else. 20
- And we'll come back at about five after. 21
- (Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury.) 22
- 23 THE COURT: We are out of the presence of the jury.
- 24 Mr. Shepard?
- 25 MR. SHEPARD: I move, pursuant to Rule 29 --Yes.

```
Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS
                            Document 628 Filed 03/23/25 Page 66 of 198
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Wait.
                                 You need to get closer to the
     microphone.
 2
              MR. SHEPARD: I move, pursuant to Rule 29, to dismiss
 3
     the indictment, direct a verdict, everything under Rule 29 that
 4
 5
     I can request.
          And my understanding is the Court's going to reserve
 6
 7
     ruling.
              If you want me to spend some time arguing, I can, but
     it seems like we might all be better off having a break.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          I am going to reserve under 29(b). If you
 9
     want to -- well, you can either submit something written, if
10
11
     you want, or I'm expecting you will probably renew your motion
     and you can do it in that -- however you want to do it, is fine
12
     with me.
13
              MR. SHEPARD: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
14
15
     Thank you.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MR. SHEPARD:
                            Thank you.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
18
                        (Recess taken at 9:51 a.m.)
19
20
```

(Proceedings resumed at 10:07 a.m.)

(Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury.)

**THE COURTROOM DEPUTY:** Are we ready?

THE COURT: Okay.

21

22

23

24

25

(Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury.)

The jury is present. THE COURT:

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN Move from that side? 1 MR. STEFAN: The defense calls Mr. Jeffrey Tinker. 2 THE COURT: And, members of the jury, just so it's 3 clear to you, the Government has now rested and the defense is 4 5 presenting evidence. 6 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. 7 JEFFREY TINKER, called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 THE WITNESS: I do. 10 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. Can you state your name and spell your last name, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Jeffrey Tinker, T-i-n-k-e-r. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. STEFAN: 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Tinker. Good morning. 17 Α. I want to start by giving a little bit of your background. 18 What do you do for a living, Mr. Tinker? 19 I'm an attorney. 20 Α.

And how long have you been practicing?

So I am in-house counsel at a software company, and I am

Where do you practice currently?

of counsel at my former law firm.

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

17 years.

- What was your former law firm?
- 2 Bell Nunnally. Α.
- And what's your specialty? 3 Q.
- I'm a patent attorney. So I do all sorts of intellectual 4
- property, copyrights, trademarks. I say "patent attorney" 5
- because you have to take a separate bar exam to be able to be a 6
- 7 patent attorney. So I'm a lawyer, a patent attorney, IP
- attorney. 8

1

Q.

- Do you have a background in a technical field? 9 Q.
- So to be a patent attorney, you have to have an 10
- 11 engineering degree. My undergrad was at Texas A&M University
- in electrical engineering. 12
- How long have you been doing patent-specific work? 13 0.
- that your entire career? 14
- My entire career. I started out in 2007 as a patent 15
- 16 attorney. I was actually a patent agent for two years while I
- was in law school, if that matters but... 17
- What's a patent agent? 18 Q.
- It's basically a patent attorney, but you can only 19
- practice in front of the Patent and Trademark Office. So if 20
- you have an engineering degree, you don't have to go to law 21
- school. You can just take the Patent Bar and write patents. 22
- 23 So a patent attorney would be an attorney that is also a patent
- 24 agent.
- 25 THE COURT: Can the jury hear? You had raised your

2299 TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

hand. 1 A JUROR: I can hear. 2 THE COURT: You can hear? 3 A JUROR: Up a little bit for you, but I think I can 4 5 hear. THE WITNESS: I can talk louder. 6 You can talk louder. 7 A JUROR: BY MR. STEFAN: 8 9 Do you know Mr. Marcus Andrade? Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And how did you come to know Mr. Andrade? So it was five, six, probably seven years ago I changed 12 law firms, moved from Winstead over to Bell Nunnally. And one 13 of the lawyers there was a former -- I think former FTC lawyer, 14 15 and he specialized in white-collar criminal defense work. 16 so I think however Marcus and him met, he -- Marcus started 17 using him as a -- as a -- as his attorney. And he said, "Hey, I've got this patent portfolio. 18 you-all have -- does this law firm have a patent attorney?" 19 And he said, "Yeah, of course we do." 20 And so that's how we got connected. That's what I recall. 21 I just -- I just know I started at a new firm and, shortly 22 thereafter, they said, "Hey, we've got this big patent 23 portfolio. Can you help manage it?" And that -- and it came 24 25 with a large trademark portfolio also.

- 1 Q. Do you recall when about that occurred, when about you met
- 2 Mr. Andrade?

- **A.** It would have been in 2019 midyear.
- 4 Q. And can you describe the patent portfolio that Mr. Andrade
- 5 brought to you?
- 6 A. Behemoth. Is that accurate or descriptive? It was
- 7 | massive, would be the way to describe it. Hundreds, hundreds
- 8 of applications to keep track of.
- The former law firm that was handling it, when it got sent
- 10 over, it was an Excel spreadsheet with tons of lines on it
- 11 because he had -- not only did he have a large -- I say
- 12 | "large" -- probably ten U.S. patent applications and patents he
- 13 | had filed, still part of the same family but in multiple
- 14 different countries. And when I say "multiple," it's like 60.
- 15 There was countries on this list that I had never even heard
- 16 of, if that makes -- makes it -- help understand the scope of
- 17 | it.
- 18 And so my job was to coordinate with the foreign counsel.
- 19 | So I can't file a patent in a foreign country, but -- so we
- 20 have law firms in each different country. And then my job was
- 21 to coordinate with them to get those patents issued.
- 22 Q. Mr. Tinker --
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. -- appreciate the background.
- 25 So that was your role with respect to the patents. How

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

about the trademarks?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. So he had a number -- had filed for a number of trademarks. I'd say 20 or 30. And that's a large number of trademarks. You know, 2- or 3,000 dollars each, it adds up.

All sorts of aspects of AML BitCoin, CrossVerify, identity, letter of credit. Anything you could think of that was a catchphrase that related to what he was doing, which was biometrics on a blockchain, he had filed for.

So a lot of them went abandoned. He wasn't using a lot of them. But for, I'll say, five or six, we ultimately got the trademarks registered for him.

- Q. What's essentially the purpose of a trademark?
- A. So the definition is source identifier. So you're wearing
  a Gap shirt. That's the source. I know that shirt came from
  Gap. No one else is allowed to say, "This is a Gap shirt"
- except for Gap. So that would be the purpose of a trademark.
- 17 Q. You indicated Mr. Andrade had filed for about how many of these you can recall?
- 19 A. I'm -- I mean, this was six or seven years ago now, but it
- 20 was -- it was, like, 20 or 30. It could have been more than
- 21 that. I know, ultimately, the number that we got registered
- 22 was much smaller than that; but when we first started on it, it
- 23 was a big number.
- 24 **Q.** Were there costs associated with each filing?
- 25 **A.** Yeah. Oh, yeah. So the filing fee at the Trademark

```
Office is around $300 per application, and then there's some
1
    other fees down the road when it's ready to issue, but then
2
    attorney time to draft the application and get it filed.
3
    just -- rough number, $2,000 per trademark.
4
5
```

MR. STEFAN: Can we display Exhibit 3384 to just counsel, the judge, and Mr. Tinker.

And go ahead and scroll to the next page.

And next.

Next.

6

7

8

9

10

11

Next.

Next.

12 And last page.

- 13 Mr. Tinker, do you recognize what I just showed you?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- How do you recognize it? 15
- 16 These are trademark registrations. It's the official,
- 17 formal -- I don't know the right word, but it's what the
- 18 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, when the trademark
- 19 registers -- so now the little circle R that you see next to
- 20 named brands, that means they have a registered trademark, so
- the official registration. 21
- More specifically, do you recognize these as trademarks 22
- that were filed --23
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 -- for? Q.

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

- 1 A. These were -- these were --
- 2 Q. And these are --
- 3 A. I believe two of them were filed by someone else and they
- 4 | got transferred in. And then I believe I filed the first one
- 5 | that you showed us, the AML BitCoin one. So that would have
- 6 been one that I prosecuted from start to finish.
- 7 | Q. Are you familiar with these documents?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And are they true and accurate reflections of the
- 10 | trademarks as certified by the Trademark Office?
- 11 | A. Yes, I believe so.
- 12 MR. STEFAN: Defense moves to admit.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Hearsay. Objection. 403
- 14 pursuant to our briefing on legal documents.
- 15 **THE COURT:** I'm going to admit them.
- 16 (Trial Exhibit 3384 received in evidence.)
- 17 MR. STEFAN: Could we please publish these to the jury
- 18 | starting at page 1, if we may.
- 19 Q. So I'll go through these pretty quickly with you.
- 20 What's reflected on this first page? What's being
- 21 | trademarked here?
- 22 **A.** Yeah. So of all those trademarks, I think this one is
- 23 | probably the most important one. But the name "AML BitCoin"
- 24 was kind of -- that was his catchphrase of the -- everything
- 25 | was kind of built around the phrase "AML BitCoin."

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

2304

1 We couldn't get a trademark on just the name "AML BitCoin," so we had to do it as a -- as a logo. 2 That's why it's kind of in color and it has the circle. 3 "AML" is a pretty common term. It's anti-money 4 5 laundering. So the Trademark Office rejected that, saying, "Look, 'AML' is a common word. 'Bitcoin' is a common word. 6 We're not going to let you get it." So we got it as a logo. 7 MR. STEFAN: And could we turn to page 3. 8 What trademark -- what is being trademarked here? 9 So CrossVerify. And now, this is different than the first 10 11 The first one was a logo so it had the circle. It has to look that way. It wasn't the phrase. 12 13 This is a wordmark, and the word would be "CrossVerify" that we were able to get a trademark registration for. And I 14 know this is one I didn't file because the corporation is 15 16 different than the one that I filed on that first one. MR. STEFAN: Okay. And we can take down this exhibit. 17 Did Mr. Andrade have any copyrights? 18 Q. Yes. 19 Α. What copyright did he have? 20 So -- so, first of all, just to clarify, not to be too 21 Α. nitpicky, but it's a copyright registration. You have your 22 23 copyright -- as soon as I draw a picture, hand sketch it, I own

the copyright in that picture. I then take it to the

Copyright Office and register it. Now I have a copyright

24

## TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

1 registration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Nuance, but he has a copyright registration in addition to all the other copyrights he might have.

MR. STEFAN: Can we show Exhibit 3325.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Just to the witness?

MR. STEFAN: Yes, to the witness, counsel, and --

THE WITNESS: So --

#### BY MR. STEFAN:

- Q. And before you speak to this, just do you recognize it?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 **Q.** And is this the -- is this a copyright that --
- 12 | registration from Mr. Andrade?
- 13 **A.** So this is the deposit that would have been associated
- 14 | with his copyright registration. This is the registration
- 15 | number below it. So, yes.
- 16 Q. And how are you confident that this is what you're -- what
- 17 | you're saying it is?
- 18 A. So I -- I did not file the copyright, the application to
- 19 register his copyright, but I did submit a petition to the
- 20 | Copyright Office to obtain the deposit materials.
- 21 So whenever you register a copyright, you have to file
- 22 something with the Copyright Office. So I wanted to get a copy
- 23 of what was filed. That's what this is.
- 24 \ Q. And you recognize it as being what was filed?
- 25 A. I recognize it as what the Copyright Office sent to me

when I requested a certified copy of the deposit materials. 1 MR. STEFAN: Defense moves to admit. 2 MR. HIGHSMITH: No objection. 3 3325 is admitted. THE COURT: 4 5 (Trial Exhibit 3325 received in evidence.) MR. STEFAN: Thank you. 6 7 Your Honor, can we just scroll to the next page. We're not going to go through this entire document, but 8 just generally, what's reflected in the document? 9 So this is -- this is source code. I don't -- I could not 10 Α. 11 tell you the details of this. We could scroll through and maybe we could recognize some things to kind of associate it 12 13 with what was the program. It would make sense to a computer 14 programmer. But when you register software, the Copyright Office 15 16 doesn't want your actual -- the software that you would run on your computer. They want the source code. They want what you 17 would use, compile that into the software that you would 18 actually run. 19 So they also don't want the entire -- the entirety of the 20 source code, which could be, you know, millions of lines long. 21 They want the first -- it used to be the first 50 pages and the 22 23 last 50 pages. I think -- I think now it's the first 25 and

the last 25 pages of the source code. And so that's what this

24

25

reflects.

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

2307

Q. So this is -- as you said, it reflects the first 25 and last 25 pages of source code that Mr. Andrade copyrighted?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. STEFAN: Okay. We can take down this exhibit.

Q. When it came to managing Mr. Andrade's portfolio, you had indicated, before, dealing with filings in other countries.

How else did you assist Mr. Andrade with his patent portfolio?

- A. I mean, responding to office actions. Is that --
- 10 **Q.** I guess more specifically, did you engage in any kind of negotiations for acquisition of Mr. Andrade's patent portfolio?
- 12 **A.** Yes. So --
- Q. And if I may, sir, who did you engage in negotiations with? Who were these people?
- A. So -- well, I was going to explain how it -- how it got

  dumped in my lap. But I had -- specifically, the two people

  that I spoke with on negotiating a sale of the patent portfolio

  was David Cohen and Jack Abramoff.
- 19 **Q.** When about did you have discussions with David Cohen and 20 Jack Abramoff regarding this sale?
- 21 A. So it was late -- so I think September-ish 2019.
- 22 **Q.** How did this come about?
- 23 **A.** So, again, this large patent portfolio, excessively large, got dumped on me; and we're -- we're, you know, scrambling to
- 25 | make sure everything stays alive.

```
TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN
```

And around this same time, Marcus said, "Hey, I'm in the process of selling this. Will you talk to David" --

MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Hearsay, to what Mr. Andrade said, not to what the witness said.

THE COURT: Well, but --

It did sound as if the witness was about MR. STEFAN: to explain what he did in reaction to something that Mr. Andrade --

> I'll allow it. THE COURT:

Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: So Mr. Andrade told me, "Hey, have a conversation with this guy and see what he -- see what he has to say." So I did. That was David Cohen.

We started -- we had several phone calls. And he was going to send me a document, basically a referral fee. And then after a couple of conversations, he said, "Hey, I'm turning this over to Jack Abramoff."

And when he did that, I -- there was a -- there was a big For whatever reason, it had to get done fast. remember sitting on my front porch on a Friday, sunny, after -after 5 o'clock, which for a lawyer is no big deal, having a phone call with Jack Abramoff; and I was -- I was in shock that I was having a phone call with this infamous person. when we started talking about dollars, I was -- I was even more in shock.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But then it was like, "Hey, we've got to get this done fast." And I'm like, "All right. I'll work this weekend." You know, again, as a lawyer, we work weekends. That's just what you do. And he was like, "Oh, but I can't work tomorrow." MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Sorry. THE COURT: Yeah. Just wait for the question. Okay. Next question. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I forgot what the question was. (Laughter.) THE COURT: No. I'm saying, that's why we want you to stop. So now ask a new question. BY MR. STEFAN: Okay. What was the nature of -- so I want to clarify something. You had mentioned, I believe, a referral fee. What were you speaking to with respect to that and David Cohen? Yeah. So they had a potential purchaser of this patent portfolio, and in order to introduce them to Marcus, they wanted a percentage of whatever the final sale price was, and so that's what we were negotiating. I think they called it a consulting agreement, but in reality, it was, "Hey, we've got a name. We're going to attach

this name as Exhibit A to the document, but we're not going to

show you Exhibit A until you sign the agreement." So that's

**STEFAN** TINKER - DIRECT / 1 where we were. There was negotiations like exclusivity. You know, is he 2 allowed to sell it outside of them? Is there, you know --3 THE COURT: Well, remember, he's asking about the 4 5 referral fee. 6 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yes. 7 THE COURT: Now you've answered the question. Next question. 8 MR. STEFAN: Yes. 9 Okay. And after your initial conversation with 10 Q. 11 Mr. Abramoff, did negotiations proceed from that point? They proceeded to a point and then they, I would say, 12 13 abruptly ended. What was under negotiation? What was the offer? 14 Q. So the deal was they wanted, what I recall, 40 percent. 15 16 And I say "they." I think it was half to Abramoff and half to 17 But they wanted 40 percent of the final sale price. The conditions were that there had to be an up-front 18 payment of \$500,000. In order for them to get 40 percent, 19 there had to be an up-front payment to Marcus, and then there 20 had to be a sale price. And the up-front payment had to be 21

over \$500,000, and the sale price had to be over \$100 million

What happened with that offer and the negotiations that

in order for them to get their 40 percent.

22

23

24

25

followed?

#### TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

- 1 A. So I took the offer back to Marcus, and that was the rapid
- 2 ending of these negotiations. When Marcus found out that they
- 3 | wanted 40 percent, that was -- that was unacceptable to him.
- 4 **Q.** As in too high a percentage?
- 5 **A.** Way -- yeah, way too high.
- 6 \ Q. Were there any subsequent attempts to keep the
- 7 | negotiations alive?
- 8 A. So after -- we did some redlines to the agreement. I
- 9 don't -- the 40 percent, maybe it came down some. I don't
- 10 know. But it was never going to get low enough that Marcus was
- 11 | comfortable with that amount of money. There was all sorts of
- 12 | allegations back and forth.
- Jack Abramoff called me and --
- 14 **Q.** So just --
- 15 **A.** -- and said, "Hey, can" --
- 16 **THE COURT:** Wait.
- 17 MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Hearsay.
- 18 THE COURT: Yes. Just let's wait for the question and
- 19 | focus on the specific question.
- 20 So, Mr. Stefan, next question.
- 21 BY MR. STEFAN:
- 22 \ Q. So suffice to say, the deal did not go through?
- 23 **A.** Correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. In the course of your representation of
- 25 Mr. Andrade, did you ever review his technology?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry. Returning one moment to the prior discussion
- 3 regarding the negotiations with Jack Abramoff and David Cohen,
- 4 the condition was that they were going to bring in a buyer?
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Leading.
- 6 **THE WITNESS:** They had a buyer.
- 7 BY MR. STEFAN:

- 8 Q. So, okay. What was represented to you with respect to the
- 9 ability that they had to complete this deal?
- 10 A. So my understanding was they had a buyer. The only --
- 11 | they wanted the referral fee signed before they would disclose
- 12 | who that buyer was to us.
- 13 **Q.** And what was the buyer willing to offer?
- 14 A. It was \$100 million with a \$500,000 up-front, like a firm
- 15 offer, "Hold this deal in place until we get the final deal
- 16 | done." The reason I know it was something north of
- 17 | \$100 million is because in the contract it said, "The
- 18 | current" -- "The current potential buyer satisfies these
- 19 requirements."
- 20 | Q. Of bringing in the hundred million?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. Turning, again, to the technology, did you, in the
- 23 | course of your representation, review Mr. Andrade's technology?
- 24 | A. Yes, I did.
- 25 **Q.** And how did that come about?

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

2313

So it was not in relation to the patents, which you can Α. get a patent on anything. You don't have to have a working prototype. This would have been in relation to the trademarks.

I told Marcus, "Hey, we have to prove to the Trademark Office that these trademarks are in use, that we're actually using them." And he said, "Well, here, I'll give you a" --

Sorry. Objection, Your Honor. MR. HIGHSMITH: Hearsay.

> THE COURT: Sustained.

> > THE WITNESS: I needed -- so --

THE COURT: No, no. No question. Wait for the question.

**THE WITNESS:** Okay.

#### BY MR. STEFAN:

- So what was -- describe the process of -- or do you have familiarity with submitting matters to the Patent Office?
- Yes. 18 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

- Okay. And what was the -- what's that involve? What does 19 that process involve? 20
  - In a typical trademark filing, usually it's my client's Α. website or a product; and I'll ask my client, "Hey, will you send me a screenshot or a picture of the product with the name on it?" or I'll go to their website and I will do a -- take a screenshot of their website showing the trademark in use.

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

I will then submit that screenshot to the Trademark Office
in what's called a specimen of use.

- Q. How many times have you done this on behalf of clients?
- 4 A. Hundreds.

- 5 Q. How many applications have you reviewed?
- 6 A. Hundreds.
- Q. And I speak specifically to, like, technology
  8 applications, say on phones or computers.
- 9 A. Gotcha. Okay.
- Yes. Probably not hundreds, but dozens, yes. I'll go to
  the App Store. I'll take a screenshot of the App Store
  showing, you know, them using that logo on their app. I'll
  download the app. I'll log in. I'll take screenshots. All
  ways to submit to the Trademark Office showing, "Hey, we're
- 15 | actually using this."
- 16 | Q. Did you do this for Mr. Andrade?
- 17 | A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Can you describe what you did with respect to
- 19 Mr. Andrade's technology?
- 20 **A.** So with respect to AML BitCoin, the trademark that we saw earlier, I went to the website. I took screenshots of the
- 22 website.
- 23 MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection as to timing. When did this
- 24 happen?
- 25 THE COURT: Well, can you provide us -- go ahead and

TINKER - DIRECT / STEFAN

1 ask.

2

3

#### BY MR. STEFAN:

- Q. When about did you perform this process?
- 4 | A. I'm going to guess 2020. I'm not sure.
- 5 | Q. Okay. So around 2020 time frame? Go ahead. What did you
- 6 do?
- 7 **A.** It would be in the records that were submitted to the
- 8 | Trademark Office.
- 9 So I took screenshots of the website. I downloaded the
- 10 mobile app. I actually created an account. I registered. And
- 11 I took screenshots of various steps in that process, and then I
- 12 | submitted those screenshots to the Trademark Office.
- 13 | Q. When you downloaded the app and created an account, did
- 14 | the application work for you?
- 15 **A.** It appeared to work for me, yes.
- 16 | Q. And when you say "it appeared to work," can you describe
- 17 | what it was doing, what it did?
- 18 A. So there was a couple different, I quess, interfaces to
- 19 log into. One of them was the wallet, the AML BitCoin Wallet
- 20 or something like that, and then the other one was the actual
- 21 AML BitCoin exchange.
- 22 And then there was the mobile app. I, from what I recall,
- 23 | had to take a picture using my phone and record a video using
- 24 | my phone, take a picture of, like, my passport or something,
- 25 and all of that was kind of verification of my identity,

2316 **STEFAN** TINKER - DIRECT /

1 I quess.

Tinker."

4

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

- What was being -- what was requiring you to do that? 2 Q. were you doing that to accomplish? 3
  - In order to create an account. Α.
- 5 And you said that there was a video feature? Q. Okay.
- Yeah. Again, this has been five years, but what I 6 Α. 7 recall -- the thing that sticks out in my mind was I was trying to log in on my computer and it was like, "Hey, you need to 8 verify yourself"; and I had to go to the mobile app to do it, 9 to, like, you know, video of myself saying, "I'm Jeffrey 10
- And that was just really -- what stuck out in my mind was 12 that I'm trying to log in on the website and it's asking me to 13 verify myself over here. It -- I thought it was pretty cool, 14 15 so...
  - And when you logged into the application on your phone, would you have to go through facial ID verification?
  - It was a little annoying, actually, because as soon Yeah. Α. as -- as soon as I -- my phone would go into sleep mode or If I pulled it back up, I had to redo it. whatever. show my face again. So, yes, it was literally every time I wanted to do anything, I had to reshow my face to it.
- 23 At that time did you have a phone that had facial ID recognition on it? 24
- 25 Α. So I did not. I didn't remember that until I read my

```
declaration. My phone was an older one that didn't have the
 1
     facial recognition. It had the thumbprint thing.
 2
          And so now on my phone, you know, you look at it, it looks
 3
     at your face, and you log in that way. But back then, my phone
 4
 5
     did not have that. So my -- it taking a video of my face
     was not authentication within my phone itself. It was -- it
 6
 7
     was going out on the Internet somewhere, not just, you know,
     here's my phone. It was not just opening the lock screen on my
 8
     phone, if you will.
 9
          Based on your experience with Mr. Andrade's applications,
10
11
     was the technology in working order?
          From my perspective, it was.
12
     Α.
13
              MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
              THE COURT: Yes.
14
              THE WITNESS: Can I clarify my last answer real quick?
15
16
          It was -- it was a prototype. So when you say "working
17
     order, " the prototype was working.
18
              MR. STEFAN: Understood.
          May I have a moment, Your Honor?
19
              THE COURT:
20
                          Yes.
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
21
22
              MR. STEFAN: Thank you.
23
          I don't have any further questions.
              THE COURT: Mr. Highsmith?
24
25
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
```

TINKER - CROSS / HIGHSMITH

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Tinker.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. So do I have it right that you first started working for
- 6 Mr. Andrade in mid-2019?
- 7 A. I believe that's correct.
- 8 Q. Did you do any work for Mr. Andrade before 2019?
- 9 A. I don't believe so.
- 10 Q. You were laughing. Why -- or --
- 11 | A. I'm laughing because I believe it was in 2019. Did the
- 12 patent portfolio come to Bell Nunnally in 2018? I don't think
- 13 so.

1

2

- 14 Q. The question is just whether you started working for him
- 15 before 2019.
- 16 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And when did you stop working for Mr. Andrade?
- 18 A. Officially, I mean, it's probably been three years. And
- 19 | the reason I say "officially" is because my firm is no longer
- 20 working for him, but he has called me and said, "Hey, can you
- 21 help us keep these patents alive? Will you pay this
- 22 | maintenance fee?" He gives me a credit card; I pay the
- 23 | maintenance fee. I don't bill him for it. It's just kind
- 24 of -- I don't want to see these patents go abandoned.
- 25 | Q. I didn't catch the last word. I don't want to see these

#### TINKER - CROSS / HIGHSMITH

1 patents what?

- 2 **A.** I don't want to see the patents go abandoned. So I
- 3 | have -- I have helped him keep the patents alive. Bare
- 4 | minimum, just pay the maintenance fee.
- 5 \ Q. Okay. So approximately when did you stop officially
- 6 working for Mr. Andrade?
- 7 **A.** Like I said, it's probably been two -- two or three years.
- 8 **Q.** Okay. So about, like, 2023?
- 9 **A.** I'll say 2022.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you worked for Mr. Andrade from approximately
- 11 | mid-2019 to mid- -- excuse me -- to 2022; is that accurate?
- 12 A. It sounds accurate.
- 13 Q. Approximately how many hours did you bill Mr. Andrade or
- 14 his companies during that time period?
- 15 **A.** I have no idea.
- 16 **Q.** More than five?
- 17 **A.** Oh, certainly more than five.
- 18 **Q.** More than ten?
- 19 **A.** Certainly more than ten.
- 20 **Q.** More than 50?
- 21 **A.** I would say yes, but I don't know.
- 22 Q. What was your hourly rate during that time period?
- 23 A. It was probably around 400 to 450 dollars an hour, if I
- 24 | had to quess.
- 25 Q. All right. So you've done a lot of work in the patent

#### TINKER - CROSS / HIGHSMITH

- 1 | space; is that accurate?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. Have you heard the term "patent troll" before?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 | Q. Can you please describe what a patent troll is?
- 6 **A.** So a patent troll would be some -- some people call them
- 7 | non-practicing entities. It's basically somebody who has a
- 8 | patent and uses it -- asserts it against people to make money
- 9 and not necessarily because they're trying to defend their
- 10 product.
- 11 | Q. When you say "asserts it against somebody," is one way of
- 12 thinking about that suing somebody?
- 13 **A.** Yes, litigation or licensing.
- 14 Q. Litigation or licensing? I've got that right?
- 15 **A.** The licensing would be with the threat of litigation.
- 16 Q. Licensing with the threat of litigation --
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- is that correct?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. You looked at Exhibit 334.
- 21 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please display Exhibit 334.
- 22 | Q. Or I'll just show it to you on the -- I'll show it to you
- 23 on the Elmo.
- 24 So you looked at 334. You're familiar with this exhibit;
- 25 | is that right?

#### TINKER - CROSS / HIGHSMITH

1 **A.** Yes.

- 2 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's just zoom out for a second.
- 3 Sorry. 3384, we're looking at.
- 4 (Stenographer interrupts for clarification of the record.)
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: I'm looking at 3384.
- 6 Q. Do you see that in front of you, sir?
- 7 **A.** If that's what this is, then, yes.
- 8 **Q.** Yeah, this is 3384.
- 9 What date was that registered?
- 10 **A.** May 11th, 2021.
- 11 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's take a look at the next page.
- 12 Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you so much.
- 13 Q. Let's take a look at the CrossVerify.
- 14 So you testified about CrossVerify before on direct
- 15 | examination; correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** What's the date this was registered?
- 18 **A.** February 2nd, 2021.
- 19 **Q.** Thank you.
- 20 You testified about negotiations concerning acquisition of
- 21 | the patent portfolio; is that correct?
- 22 | A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And the date on that was late September 2019; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 **A.** Correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Did you provide any -- did you discuss with
- 2 Mr. Andrade anything about press releases in 2018 regarding his
- 3 | product?
- 4 A. If -- if my recollection is correct that I started working
- 5 | for him in 2019, I would not have had any conversations with
- 6 him in 2018.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did you talk to Mr. Andrade in 2018 about the
- 8 | Super Bowl and a potential Super Bowl ad?
- 9 **A.** I did not.
- 10 Q. Based on your understanding of Mr. Andrade's companies and
- 11 | their financial resources, was it your impression that they
- 12 | would be able to afford a Super Bowl advertisement on network
- 13 | television?
- 14 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Foundation, at minimum.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Sustained. Sustained.
- 16 BY MR. HIGHSMITH:
- 17 | Q. You talked on direct examination quite a bit about
- 18 Mr. Andrade's patents and your experience with patents.
- 19 Would you agree that you can get a patent on almost
- 20 | anything?
- 21 **A.** I would not agree with that.
- 22 Q. No? Is it -- can you get a patent on many, many things?
- 23 | A. I mean, if it's new, which is novel, Section 102;
- 24 | non-obvious, which would be Section 103; useful, which would be
- 25 | Section 112 --

#### TINKER - CROSS / HIGHSMITH

- 1 Q. I didn't ask --
- 2 **A.** -- 101.
- 3 | Q. I didn't ask you to recite the --
- 4 A. You said --
- 5 **Q.** -- statute.
- 6 A. -- anything.
- 7 Q. Excuse me.
- 8 THE COURT: Wait, wait. Don't talk over each
- 9 over.
- 10 **THE WITNESS:** All right.
- 11 THE COURT: So restate the question.
- 12 And could you put the microphone, Mr. Tinker, a little
- 13 | closer to you? Thank you.
- Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Highsmith.
- 15 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.
- 16 Q. Are there millions of patents in the United States?
- 17 **A.** Yes, there are.
- 18 \ Q. To get a patent, do you have to have a working,
- 19 | functioning product?
- 20 A. You do not.
- 21 Q. You testified about downloading the AML BitCoin app, the
- 22 | wallet. You testified regarding an exchange. Again, when did
- 23 | you do that?
- 24 **A.** When did I say that I did it on the earlier --
- 25 **Q.** That wasn't the question. When did you do that?

- IINKER CROSS / HIGHSMII
- 1 A. So if this -- the previous one was registered in 2021, it
- 2 | probably would have been shortly before that. So I think 2021
- 3 | would be a good guess.
- 4 Q. I don't want you to guess. What is your best estimate for
- 5 | when you downloaded the application, the wallet, and engaged in
- 6 | the activity you just testified to?
- 7 **A.** 2021.
- 8 Q. On direct examination, you mentioned an exchange, but I
- 9 don't recall you elaborating on that.
- 10 Did you ever attempt to trade any AML BitCoins or
- 11 AML BitCoin Tokens?
- 12 A. I did not.
- 13 Q. You also testified on direct examination that you were
- 14 | working with a prototype; is that accurate?
- 15 A. I think that is a -- I mean, that is what I said. I can
- 16 | clarify what I meant by "a prototype," but yes.
- 17 | Q. What did you mean by "a prototype"?
- 18 A. So when I downloaded the app, it was not available in the
- 19 App Store like you would go to just download a normal app. You
- 20 | had to download something what was called Testflight from
- 21 Apple. And once you downloaded Testflight, then you could
- 22 download the app. And that was why I wanted to clarify that it
- 23 was a prototype.
- 24 \ Q. What is a prototype, to the best of your knowledge?
- 25 A. A -- it is -- it's kind of self-explanatory, I quess. A

product that is still in testing or that needed -- needs to be finalized through, you know, testing, actual use, on a trial basis.

MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEFAN:

4

5

6

7

- Q. Do you recall when the copyright was filed?
- 8 A. I do not.
- 9 MR. STEFAN: Can we -- I think it's 3325 -- display
  10 3325.
- 11 **Q.** Okay. I was hoping to refresh you with 3325, but it doesn't look like it's printed there on 3325.
- A. Yeah. Unfortunately, this is the deposit materials. The copyright registration would be, you know, one page on top of this. That's not on this exhibit. So that would have the information of when it was filed, when it registered.
  - Q. Okay. Understood.
- Mr. Highsmith asked you about whether you could patent almost anything. Well, first of all, is that actually the case? Could you patent almost anything?
- 21 A. I disagree that you can patent anything.
- 22 **Q.** And you were kind of describing some of the requirements 23 that exist for patents. What would those be?
- 24 A. So it has to be new, non-obvious, and useful.
- 25 Q. And would you expect to receive a \$100 million offer on a

TINKER - REDIRECT / STEFAN

2326

1 patent for almost anything?

A. No.

2

5

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. People with patents sometimes have to sue to protect their intellectual property. Would you agree with that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know whether or not the AML BitCoin is still in testing or was still in testing at the time?

THE COURT: "At the time" is what?

MR. STEFAN: Pardon me.

- 10 **Q.** At the time that you were performing your review of 11 Mr. Andrade's application.
- A. So the mobile app, as I said, the way that I was able to
  download it was through something called Testflight through the
  App Store. But the website appeared to me to be working, and
  that was not a demo version.

So in my -- you know, I don't know the backend, but the mobile app was -- I downloaded through Testflight. But the App Store has a lot of requirements just to be able to put an app on the App Store. There's a lot of hoops you have to jump through. So me having to do it through Testflight did not -- to me, wasn't evidence that the website wasn't working.

- Q. And is it true that a prototype could work, like a working prototype?
- 24 A. Yeah, of course. That's -- that's the whole -- this is a 25 beta release. It means: We feel really confident about this,

TINKER - REDIRECT / STEFAN

but it's not necessarily our final version. A beta release, to me, would be a working prototype.

Q. Was that your understanding of what the -- what you reviewed, was a beta?

- A. It -- it may have been, or it could have been something much more advanced than a beta. I don't -- that's not something I have knowledge of.
- Q. Okay. And what was your overall -- if you could describe your overall experience using the application as compared to other experiences you've had in reviewing other applications.
- A. So this was the first time that I had downloaded the Testflight app from the App Store, so that was kind of neat.

As far as the app working, it worked fine. If it was a beta version, I would have expected it to crash and, you know, you have to restart and all that sort. I didn't have any issues like that.

I did -- I do remember the reason I -- so when I look at my phone now and it recognizes my face, it's, you know, instantaneous. I can be looking away and it still will recognize my face.

This was a rudimentary version of that. And the reason I say that is, I would -- I had to, like, adjust my face in front of the camera and make sure I was perfectly square. And if I didn't do it just right, I had to, you know, kind of play with it like that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
So, I mean, to me, that showed that it was working, like
it was actually recognizing my face; but now five years later,
or however long it's been, it seems a little rudimentary
compared to what Apple can do. So I don't know. Overall, I
was fine with the experience.
         MR. STEFAN: Okay. I have no further questions.
     Thank you.
                      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. HIGHSMITH:
     Did you buy any AML BitCoin?
Q.
     I did not.
Α.
    Did you sell any AML BitCoin?
     I did not.
Α.
     Do you know if your biometrics were uploaded to the
AML BitCoin blockchain?
     I have no idea.
Α.
         MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.
         THE COURT: You may step down.
                       (Witness excused.)
         MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, the defense will call Karl
Ruzicka to the stand.
     If I may have just have a moment to get --
         THE COURT: Yes.
                    (Pause in proceedings.)
 (Witness enters the courtroom and steps forward to be sworn.)
```

## 2329 RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND If you could come forward to the witness 1 THE COURT: stand to be sworn. 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. 3 THE WITNESS: Can I have a second, please? 4 5 KARL STEVEN RUZICKA, called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn, 6 testified as follows: 7 THE WITNESS: I do. 8 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated. 9 State your full name. 10 THE WITNESS: Karl Steven Ruzicka. 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And spell your last name. 12 THE WITNESS: R-u-z-i-c-k-a; Steven, S-t-e-v-e-n. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. DIAMOND: 16 Q. Good morning. Thank you, Mr. Ruzicka. Good morning. 17 Α. 18 Can you tell the jury, please, what it is that you do for

- a living? 19
- CPA. 20 Α.
- And --21 Q.
- 22 Certified public accountant. Α.
- 23 Sorry. We spoke over each other. That's my fault. Q.
- Certified public accountant. 24 Α.
- 25 Could you bring the microphone just a little closer to Q.

- your mouth? We need to make sure that everybody can hear you.

  How long have you been a certified public accountant?
  - **A.** 20 years.
- Q. Can you -- if you don't mind -- sorry. Where do you -- sorry.
- 6 Are you currently working as a CPA?
- 7 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And where do you conduct your business?
- 9 A. Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Could you try to keep your voice up a bit?
- 11 **THE WITNESS:** Okay.
- 12 Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 14 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
- 15 **THE WITNESS:** Sorry about that.
- 16 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 17 Q. In the course of your work in Las Vegas, Nevada, did you
- 18 | come at some point to have a client named Rowland Marcus
- 19 | Andrade?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you see him in the courtroom?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. He is the defendant; is that correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you remember approximately what year you started

1 | working with Mr. Andrade?

**A.** 2014.

- 3 Q. And do you remember what businesses Mr. Andrade was
- 4 | working on in that period of time?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** Could you tell the jury, please.
- 7 | A. Bitcoin or his -- At Coins. He was establishing At Coins.
- 8 Q. Would the name "Aten Coin" sound familiar to you?
- 9 **A.** Yes, but I think back then, it was -- yeah, Aten Coins.
- 10 | That's what I meant, mm-hmm.
- 11 | Q. And were you the person that was doing Mr. Andrade's taxes
- 12 | personally?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. Did you -- in the course of your business, tell the jury
- 15 what sort of work you did when he was working on the Aten Coin
- 16 | project in 2014, 2015, 2016, just generally based on your
- 17 memory.
- 18 A. Basically, they had a bookkeeper firm called Office Squad.
- 19 They would keep the books for NAC Foundation, and I would
- 20 review them monthly -- I think monthly -- and reconcile the
- 21 bank accounts; and at the end of the year, I would prepare a
- 22 | trial balance to send to Rowland's CPA in Texas.
- 23 | Q. In the course of your work for Mr. Andrade, would you
- 24 regularly prepare weekly reports, quarterly reports, profit and
- 25 loss statements, balance statements, those sort of business

## financial documents?

- 2 A. During that first four years, '14 to '18 --
- 3 **Q.** Yes.

- 4 **A.** -- or '14 to '16?
- 5 No, not really.
- 6 Q. Was there a time after that that you began to prepare some
- 7 of those kinds of reports for Mr. Andrade?
- 8 **A.** No, not specifically.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you recall interfacing with his company in 2018,
- 10 reviewing some records relating to AML BitCoin token sales?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you tell the jury what tasks you were asked to do with
- 13 respect to Mr. Andrade's sale of AML BitCoin?
- 14 A. Basically, we had an attorney that would pick up the --
- 15 the buyer -- or the buyers of the bitcoins, purchasers -- not
- 16 | bitcoins. I'm sorry -- of the cryptocurrency. And I would
- 17 | tally them up. I would actually compare them to the contracts
- 18 | themselves, make sure that the amount that we have going in the
- 19 deposit in his IOLTA account, the attorney's IOLTA account, it
- 20 matched the --
- 21 Q. I'm going to slow you down for a second --
- 22 **A.** Okay. Sorry.
- 23 **Q.** -- if you could.
- Were you referring to something called an IOLTA account?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

## RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 **Q.** I-O-L-T-A?
- 2 A. Mm-hmm.
- 3 Q. And what is your understanding of what the nature of that
- 4 | account is?
- 5 **A.** It's a special account that attorneys use, that are also
- 6 supervised by the board, by the attorneys board, the bar -- by
- 7 the bar.
- 8 Q. So during the course of the sales of the tokens, money
- 9 | would be sent to an attorney's IOLTA account rather than to
- 10 Mr. Andrade directly; correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And you were -- was -- were you serving a function for him
- 13 to determine whether or not that money could leave the
- 14 | attorney's trust account?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And in doing so, you communicated with people at
- 17 Mr. Andrade's business; correct?
- 18 **A.** One or two, yes.
- 19 MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, could I ask you, please, to
- 20 display to the jury and the Court and the witness Exhibit 3161,
- 21 | which is already in evidence.
- 22 | Q. This document, Mr. Ruzicka, will appear on your screen.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, when you are ready, if you
- 25 | could highlight for the jury the email at the bottom of -- and

# RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 | the witness -- the email at the bottom of that exhibit.
- 2 Q. Do you see this email, Mr. Ruzicka?
- 3 A. Yes. Yes.
- 4 Q. Does this look familiar to you?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 | Q. And could you describe to the jury generally what's going
- 7 on in this email?
- 8 A. Basically, what we would do is we would match up the
- 9 actual deposit amounts to the actual contracts to make sure
- 10 | they both agree; and then once we had that done, we would go
- 11 ahead and acknowledge that we're ready to -- the funds are
- 12 legitimately received, and therefore, he can release them --
- 13 Q. And in this --
- 14 **A.** -- to the bank.
- 15 Q. -- you communicate --
- 16 (Simultaneous speaking. Stenographer interrupts for
- 17 | clarification of the record.)
- 18 THE WITNESS: To the bank account at Wells Fargo,
- 19 I think it was.
- 20 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 21 Q. Is that a bank account that was held by the
- 22 NAC Foundation?
- 23 **A.** Yes. Yes.
- 24 \ Q. Is that a bank account that you had access to?
- 25 **A.** No.

- MS. DIAMOND: Could you scroll, Mr. Jackson, up to the top of this email and highlight the top header of the email for Mr. Ruzicka or for the jury.
  - Q. Do you see that you're copied on an email sent by David
    Salmon --
- 6 A. Mm-hmm, yes.
- 7 **Q.** -- on February 1st, 2018?
- 8 **A.** Yes.

doing so?

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

- 9 **Q.** And would that be part of your regular procedure with
  10 Mr. Salmon, that after he received an authorization from you to
  11 release funds from his IOLTA account to the NAC account, that
  12 you would then receive a copy of his confirmation that he is
  - A. I don't know if I received a confirmation. I don't recall. Like a physical document? I don't recall that I actually got a confirmation, but I just assumed it was -- I did not see the bank account, so I did not check to --
    - Q. You would receive --
- 19 (Stenographer interrupts for clarification of the record.)
- 20 **THE WITNESS:** The Wells Fargo Bank account. So I did not know, you know, what was deposited, what wasn't deposited.
- 22 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- Q. Would you regularly save your emails connected to your communication with your work for --
- 25 **A.** Yes.

#### RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 **Q.** -- Marcus Andrade?
- And are those emails that were records that were made at or near the time by or from information transmitted by someone
- 4 | with knowledge?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And were those records kept in the course of your
- 7 regularly conducted activity of a business organization,
- 8 occupation, or calling, whether or not for profit?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And was using emails for this purpose a regular practice
- 11 of your activity?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you. We could take down
- 14 Exhibit 361 [sic], please.
- 15 If we could put up for the witness and the Court and
- 16 | counsel Exhibit 3149, please. 3149.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Ruzicka, can you read that, or do you need us to make
- 18 | it a little clearer?
- 19 **A.** Read it to you, did you say?
- 20 Q. No. I just want to know, do you recognize this?
- 21 A. Oh. Yes, mm-hmm.
- 22 **Q.** Is this one of your emails?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 MS. DIAMOND: And move to admit.
- MR. CHOU: Objection, Your Honor. Lacks business

```
records foundation. Specifically, at the start of his
 1
     testimony he said "not regularly" and "not specifically."
 2
              THE COURT: So your objection is a hearsay objection.
 3
     Overruled.
 4
 5
          I'll admit it, 3149.
          (Trial Exhibit 3149 received in evidence.)
 6
 7
              MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
          May I publish to the jury, please?
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 9
     BY MS. DIAMOND:
10
11
          Mr. Ruzicka, this email purports to show a
     communication -- or shows a communication with someone --
12
     what's -- someone working with Mr. Andrade's business.
13
          Can you take a look at the attachments line.
14
              MS. DIAMOND: Could we highlight the header, please,
15
16
     Mr. Jackson.
                   Thank you.
17
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
              MS. DIAMOND: One moment.
18
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
19
              MS. DIAMOND:
                            Thank you.
20
          You see the attachment line to this email?
21
     Q.
          Yes, ma'am.
22
     Α.
23
          And do these attachments appear to you to be records that
     you prepared and named? Are those file names consistent with
24
25
     your memory --
```

RUZICKA - DIRECT /

```
1
     Α.
          Yes.
          -- of the types of files?
 2
          Yes.
 3
     Α.
          Thank you.
 4
     Q.
 5
              MS. DIAMOND: We can take this down. Thank you,
 6
     Mr. Jackson.
 7
          One moment, Your Honor, please.
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
 8
              MS. DIAMOND: I have a number of exhibits I'd like to
 9
     have the witness take a look at. I'm not sure if I can get my
10
11
     hands on the paper copy. It may just take a moment.
          But for the record, I would like, in sequence, Mr. Ruzicka
12
     to view Exhibit Numbers 3132, 3133, 3134, 3135, 3136, 3137,
13
     3138, 3139, 3140, 3141, 3142, 3143, 3144, 3146, and 3147.
14
          If I may have a moment, Your Honor, I'll see if we can do
15
16
     this as quickly as possible.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
18
              MS. DIAMOND: If I may approach to hand the witness
19
     some paper copies?
20
              THE COURT:
21
                         You may.
              MS. DIAMOND:
                            Thank you.
22
          Mr. Ruzicka, I've just handed you some folders that
23
     contain the exhibit numbers that we just mentioned, and if you
24
```

could just simply take a look at them. I'm going to ask you if

RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMONI

1 you recognize them after you're done just looking through the

- 2 | pile. I'm not going to ask you any specific questions yet
- 3 about any of them. Thank you.
- 4 A. (Witness examines documents.) Yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Before we continue, Mr. Ruzicka, I am going to ask you,
- 7 | it's difficult for people who are not used to public speaking,
- 8 but please speak slowly and try to project a little.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 Q. I want to ask you to say that again audibly.
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Thank you. Just like that. That's a big help to the
- 13 | jury. They're trying to hear you.
- 14 A. Okay. Sorry.
- 15 \ Q. This pile of exhibits that you just looked through, do
- 16 | these look to you to be your business records?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Were these documents -- do they look like documents that
- 19 | were attached to Exhibit 3419?
- 20 MS. DIAMOND: And I have a paper copy, if I may
- 21 approach, so the witness can view that list --
- 22 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 23 MS. DIAMOND: -- quickly?
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 \ Q. Do they look similar to that list? There's a spreadsheet

1 on there that was not included in your package, an Excel 2 spreadsheet. Yes. Yes. Α. 3 Thank you. 4 Q. 5 MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit the --MR. CHOU: Objection, Your Honor. Renewed business 6 records foundation objection, plus massive hearsay because the 7 cover email refers to information coming from someone named 8 Dillon, a non-declarant, non-witness. 9 THE COURT: Well, I don't know what -- no one's shown 10 11 me these documents, so I don't know what they are. So is there some mechanism for me to see them? 12 MS. DIAMOND: Yes. 13 Your Honor, I'm so sorry. I did not prepare the paper, 14 15 and I'm -- if I may. Thank you. 16 (Document handed up to the Court.) MR. CHOU: And 403, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Are these all the same in some --18 structurally? I don't know what this is. So perhaps you can 19 explain what it is you're offering to have admitted. At least 20 21 characterize these documents. MS. DIAMOND: Yes. These documents had file names 22 23 that Mr. Ruzicka prepared, and Mr. Ruzicka sent them as an

attachment to an email to Bernadette Tran who testified.

Bernadette Tran asked for the files that Mr. Ruzicka

24

```
shared with her predecessor, Dillon Pugliese.
 1
              THE COURT: And these documents are what? Schedules?
 2
              MS. DIAMOND: We're going to go into just a couple of
 3
     them, not a lot.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Well, let me ask you --
              MS. DIAMOND: They're samples of --
 6
              THE COURT: -- this: If I look at -- can we -- is
 7
     there -- is there an exem- -- your representation is that these
 8
     all rise or fall together; is that fair?
 9
              MS. DIAMOND: Yes.
10
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Can you focus me in on one of them
     so I can understand what the objection is and what the document
12
13
     is?
              MS. DIAMOND: Yes.
14
          And perhaps we can redisplay Exhibit 3161, please,
15
16
    Mr. Jackson. This is in evidence.
              THE COURT: Yes. I admitted that.
17
              MS. DIAMOND: Sorry. Not this exhibit. Sorry.
18
                                                               One
19
     moment.
              3149.
              THE COURT: 3149. All right.
20
              MS. DIAMOND: We admitted that just this morning.
21
          Mr. Ruzicka, looking again at the attachments part of
22
     Exhibit 3149 --
23
          Mm-hmm. Yes.
24
     Α.
          -- do the files in front of you look to be the attachments
25
```

- 1 of your records that you sent to Bernadette Tran in response to her request? 2
- These are pretty much a catch-up from what Dillon 3 Α. did, and Dillon was let go. 4
  - And who prepared the documents in front of you? Were they Q. prepared by Dillon, or were they prepared by yourself?
- 7 By myself. I mean, it was a group effort. He would -- I Α. would send it to them, send them the documents; and they would 8 confirm or say, "We don't have this one," or, "There's, 9 you know, discrepancies here and there." So we would work out 10 11 the discrepancies.
  - You would email your business documents back and forth with people working for Mr. Andrade in the process of confirming the token sales took place; is that right?
  - Right. Right. I actually reviewed the contracts so I knew what was going on. And then I think Dillon was reviewing the actual deposits. And then we married them up to confirm that each one agrees with each other; and if there was a discrepancy, then we resolved it. The contract says this, the deposit says that, and whatever trumped it, won.
    - (Stenographer interrupts for clarification of the record.) I'm from Vegas. THE WITNESS: Yeah.

#### BY MS. DIAMOND: 23

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Α.

- Again, just speak a little slower and a little louder.
- It's not a natural way of speaking. 25

RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

**A.** Okay. Repeat what I just said?

THE COURT: No.

MS. DIAMOND: If I could show the witness one document as an example.

THE COURT: Yes.

- MS. DIAMOND: On the screen, just for the witness and the Court, please, and counsel, Mr. Jackson, we'd like to see Exhibit 3138.
- Q. This is the first page of 3138, Mr. Ruzicka. Do you recognize this document? There are 22 pages in this exhibit.
- 11 A. Whenever there's a red dash on it, which I just looked at,
  12 that means I confirmed it.
- Q. So you would have received this document in the course of your business and then made a note on it as you used it in your reconciliation process --
- 16 **A.** Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

- 17 | Q. -- is that correct?
- A. Right. So if there's a red mark, red tag, that means that
  they matched up. And there's, like -- like I said, there's a
  lot of pages there, so they were all matched up or --
  - MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit Exhibit 3138.
  - MR. CHOU: Same objections, Your Honor. Also, this is -- this particular document is different from some of the others, the spreadsheets that are in the folder. So this is not an apt example.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, there's several different
categories of documents in this example -- in the attachments.
I'm not representing that they are identical or the same.
are Mr. Ruzicka's business records.
         THE COURT:
                    What I'm trying to get at is to understand
what -- answer these questions for me.
     The document -- I understand that you've gone through the
litany for a business record. Let's not go back over that.
And the Government has objected, but I've found that that was a
sufficient basis for a business record.
     Now, what are these documents? They're what? They're
invoices and they're Excel spreadsheets that are reflecting
what?
        MS. DIAMOND: That's what I was going to have the
witness explain to the jury.
         THE COURT: Well, give me a proffer so that -- you've
asked --
        MS. DIAMOND: Sure.
         THE COURT: -- me to admit them --
        MS. DIAMOND: Sure.
         THE COURT: -- so I need to know that before I make my
admission decision.
        MS. DIAMOND: Sure.
         THE COURT: Good.
```

MS. DIAMOND: When token sales during the ICO were

```
made, the spreadsheets of purchasers and contracts, apparently, were made available to Mr. Ruzicka.
```

Mr. Ruzicka would confirm that tokens were distributed, that money was received; and once all of that was confirmed by an outside person, his own -- Mr. Ruzicka, as an independent CPA, he would then give word to the person holding the token purchase funds that they were cleared for release to Mr. Andrade's business.

THE COURT: So these documents that you're offering now all reflect payments for tokens? Is that what's going on here?

MS. DIAMOND: A portion of them reflect some invoices during the ICO process. This is not the entire set.

My proffer is that Mr. Ruzicka will be explaining to the jury that he would --

THE COURT: All right. And you will represent that all of these are -- they're not the same in the sense that it's the same particular information. But the format, they're all the same in that sense; is that right?

MS. DIAMOND: They're all the same in that they were prepared by this witness and sent by this witness, as his records that he maintained, to Mr. Andrade's business when Mr. Andrade's business was conducting the audit mentioned in Exhibit 3149.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Chou, you can repeat what

RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

```
your objections are.
 1
              MR. CHOU: 403, Your Honor. And I would just object
 2
     to essentially extended attorney proffer in front of the
 3
     witness.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Well, I asked her, so let's not fault her
     for that.
 6
              MR. CHOU:
 7
                         Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: So, and the 403 is undue prejudice from
 8
     this?
 9
              MR. CHOU: Danger of confusing the jury, cumulative
10
     evidence, and --
11
              THE COURT: Well, there's a danger the jury will be
12
     confused because I have been confused.
13
                                (Laughter.)
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          But we'll get over that.
16
          I will admit these documents on the proffer that you have
17
     made, and I'll count on you to -- these are all -- they all
18
     rise or fall together is what you told me; and based on that
     representation, I will admit them.
19
          (Trial Exhibits 3132 through 3144, 3146, and 3147 received
20
     in evidence.)
21
                            Thank you.
22
              MS. DIAMOND:
23
          Mr. Ruzicka --
              THE COURT: Before you go on, somebody did hand this
24
     to me and I think it's Mr. Chou's.
25
```

1 MS. DIAMOND: He did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHOU: It's counsel's, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's yours? Do you want me to have this?

Let me make it easy. Do you want me to have this?

MR. CHOU: That's fine, Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Let's keep going.

MS. DIAMOND: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. Mr. Ruzicka, you heard my proffer to the judge. Can you tell the jury how that process worked? I want it in your words, not my words. Can you explain that, please.
- A. The process was, there was initial contact with the --
- 12 | Q. Speak up and speak slowly.
- 13 A. There was initial contact between the -- the buyer would
  14 ask for, you know, coins. Then there would be a deposit that
  15 was made. And then there would be -- that deposit would go
  16 into an account; and then the deposit -- once we got the
  17 contract signed --
  - Q. Slow down, again. Thank you.
- 19 **A.** Once the contract was signed, then the rest of the money 20 was remitted to the attorney.

And then what we would do is we would check. I would actually review the contracts. I would actually just review the amounts, the dates, the time, the people, but I wouldn't read the whole contract because they were pretty big.

I'll slow down.

#### RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMON

- Q. When you engaged in that process, would you review every single transaction, or would you use some other method to confirm that the transactions were valid?
- A. Recalling, every single one was -- it was ticked off. If
  I ticked it off, that means it was reviewed. We did a -- I'll
  stop there.
- 7 **Q.** Did you ever use a sampling method for any of your 8 reviews?
- 9 A. Okay. So when we worked with Dillon, the other person, 10 and Bernadette, yes, then we used a sample method.
- 11 **Q.** Could you explain to the jury what that means?
- 12 A. There's, like, thousands of entries. And we didn't hit

  13 each one of them. We did a sample. I think it was -- I don't

  14 know -- a 10 percent sample, which is adequate.
  - This is not an audit. We're not doing accounting, you know, auditing, anything like that.
  - But -- and then if we did find discrepancies, we would inquire about that, and then we would increase the sample size to compensate for that. That was the process.
  - So that would happen. And then when all that was done, then Mr. Salmon would give the okay to release the funds; get the okay, you know, the email, the funds have been verified.
  - **Q.** Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. DIAMOND: Just one more example from this group.

Mr. Jackson, please display to the jury Exhibit -- and the

THE COURT: Okay.

# BY MS. DIAMOND:

2

3

4

6

- 5 Can you take a look at this document, Mr. Ruzicka, and Q. describe this document to the jury, what this document is?
- 7 Basically, it's the, yeah, the purchaser, it's the invoice Α. number, it's the date, and it's the amount. 8
  - We need you to speak in the microphone. Thank you. Q.
- Oh, I'm sorry. 10 Α.
- 11 It's the purchaser, the person that bought the coin; the invoice number it relates to; the date it was transpired; and 12 the amount that related to that particular transaction. 13
- And are these the lists from which you would take samples 14 Q. when you did sampling for the work that you were doing? 15
- 16 Yes, but I think it was -- the spreadsheet we used was larger. So this is just a PDF they would use, so... 17
- This is a PDF of a very large spreadsheet; correct? 18 Q.
- Right. This is the section we wanted to use for the 19 verification purposes. 20
- Thank you. 21 Q.
- MS. DIAMOND: Thank you, Mr. Jackson. You may take 22 23 that down.
- In the course of your work for Mr. Andrade, 2018 and 24 25 onward, was there a period of time that he asked you to make

RUZICKA - DIRECT /

1 some expenses for him -- to pay some expenses for him out of one of his business accounts? 2

- Yes. Yes. Α.
- And what did he provide to you in order to allow you to do 4
- 5 that work?

- Well, basically, I would eventually get the invoices, and 6 Α.
- then he directed the vendors to mail them directly to me. 7
- so I would get the invoices from the vendors, and then I would 8
- tally them up, put together an email that would itemize each 9
- one, who it was from, the remaining balance due, and then ask 10
- 11 for his approval to pay it.
- And if he gave you approval to pay it, would you pay it by 12
- check, wire, or some other method? 13
- Handwritten check. 14 Α.
- Did you have a checkbook in your office for that purpose? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Could you describe what that checkbook looked like to the Q.
- jury, please? 18
- It was a big one with a carbon back on it, you know, 19
- carbon back, you know, maybe three checks per page, you know, 20
- like the big books, not a little tiny checkbook. 21
- 22 Did you save that and maintain that checkbook as part of Q.
- 23 your regular work for Mr. Andrade?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- Did you also save, as part of your regular work for 25

- 1 Mr. Andrade, any invoices that were given to you by vendors for
- 2 NAC Foundation?
- 3 A. I don't recall.
- 4 | Q. If you -- if you did, would you have saved them in your
- 5 | electronic files?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. And did you also ever receive invoices in the mail, paper
- 8 invoices?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And as to those invoices, would you save those in a paper
- 11 | file in your office?
- 12 **A.** We would probably -- maybe. We would scan them; and then
- once we scanned them, they were probably, you know, shredded.
- 14 Q. Were there any that you may have saved, or do you not
- 15 recall? It's fine if you do not recall.
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- 17 | Q. I'd like you, please, to take a look --
- 18 MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, if you could display
- 19 Exhibit 3387 for the witness and the Court and counsel.
- 20 Q. Do you see this document, Mr. Ruzicka?
- 21 **THE COURT:** Can you stop for a moment?
- Is there some difficulty in hearing?
- 23 **A JUROR:** I'd like to request a break.
- 24 THE COURT: Oh, of course.
- 25 MS. DIAMOND: Oh.

All right. Members of the jury, remember 1 THE COURT: my admonitions. Do not discuss this amongst yourselves or with 2 anyone else. 3 And we'll come back in about -- let's shoot for 20 of. 4 5 (Recess taken at 11:28 a.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 11:44 a.m.) 6 (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury.) 7 THE COURT: The jury is present. 8 You may proceed, Ms. Diamond. 9 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you. 10 Before we specifically look at the exhibit that has not 11 Q. yet been admitted that is on your screen, I want to ask you 12 that during the course of your work for Mr. Andrade, did you 13 also save things like shareholder agreements for the Aten Coin 14 15 Group? 16 Α. No. 17 Did you -- did you save copies of purchase agreements, Q. 18 some documentation if there were shares of one of his 19 companies --20 There were --Α. -- that were sold? 21 Q. 22 -- purchase agreements. Α. 23 I'm sorry. Could you --Q. There were purchase agreements, purchases. 24 Α. 25 Could you take a look at Exhibit 3387 and let me know if Q.

2353 RUZICKA - DIRECT /

```
1
     you recognize it to be one such purchase agreement.
          Yes, I do recognize it.
 2
     Α.
          This is a purchase agreement for Michael Witte; is that
 3
     correct?
 4
          Yes.
 5
     Α.
 6
     Q.
          Thank you.
              MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit.
 7
              MR. CHOU: No objection except for the Lindsay issue
 8
     potentially down the road.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. I will admit 3387.
10
          (Trial Exhibit 3387 received in evidence.)
11
              MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
12
13
          Publish to the jury just the first page so the jury can
14
     see.
          Thank you. Mr. Jackson, you may remove that exhibit.
15
16
          I'd like to show the witness, please, Exhibit 3386.
17
          And just -- Mr. Ruzicka, if you could just take a look at
     Q.
18
     this document and let me know if this is one of your emails.
          Yes.
19
     Α.
          And was this dated January 19th, 2018?
20
21
     Α.
          Yes.
22
              MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit.
                            Pardon?
23
              THE WITNESS:
24
              MS. DIAMOND: Sorry. That was to the judge.
25
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
```

- 1 MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 2 MR. CHOU: Objection. Hearsay. Can't be a business record.
  - MS. DIAMOND: He testified it was a record maintained in his files and the way he communicated with his client, Your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Well, that doesn't mean every email becomes a business record. It has to be kept in the ordinary course of business, all the litany, and I'm not sure you've established that with this document.
- 11 MS. DIAMOND: I can ask the questions of this
  12 document, Your Honor, if you need.
- THE COURT: It's not in any sort of regular form that
  would be a business record, but go ahead and ask the
  foundational questions.
- 16 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 17 Q. Mr. Ruzicka, was this document sent to you from Marcus?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

- 19 Q. And was this something you received in the regular course
- 20 of your business?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Was this record, your record of this email, this email
- 23 entirely, made at or near the time that the information was
- 24 | transmitted by someone with knowledge of the contents?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And was this record kept by you in the course of your regularly conducted business?
  - A. Yes.

6

7

8

- Q. And was making such records, saving your emails with your client, one of your regular practice of your business?
  - A. Yes, it was.
    - MR. CHOU: Objection. Lacks foundation. There's a number of different declarants in this email, and the top part is full of content that is plainly --
- THE COURT: Well, I'll admit it. 3386 is admitted.

  (Trial Exhibit 3386 received in evidence.)
- 12 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you, Your Honor.
- Q. And now, Mr. Ruzicka, I want to turn your attention not to the documents.
- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, you can -- oh, sorry.
- 16 Thank you. This has been published to the jury. They can see
- 17 it. They will have it.
- 18 Mr. Jackson, you may take this down.
- 19 **Q.** I want to focus your attention just on your place of 20 business.
- Could you describe to the jury the office suite in which your office was in 2018, just generally speaking?
- 23 A. Yeah. Executive Suite. It's its own office.
- 24 Q. Again, speak into the microphone and slowly.
- 25 A. An Executive Suite with its own office in the Executive

## RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 Suite.
- 2 Q. Was your office close to where Mr. Andrade had an office
- 3 in Las Vegas in 2018?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. In 2018, did you see Mr. Andrade at that office
- 6 frequently?
- 7 **A.** No. Just rarely.
- 8 Q. And were you there the day that the office was searched in
- 9 2018?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. Do you recall -- do you recall that event clearly at this
- 12 | time in 2025?
- 13 A. I remember something happened, but I don't know what it
- 14 was about.
- 15 | Q. Did you later, years later in 2020, receive a visit from
- 16 | IRS criminal investigators?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 MS. DIAMOND: And if we could have the witness and
- 19 the Court and counsel, please, Mr. Jackson, take a look at --
- 20 one moment -- Exhibit 3388. Please, if you could show that to
- 21 the witness.
- 22 **Q.** Do you recognize this?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And what is this document?
- 25 A. It's a scanned PDF of the agent's card, dated.

## RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 Q. Is this something that you received from the agents who
- 2 | visited you in 2020?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 | Q. And is this something that you saved in the course of your
- 5 | business to maintain your business records with notes, records,
- 6 and things?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 MS. DIAMOND: If we could then display to the jury,
- 9 | please, Exhibit 33- -- I'm sorry, not to the jury -- to the
- 10 | witness and the Court Exhibit 3389.
- 11 Q. This is a several-page document, Mr. Ruzicka. Do you
- 12 recognize the cover page that's displayed to you?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And is this a letter on Department of Justice stationery
- 15 dated February 7th, 2020?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. And does this letter advise you that it is a cover letter
- 18 for a subpoena and that disclosure of the subpoena to any third
- 19 party may impede or obstruct the investigation?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** Did you receive this in February of 2020 from the agents
- 22 | that visited with you?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit Exhibit 3388 and 3389.
- MR. CHOU: No objection.

RUZICKA - DIRECT / DIAMOND

THE COURT: 3388 and 3389 will be admitted.

(Trial Exhibits 3388 and 3389 received in evidence.)

- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, would you please display
  3389 and highlight the paragraph that begins with "This letter
  is written."
- Q. Mr. Ruzicka, after you read this portion of the cover
  letter of the subpoena that was given to you, what was your
  sense of what you needed to do after the agents had left?
- 9 A. Keep it confidential.
- 10 **Q.** Did you, in fact, follow their instructions and keep it confidential?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

2

3

4

- Q. Did you tell your client, Marcus Andrade, that the IRS agents had been to see you?
- 15 **A.** No.
- 16 Q. When the IRS agents came to see you, did they also, in fact, ask for files of yours?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you maintain electronic files similar, but greater
- 20 in volume, than the ones that we have admitted as exhibits?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Do you have an estimate of the approximate volumes of files that were electronic files that you produced to the IRS?
- 24 **A.** Thousands.
- 25 **Q.** It was over a thousand; correct?

- 1 **A.** Yeah. Yeah. Way over a thousand.
- 2 MS. DIAMOND: One moment.
- 3 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 4 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 5 Q. Sitting here today, is your memory less clear than it
- 6 | would have been in 2020 and 2021 about the work that you did
- 7 | for Mr. Andrade?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 **Q.** Did you --
- 10 A. Less clear.
- 11 Q. -- work for Mr. Andrade after 2018?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. You have a memory of doing some work in 2019 and some
- 14 | phone contact thereafter; is that right?
- 15 **A.** Yeah. There were emails that were shared.
- 16 Q. And any records of notes of communications with Marcus --
- 17 | phone communications would also have been saved in your files;
- 18 | is that right?
- 19 **A.** I believe so, yes.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 When the IRS did a search at your office, did they also
- 22 | take paper files?
- 23 **A.** They confiscated everything.
- 24 Q. They took all of your saved --
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. -- files in paper, such as they were; is that right?
- 2 A. Yeah. All the physical -- physical records, they took.
- 3 Q. Did they also take your checkbook?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And your checkbook had the carbon copies of the checks you
- 6 | had written?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you ask them when they took these documents for them
- 9 to return them to you?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Did they ever return them to you?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
- 14 No more questions.
- THE COURT: Mr. Chou?
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 | BY MR. CHOU:
- 18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Ruzicka.
- 19 **A.** Good morning. Is it morning?
- 20 Q. Counsel just asked -- briefly, counsel just asked you some
- 21 questions about a subpoena. And you saw that up on the screen?
- 22 A. Mm-hmm, yes, sir.
- 23 Q. That was a February 2020 subpoena served on your business;
- 24 correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes, sir.

- Q. And that is a different subpoena than what was served on
- 3 A. I don't understand.

the defendant; correct?

- 4 Q. You don't know whether or not there was a separate
- 5 subpoena or search warrant served on Mr. Andrade; correct?
- 6 A. Correct, I don't know that. I'm not aware of any other
- 7 subpoena.

- 8 Q. I want to just turn to your working relationship and your
- 9 relationship with Mr. Andrade.
- 10 You were -- Mr. Andrade was your client; correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes. One of many.
- 12 | Q. And he paid you by the hour; correct?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. How much did he pay you by the hour?
- 15 **A.** We did a retainer agreement, and so it varied, depending
- 16 on who worked on it. And, yeah, in other words, we invoice
- 17 | based on our hourly rate, but also I have people that are
- 18 | independent contractors or bookkeepers that we don't charge the
- 19 same rate for, so the rates vary from job to job.
- 20 **Q.** Fair to say that he paid you thousands of dollars?
- 21 | A. Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah.
- 22 \ Q. And then did there come a time where your relationship
- 23 | with Mr. Andrade became more personal?
- 24 **A.** Sometimes, yes. Good acquaintances, not personal.
- 25 \ Q. Earlier, do you remember testifying on direct about the

1 approval process for transmitting funds belonging to

- 2 Mr. Andrade?
- 3 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. What was the chain of command? Was the chain of command
- 5 | in this process that you would only transfer funds at
- 6 Mr. Andrade's direction? Mr. Andrade sat at the top of the
- 7 | chain of command; correct?
- 8 A. Right. I'm trying to think how this was done. Once it
- 9 was satisfied -- satisfy the inquiry about whether it was,
- 10 you know, properly presented, I believe, yes, then we would
- 11 | tell Marcus that; and then Marcus would say -- or tell me to
- 12 tell the attorney to release the funds, or he might have told
- 13 | the attorney directly. No, I think I told him. Actually, I
- 14 told the attorney.
- 15 Q. But fair to say, transactions, you had to speak with
- 16 Mr. Andrade and get his approval; correct?
- 17 A. Yes. I would not do it without the owner's consent.
- 18 Q. Mr. Ruzicka, do you recall speaking with federal law
- 19 enforcement on January 6th, 2025, from about 3:00 p.m. to
- 20 4:17 p.m.?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And --
- 23 **A.** On the phone.
- 24 Q. -- in that conversation, you told law enforcement that you
- 25 worked on and off for Andrade over a period of years; correct?

Q. As you testified on direct, it wasn't for a -- for the whole period of time; correct?

A. No, it was not.

Yes.

MS. DIAMOND: Objection. Misstates the evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled.

#### BY MR. CHOU:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

Α.

- Q. And, Mr. Ruzicka, you told law enforcement that you felt you were unable to help with cryptocurrency-related dealings because you were not familiar with Bitcoin; correct?
- 11 **A.** Right. It was new to me, anyway.
- 12 **Q.** And then, nonetheless, Mr. Andrade asked you to write an article about the capital gains on cryptocurrency; correct?
- A. Yes, but I did a lot of research. After I did that, then
  I was more -- more savvy in that area. I just didn't write it,
  you know. And then I would, you know, make sure we're doing
  the right things.

But we never really -- and that was for tax codes only,

for tax returns, how to report the capital gains on selling of

your cryptocurrency. So it had nothing really to do with,

you know, anything as far as cash flow was concerned or -
so...

Q. Mr. Ruzicka, you told the -- you told law enforcement that the NAC Payroll bank account -- do you recall that account? -- that you believed that money in the account was sales from --

was money from sales from an ICO; correct? 1

- I believe, but I wasn't sure. I didn't even know about 2 Α.
- the account until later, so... 3
- But that's what you told law enforcement --4 Q.
- Right. 5 A.
- -- at the time; correct? 6
- I -- I -- in the same breath, I want to say that 7 Α.
- that happened many years before that too. So I did the best I 8
- could to recall what they were asking, the questions they were 9
- asking. 10
- 11 And I think if you listen to it, you can see me -- you can
- hear me hemming and hawing, you know, as far as, you know, 12
- "Well, maybe, yeah," kind of responses. But I don't know 13
- exactly if everything I said was, you know, at the peak of my 14
- 15 memory.
- 16 Q. Understood.
- 17 And, Mr. Ruzicka, do you recall being asked about why the
- name of that account was NAC Payroll? 18
- MS. DIAMOND: Objection. This is not impeaching this 19
- witness. He can ask the witness what he said or what he 20
- remembers. He hasn't given an inconsistent statement. 21
- Right. You ask the question, and then if 22 THE COURT:
- 23 he -- if you need to remind him or attempt to refresh based on
- law enforcement interviews, you can do that, but just ask him 24
- 25 the questions.

#### BY MR. CHOU:

1

4

5

- Q. Mr. Ruzicka, you weren't sure why the NAC Payroll bank
- 3 account was named a payroll account; correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - **Q.** Even though it received sales from an ICO; correct?
- 6 MS. DIAMOND: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'd have to --
  - THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Basically, I was -- at the time, I was
- informed that this was a convenient way to run -- for this bank
- 11 | account as far as...
- 12 BY MR. CHOU:
- 13 Q. Mr. Ruzicka, just turning your -- directing your attention
- 14 to something that you testified on direct, counsel asked you
- 15 some questions about a 2018 search. Do you recall that? Do
- 16 | you recall the counsel asking those questions?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And you testified on direct that you believe your -- you
- 19 were, in fact, at the office in 2018 when there was a search;
- 20 correct?
- 21 **A.** I was down the hallway.
- 22 | Q. And you were Mr. Andrade's accountant; correct?
- 23 A. Yeah, CPA.
- As far as that's concerned, like someone can go in and out
- 25 of the Executive Suites without anybody even knowing it. So, I

- 1 mean, I think I saw something, you know, a flash come and go,
- 2 and then I -- you know, but I didn't know what it was about.
- 3 At the time, I didn't.
- Does that make sense? I mean, never mind, I guess. Ask
- 5 questions.
- 6 | Q. Mr. Ruzicka, just a few more -- a few more questions.
- 7 You don't -- do you know whether or not Marcus Andrade
- 8 | filed his personal taxes on time?
- 9 A. No, I don't -- I do not know that.
- 10 Q. As you testified on direct, you didn't do his personal
- 11 taxes; correct?
- 12 **A.** That's correct, mm-hmm.
- 13 | Q. And did you have any personal knowledge about the
- 14 representations Mr. Andrade was making about his AML BitCoin
- 15 product?
- 16 **A.** Repeat the question.
- 17 | Q. Did you have any personal knowledge about the
- 18 representations Mr. Andrade was making about the AML BitCoin
- 19 | product?
- 20 **A.** Define "representation."
- 21 MS. DIAMOND: Objection. Beyond the scope.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 BY MR. CHOU:
- 24 Q. Statements.
- 25 **A.** Okay. Repeat the question. Sorry. Use "statements" this

1 time.

- 2 Q. Mr. Ruzicka, you don't have any personal knowledge about
- 3 | the statements Mr. Andrade was making about AML BitCoin;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I don't recall -- I can't recall how to answer that
- 6 question with a couple of words.
- 7 Q. Sure. And, in fact, on direct examination, you weren't
- 8 really sure what the name of Mr. Andrade's cryptocurrency or
- 9 cryptocurrencies were; correct?
- 10 **A.** It changed, and I didn't really pay attention to it until
- 11 later.
- 12 | Q. And so you don't know whether Mr. Andrade claimed to have
- 13 | fully functioning technology built into the blockchain;
- 14 correct?
- 15 **A.** I do not know.
- 16 Q. And you don't know whether he represented or stated that
- 17 | there were business deals in active negotiations about to be
- 18 | signed; correct?
- 19 MS. DIAMOND: Objection. Beyond -- no foundation that
- 20 this would be in this witness's knowledge.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 22 BY MR. CHOU:
- 23 **Q.** Sorry, sir. The question was: You don't know whether
- 24 Mr. Andrade told folks that he had business deals that were
- 25 | about to close; correct?

1 Α. No, I don't know. I mean, I wasn't... Business dealings? I don't know what that means, but 2 3 okay. MR. CHOU: One moment. 4 5 (Pause in proceedings.) MR. CHOU: Nothing further. 6 7 Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. 8 MS. DIAMOND: If I may? 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. DIAMOND: 11 Mr. Ruzicka, did you do the tax returns for 12 NAC Foundation? 13 14 Α. No. And as a business accountant, did you consider 15 16 NAC Foundation to be needing a corporate tax return? 17 It didn't qualify for one. Α. Could you explain to the jury why it didn't qualify? 18 Basically, it was a single-member LLC, which is a limited 19 Α. 20 liability company. Please, into the microphone and slowly. Thank you. 21 Q. It was a single-member LLC, which is a limited liability 22

company; and per tax codes, those have to be reported on the

of a personal tax return. And so you couldn't really file a

personal tax returns, Schedule C, which is the business portion

23

24

```
business return, you couldn't file a partnership return, you
 1
     couldn't file a corporate return because he wasn't a
 2
     corporation, because he didn't have any partners. So that's
 3
     why.
 4
 5
          And did you ever communicate with another accountant where
     Q.
     Mr. Andrade lived in a different state about the -- any
 6
     information that that person needed to file personal tax
 7
     returns --
 8
     Α.
          Yes.
 9
          -- for Mr. Andrade?
10
     Q.
11
              MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
          Nothing further.
12
              THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Chou?
13
              MR. CHOU: Nothing further, Your Honor.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
16
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
17
                            (Witness excused.)
              MR. STEFAN:
                           The Government calls Mr. -- the defense
18
     calls Mr. John Fahy.
19
20
                          Could you repeat the name for me?
              THE COURT:
              MR. STEFAN: Mr. John Fahy.
21
22
              THE COURT:
                          Fahy.
      (Witness enters the courtroom and steps forward to be sworn.)
23
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fahy, if you could come up
24
     to the sworn.
25
```

# 1 JOHN RICHARD FAHY, called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn, 2 testified as follows: 3 I do. THE WITNESS: 4 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. State your full name and spell your last name, please. 6 THE WITNESS: John, J-o-h-n, Richard, R-i-c-h-a-r-d, 7 Fahy, F-a-h-y. 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEFAN: 10 11 Good afternoon, sir. MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, if I may retrieve the 12 exhibits, please. 13 BY MR. STEFAN: 14 15 Hello, Mr. Fahy. 16 What is your current occupation, Mr. Fahy? 17 I'm an attorney at the law firm of Whitaker Chalk in Α. 18 Fort Worth, Texas. How long have you been an attorney? 19 Q. Since 1989. 20 Α. And how long have you been at Whittaker Chalk? 21 Since 2006. 22 Α. And prior to working at Whitaker Chalk, did you have roles 23 working for the government? 24

25

Α.

Yes.

- **Q.** What were those roles?
- A. I started out my attorney career with the Texas State

  Securities Board in the Dallas office. I then moved to the

  Houston office where I was in charge of the Houston office of

  the Texas State Securities Board for five years.
  - And then in 2000, I moved to Fort Worth, which is my hometown, to work for the Fort Worth regional office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, which governed a five-state region.
- Q. And I want to make sure that everyone can hear you well enough. So you can -- yeah, or pull the mic a little bit closer to your face too.
- 13 **A.** Okay.

6

7

8

- 14 Q. There we go. I think that's better.
- And what's your specialty?
- 16 A. And then after leaving the SEC, I was general counsel for
  17 two related broker-dealer investment advisors that were
  18 eventually purchased by Fidelity.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Fahy.
- 20 **A.** And then the last thing is I worked for Fidelity after acquisition.
- 22 Q. What is your specialty in practice?
- 23 **A.** I'm a securities lawyer.
- 24 Q. And I want to talk about how your practice came to include
- 25 Mr. Andrade. How did you meet Mr. Andrade?

- 1 A. I was referred to Marcus in 2015 by Toby Galloway, who was
- 2 another attorney in Fort Worth, who had been one of my
- 3 colleagues at the SEC.
- 4 Q. Did Mr. Andrade retain you to assist him?
- 5 **A.** Mr. Andrade did not personally retain me. I was -- our
- 6 | firm was retained in various matters by NAC Foundation as well
- 7 as Black Gold Coin.
- 8 Q. The NAC Foundation, was that Mr. Andrade's -- one of
- 9 Mr. Andrade's companies?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And Black Gold Coin, was that another one of his
- 12 | companies?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. So to be clear, Mr. Andrade didn't retain you personally,
- 15 | but his companies --
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 **Q.** -- retained your firm?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what would -- how would you describe your role
- 20 | with respect to Mr. Andrade's companies?
- 21 A. I was a consulting attorney on one narrow issue, so...
- 22 | Q. What was the narrow issue on which you were a consulting
- 23 attorney?
- 24 A. On whether the tokens and coins would be securities or
- 25 not.

#### FAHY - DIRECT / STEFAL

Q. What is the importance of that question, or what was the importance to the companies?

- A. If it is a security, then there's a lot of regulation that applies to it, and you would have to approach things in a different manner. If it's not a security, then there's not.
- Q. I don't want to get too far into the weeds, but just at a thousand-foot level, what goes into determining whether or not something is a security?
- 9 **A.** There's the definition of "security" under federal and
  10 state law, and you would go through the various types of
  11 securities to see if any of them applied, and then there's some
  12 judicial case law that defines some of the types of securities.
- 13 **Q.** In your role advising Mr. Andrade on these matters, did
  14 you review documents related to the company?
- 15 **A.** Yes.

3

4

- 16 **Q.** What was your purpose in reviewing the company's documents?
- 18 A. To make sure that they weren't making representations that
  19 could lead them to be classified as a security.
- 20 **Q.** Can you give some examples of what those representations 21 might look like?
- 22 **A.** I'll grab two of them.
- One is an expectation of profit. If they're issuing press
  releases saying that they're going to make -- people are going
  to make a ton of money off this, that would tend to show that

FAHY - DIRECT / STEFAN

it's a security.

Another one is if you're purchasing an interest in a venture or in a business enterprise, that would also tend to show that it would be a security.

- Q. What's the difference between making those purchases and making some non-security purchase?
- A. Those purchases are subject to the regulation of the -- by the SEC and, in Texas, the Texas State Securities Board. And this means that people selling it have to be registered with the SEC or the Texas State Securities Board or be subject to a qualified exemption. It means that the offering needs to be registered with the SEC and the Texas State Securities Board or subject to an applicable exemption.

And that applies to resales as well. Every securities regulation governs the transaction. It doesn't govern the actual instrument. It's in the actual transaction. So if the same instrument is sold multiple times, each transaction either has to be registered or exempt. Intermediaries, such as investment advisors, would need to be registered.

- Q. And just on a practical level, if somebody is purchasing a security, what's the difference between purchasing something that's a security and purchasing something that's just a commodity or some other asset? From a practical level, what's the difference to that person?
- A. Well, commodities are subject to their own regulatory

- 1 regime, and I'm not a commodities lawyer. And I should be more
- 2 | precise. Commodity -- you know, derivatives of commodities
- 3 | are. And I was not engaged on commodities, but commodities are
- 4 not --
- 5 | Q. I think I -- I think I misspoke.
- 6 **A.** Okay.
- 7 | Q. What's the difference between buying a security and just
- 8 buying a trinket, buying something that, you know, you like at
- 9 | the store to take home and put on your mantel?
- 10 A. Well, then you have an asset that you own. And a
- 11 | security, you have -- when you're getting a security, you're
- 12 | getting, you know, interest in a business enterprise.
- 13 Q. Okay. So that would be the difference then?
- 14 **A.** That would be a big difference, yes.
- 15 **Q.** Prior to you beginning work with the NAC Foundation and
- 16 | Black Cold Coin, were you aware of prior attorneys offering
- 17 Mr. Andrade advice regarding his coin or token security status?
- 18 A. I believe there was a letter in 2014 from somebody named
- 19 | Tracy.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: Can we please show Mr. Fahy Exhibit 2814.
- 21 Q. Mr. Fahy, do you see Exhibit 2814?
- 22 **A.** Yes. I see the first page of it.
- 23 MR. STEFAN: We can advance to the second page.
- 24 And then the third page.
- 25 **THE WITNESS:** Okay.

#### BY MR. STEFAN:

- 2 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 3 **A.** Yes.

- 4 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 5 | A. It was provided to me in my -- connection with my work for
- 6 NAC Foundation.
- 7 Q. Is the document in the same condition -- or, basically,
- 8 does the document accurately reflect a copy of the document
- 9 provided to you in your role in the NAC position?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 MR. STEFAN: Defense moves to admit.
- 12 MR. WARD: Objection. Hearsay. He didn't write the
- 13 document.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, the Government is not --
- 16 pardon me -- the defense is not moving to admit for the truth
- of the matters asserted but, rather, for the fact that this
- 18 opinion regarding the status of the coin was provided to
- 19 Mr. Andrade and the effect that that had on the course of his
- 20 business thereafter.
- 21 THE COURT: You're running right into the issue we
- 22 | talked about this morning, in my view.
- MR. STEFAN: Mr. Andrade, in this instance --
- THE COURT: I understand, but, no, you're --
- MR. STEFAN: Understood, Your Honor.

FAHY - DIRECT / STEFAN

We discussed an issue this morning, and 1 THE COURT: this opinion letter goes right to that issue. So, sustained. 2

MR. STEFAN: I'll move on, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good.

## BY MR. STEFAN:

- Q. Did you review the letter that was provided to Mr. Andrade from another attorney?
- Yes. Α. 8

3

4

5

6

7

- And did you have feedback on that letter for Mr. Andrade? 9 Q.
- I recall that my primary feedback is that this letter 10 11 only --
- MR. WARD: Objection. This is --12
- THE COURT: Yes. Just a "yes" or "no." 13
- THE WITNESS: Oh. Yes. 14

#### 15 BY MR. STEFAN:

- 16 Okay. Following the --
- MR. STEFAN: And we can take down Exhibit 2814. 17
- I want to turn to a period around the 2017 time frame. 18 Q.
- Were you involved in work on the terms and conditions and white 19
- paper for the AML BitCoin ICO? 20
- Yes, I was a consulting attorney on that. 21 Α.
- I want to show you Exhibit 2471, which was previously 22 admitted. 23
- MR. STEFAN: And we can go ahead and move to the 24 25 second page.

FAHY - DIRECT / STEFAN

1 Q. Mr. Fahy, do you recognize this?

Yes. Α.

2

5

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Are these the terms and conditions that you consulted on 3 for the NAC Foundation during the AML BitCoin ICO? 4
  - Α. Yes.
- What was your objective and your role as consultant for --6 for the NAC Foundation in reviewing the terms and conditions? 7
  - It was to make sure that it had provisions in there addressing the elements of when something is a security.
  - MR. STEFAN: Can we turn to page 4, please, and zoom in on paragraph 14, 15, and -- 14 and 15.
- MR. WARD: Your Honor, I'm going to object on the same 12 13 ground we discussed before.
  - MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, this is an admitted document --
    - THE COURT: I understand.
- MR. STEFAN: -- and --17
  - THE COURT: So what are you -- what is your basis for this question? What's the relevance you're trying to derive here?
    - MR. STEFAN: Well, these are representations that were made to the purchasers of the AML BitCoin in terms and conditions that they reviewed prior to purchase and reflect Mr. Andrade's intent with respect to what he was marketing the coin as.

THE COURT: All right. I'll allow the question.

Go ahead.

#### BY MR. STEFAN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

- Q. What was your -- well, what was your purpose in -- actually, strike that question.
- Just reviewing this language here, what's the significance of the representation that it's a medium of exchange and not a pooled interest?
- 9 A. It says a medium of exchange, it's an asset. It's not an interest in a business enterprise.
- 11 **Q.** This would be a distinction. If it was a business -12 interest in a business enterprise, it could be a security?
- 13 **A.** That's correct.
- MR. STEFAN: And if we could make sure we get the entirety of line 15.
  - Q. How about line 15? What's the significance of this line with respect to the coin's status?
- 18 **A.** Expectation of profit is one of the elements of one of the 19 tests to whether something's a security or not.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: We can back out of this exhibit.
- 21 And please display Exhibit 2470, which was previously 22 admitted.
- Q. This is just the first page, but do you recognize what's being shown to you here in 2470?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 | Q. This is the white paper for the AML BitCoin ICO?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. What was your role with respect to the AML BitCoin white paper?
- 5 **A.** I was a consulting attorney on certain issues involving 6 the white paper.
- 7 Q. And did you work with anyone else in the process of consulting on the white paper?
- 9 **A.** An attorney named Neil Sunkin, S-u-n-k-i-n.
- 10 **Q.** This white paper, does it contain any language regarding the use of purchaser funds?
- 12 A. It does not contain a use-of-proceeds provision --
- 13 | disclosure.
- 14 Q. When you say "use of proceeds," what do you mean?
- 15 A. If it is a security, it would be common and expected to
- 16 have a table in the disclosure document showing what the
- enterprise is going to be spending the funds raised on. This
- white paper does not include a use-of-proceeds table or other
- 19 disclosure.
- 20 Q. Why doesn't it include that language?
- 21 | A. Because if you have a use of proceeds, that indicates that
- 22 you're being involved in a business enterprise. If there is no
- 23 business enterprise, you're just buying an asset. Then that
- 24 | would not be relevant.
- 25 \ Q. So per the terms of the white paper and the terms and

1 conditions, how could purchaser money be spent?

- A. The net proceeds could be spent as NAC Foundation want
- 3 them to be spent.

2

8

9

10

- 4 Q. Were there any restrictions, based on the terms that we've
- 5 reviewed, on how those proceeds could be spent?
- 6 A. No. I want to clarify. It's net proceeds was my answer.
- 7 You said "proceeds." Net proceeds.
  - Q. Understood.
    - What was communicated to Mr. Andrade regarding how the net proceeds could be used?
- 11 MR. WARD: Objection. Hearsay.
  - MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, this is --
- 13 **THE COURT:** Was communicated to him by this witness?
- 14 MR. STEFAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. You can testify to that.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I had specific conversations with him
- 17 | about using the proceeds to pay my -- to pay the Black Gold
- 18 | Coin invoices generated by my firm in applying for
- 19 international patents across the world. So I had a specific --
- 20 I had specific conversations regarding using these proceeds to
- 21 do intellectual property work that would be owned by him.
- 22 BY MR. STEFAN:
- 23 | Q. And the intellectual property work you indicated was for
- 24 | this Black Gold Coin, Incorporated, business?
- 25 **A.** Yes, that's correct.

- FAHY DIRECT / STEFAN
- FARI DIRECT / SIEFE
- 1 Q. Beyond specific statements, when you say it would be owned
- 2 personally by him, can you explain that? What do you mean by
- 3 | that?
- 4 | A. Well, I spoke inexactly. It would be owned by -- the
- 5 | intellectual property is owned by Black Gold Coin. Black Gold
- 6 | Coin was our client. The proceeds could be -- you know, I told
- 7 | Marcus that the proceeds could be used to pay our firm for the
- 8 Black Gold Coin work, and Black Gold Coin was beneficially
- 9 owned by Marcus.
- 10 Q. Did you communicate to Mr. Andrade any restrictions on the
- 11 use of the proceeds based on the terms and conditions or the
- 12 | white paper?
- 13 A. No. I communicated to him that it could be used for --
- 14 the net proceeds could be used for purposes as he desires.
- 15 **Q.** So essentially, for whatever purposes he saw fit?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. And part of that conversation was discussion of the IP
- 18 | that he was using your firm to work on?
- 19 A. Yes. He could pay my firm.
- 20 \ Q. That's one example of the things he could do with --
- 21 **A.** Right.
- 22 **Q.** -- the money?
- I believe you said correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And with respect to the terms and conditions and the white

FAHY - DIRECT / STEFAN

paper, was there any restriction endorsed by those documents on 1

- Mr. Andrade spending the funds on a house?
- Α. No. 3

2

- Or on a car? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. No.
- MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, may I have a moment? 6
- THE COURT: 7 You may, yes.
  - (Pause in proceedings.)
- MR. STEFAN: I have what's been marked as 9
- Exhibit 2274. And, Your Honor, I'm just going to authenticate 10
- this document. 11
- THE COURT: Okay. 12
- 13 MR. STEFAN: I provided a copy to Mr. Fahy so we can
- quickly look through it. 14
- 15 If you can flip through that and just let me know if you
- 16 recognize it.
- 17 Α. (Witness examines document.) Yes. This is an 11-page
- letter I wrote. 18
- Okay. And is that an accurate copy of the letter that you 19
- 20 wrote?
- 21 Yes. Α.
- What's the date on it? 22 Q.
- September 26, 2017. 23 Α.
- And the title? 24 Q.
- "AML BitCoin" is the subject matter. 25 Α.

FAHY - CROSS / WARD

1 MR. STEFAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Ward?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 5 BY MR. WARD:
- 6 Q. It's afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Fahy.
- 7 **A.** Hi.

2

3

- 8 Q. Mr. Fahy, you're a securities lawyer -- a securities
- 9 | lawyer?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. And you specialize in securities law; correct?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 | Q. And when you were advising Marcus Andrade, you testified
- 14 | that you were advising him on the narrow issue of securities
- 15 | law, a narrow securities law issue?
- 16 A. I want to be clear that Marcus wasn't personally a client.
- 17 | NAC and Black Gold Coin were.
- 18 | Q. But you were personally advising Marcus Andrade?
- 19 A. Yes, on behalf of NAC Foundation or Black Gold Coin.
- 20 **Q.** And you were advising him on the narrow issue of whether
- 21 or not AML BitCoin was a security?
- 22 **A.** That's almost right. I was advising him on how to draft
- 23 documents that indicate that -- so that the elements of what is
- 24 a security are not met by drafting those documents.
- 25 Q. Because the goal was to have AML BitCoin not be classified

- 1 as a security?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. And there are different rules and regulations that governs
- 4 | when you sell something as a security versus selling it when
- 5 it's not a security?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. And they can be very technical and nuanced distinctions?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 **Q.** But at the end of the day, the laws governing fraud and
- 10 | fraudulent misrepresentations, those apply to securities or
- 11 | non-securities?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And there's nothing that allows a material
- 14 | misrepresentation to be made if something's a security or not a
- 15 security?
- 16 A. Those would be different causes of action, but yes.
- 17 | Q. They would be different causes of action, but they would,
- 18 | nonetheless, be a cause of action if there were material
- 19 representations made, regardless of whether it's a security or
- 20 | a commodity?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** Or a stock or a cryptocurrency?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And your advice wasn't -- your advice was just on whether
- 25 | it was a security?

FAHY - CROSS / WARD

2386

- Q. Your advice was just focused on this narrow technical question of whether AML BitCoin was a security and the representations being made about whether it was a security?
  - A. Yes, at this time.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Even within that context, you're -- you just testified that you were advising Marcus Andrade and the NAC Foundation that they shouldn't make representations that would lead purchasers to believe they could make a profit? That they -- that they would --
- **A.** Yes, to avoid language promising profits.
- 12 MR. WARD: Can we pull up Exhibit 776. This has
  13 already been admitted. If we could blow up the...
- Q. Mr. Fahy, this is a letter -- an email from Japheth
  Dillman, cc'd to Marcus Andrade, to potential investors.
  - When it's written -- do you see at the bottom, in the third-to-the-last paragraph, where it says [as read]:
  - "In my humble opinion, it would be an incredible boon to your investment funds"?
    - That's a statement that would lead someone to believe they could make a profit from investing or purchasing AML BitCoin?
- 22 **A.** Or investing whatever we're talking about here.
- Who is Japheth Dillman?
- 24 Q. Mr. Fahy, I just asked you about the sentence.
- 25 **A.** Okay.

FAHY - CROSS / WARD

2387

```
1
    Q.
          The sentence --
```

- That sentence indicates an intent to -- that somebody would be making more money than they put in, an expectation of profits.
  - Okay. Thank you. Q.
- Mr. Fahy, did you ever test or analyze the AML BitCoin 6 technology? 7
  - Α. No.

2

3

4

5

- Were you ever able to determine whether AML BitCoin was a 9 Q. developed product that was complying with AML or KYC? 10
- 11 Α. No. That was beyond the scope of my engagement.
- And you never confirmed whether or not it had biometrics 12 embedded in the blockchain or in the coin? 13
- That was beyond the scope of my engagement. 14 Α.
- MR. WARD: Let's pull up the white paper, then, of 15 16 the -- sorry -- Exhibit 2470. It's been admitted.
- I don't know that we have that. I can throw it up on 17 the -- I'm going to throw it up on the Elmo because we don't 18 have it. 19
- Can we show the Elmo, publish to the jury and the witness, 20 21 please.
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: There it is. 22
- BY MR. WARD: 23
- So you testified, Mr. Fahy, that you advised Marcus 24 25 Andrade and the NAC Foundation on this white paper.

- A. On certain portions of the white paper, not the whole thing.
- 3 **Q.** Oh, just certain portions?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. So that wouldn't -- when it says here in the third
- 6 paragraph, "AML BitCoin was created with anti-money laundering,
- 7 | anti-terrorism, and theft-resistant properties built into the
- 8 | code, " you didn't advise Mr. Andrade on whether that was true
- 9 or not true?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 **Q.** Okay.
- 12 **THE COURT:** No, you didn't?
- 13 | BY MR. WARD:
- 14 Q. You didn't advise him?
- 15 **A.** I did not -- was not advising him on those provisions.
- 16 Q. Okay. And any of the representations in the white paper
- 17 about the state of the technology, the state of the product,
- 18 | that was beyond the scope of what you were advising him on?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 MR. WARD: Okay. We can take this off.
- 21 Q. Mr. Fahy, did you review any of the tweets or Facebook
- 22 posts that Mr. Andrade posted?
- 23 A. I don't recall. It's a long time ago.
- 24 Q. Did Mr. Andrade ever ask -- clear with you any of the
- 25 representations that he made in any of his social media before

```
1 he posted?
```

- 2 **A.** I did mark up some press releases. I don't recall social
- 3 | media. I don't know if that was part of that or not.
- 4 Q. You didn't review any of the tweets that Marcus Andrade --
- 5 **A.** I don't recall reviewing tweets.
- 6 | Q. All right. Did you review any of the news articles that
- 7 | were drafted and written about AML BitCoin?
- 8 **A.** I drafted -- I reviewed a few press releases that were
- 9 provided to me.
- 10 Q. Okay. But let's -- I want to make a distinction. I get
- 11 it. The press release is one thing, but did you review drafts
- 12 of newspaper articles?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- 14 Q. Do you recall ever looking at an article that ran in the
- 15 | Washington Times?
- 16 **A.** I don't recall that.
- 17 | Q. All right. And what about *Investors Business Daily*? Do
- 18 | you remember that article?
- 19 A. I don't recall that.
- 20 Q. All right. And you said you reviewed some press releases.
- 21 MR. WARD: Could we show the witness Exhibit 2277.
- 22 This has not been admitted.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Has not, you say?
- 24 MR. WARD: Has not been admitted. It's a defense
- 25 exhibit.

FAHY - CROSS / WARD 1 THE COURT: So -- okay. BY MR. WARD: 2 Can you take a look at that, Mr. Fahy. Can you see that? 3 Q. I don't see anything. 4 5 MR. WARD: Can we do the Elmo just for the witness? THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I think so, yeah. 6 BY MR. WARD: 7 It's a little harder on this, Mr. Fahy, so I'll... 8 Does this appear to be a press release that you reviewed? 9 Yes, this looks like a press release that I reviewed. 10 Α. 11 And would the redlines be the things that you advised be Q. taken out of the press release? 12 13 Α. Yes. MR. WARD: We can move to admit Exhibit 2277. 14 MR. STEFAN: No objection. 15 16 THE COURT: 2277, it is? Yes, 2277 will be admitted. (Trial Exhibit 2277 received in evidence.) 17 BY MR. WARD: 18 This was a press release you received from Marcus Andrade 19 and the NAC Foundation? 20 21 I believe that's where it came from. Α. 22 MR. WARD: Oh, can we publish too? THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I did. 23

MR. WARD: Can we see it?

In the redline, you advised Mr. Andrade not to say that

24

Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS Document 628 Filed 03/23/25 Page 161 of 198 2391 FAHY - CROSS / WARD

[as read]:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

"The NAC Foundation has fielded a torrent of demand from potential purchasers, but has been unable to offer" --

THE COURT: You have to slow down.

Sorry. MR. WARD:

- [As read]: Q.
  - -- "but has been unable to offer these excited buyers a chance to acquire the AML BitCoin, after its successful initial coin offering was concluded weeks ago."
    - And you advised him not to say that?
- 13 That's what it appears.
- And looking at page 2, but nonetheless, he could say 14 15 [as read]:
  - "NAC Foundation is the creator of AML BitCoin, the world's only patent-pending digital currency with anti-money laundering, know-your-customer technology"?
  - I was not dealing with technology issues.
- Okay. Did you advise Mr. Andrade on any of his potential 21 Q.
- business deals? 22
- For Black Gold Coin, yes. 23 There was -- I was his counsel in a contract that was entered between Black Cold Coin and 24
- 25 Deloitte Corporate Finance.

- 1 Q. Were you involved in any negotiations with Panama or
- 2 Panama Canal?
- 3 **A.** No.
- 4 | Q. And were you involved in any negotiations or any
- 5 discussions with the Port of San Francisco?
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 Q. Mr. Fahy, looking at the white paper, in your
- 8 understanding of the business, the white paper is representing
- 9 that the NAC Foundation is working to develop and has developed
- 10 | the AML BitCoin technology; correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And the expectation was that the NAC Foundation and Marcus
- 13 Andrade would continue to work on developing the coin and the
- 14 | technology?
- 15 **A.** Can you give me a time frame?
- 16 Q. During the time frame of the white paper and the ICO in
- 17 | 2017, was the expectation that the NAC Foundation was going to
- 18 | continue to develop the technology and had developed the
- 19 | technology related to AML BitCoin?
- 20 **A.** Yeah, once again, technology was not what I was engaged
- 21 on.
- 22 Q. But in terms of the business, wasn't the expectation that
- 23 the business was working on this technology?
- 24 **A.** It was a deliverable.
- 25 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Vaque. Whose expectation?

1 THE WITNESS: Whose expectation? Yeah.

BY MR. WARD:

- Q. Was it the expectation of the readers of the white paper that the NAC Foundation was working on the AML BitCoin technology?
- 6 MR. STEFAN: Objection. Speculation.
- 7 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 8 BY MR. WARD:
- 9 **Q.** When you worked on the white paper, was it your understanding that the white paper would be given to investors and purchasers of the coin?
- 12 A. Can you rephrase that question, since it's --
- Q. Did you understand that the white paper was going to be used and presented to purchasers of the coin?
- 15 A. Yes, the white paper would go to purchasers of the coin.
- 16 Q. And that they would --
- 17 **A.** And the tokens as well.
- 18 Q. And purchasers of the token and the coin would look to the
- 19 white paper and rely on the white paper in making their
- 20 purchasing decisions?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And as part of that reliance, was it not part of the white
- 23 paper that the NAC Foundation would be using the money to
- 24 develop the coin and develop the technology?
- 25 **A.** I don't recall the white paper saying that. If you can

```
1 refresh my recollection, I'd like to see that.
```

- 2 | Q. Did the -- did the white paper say that the money would be
- 3 | used by Marcus Andrade to buy a house and a car?
- 5 **Q.** And did it say anywhere that he would take the money and
- 6 use it for his personal expenses?
- 7 | A. That was understood. That's what happens when you delete
- 8 | the "use of proceeds."
- 9 Q. It doesn't say that in the white paper, though?
- 10 A. The "use of proceeds" was deleted from the white paper.
- 11 **Q.** Oh, that part was deleted?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 MR. WARD: Okay. One moment.
- 14 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 15 BY MR. WARD:
- 16 Q. Did Mr. Andrade ever ask your advice on purchasing a
- 17 | Super Bowl ad?
- 18 A. I don't recall that.
- 19 Q. And did Mr. Andrade ever discuss with you the use of
- 20 | trading between accounts on a cryptocurrency exchange? Did he
- 21 ever discuss that with you?
- 22 A. I don't recall that.
- 23 MR. WARD: Okay. Nothing further.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Anything further?

FAHY - REDIRECT / STEFAN

1 MR. STEFAN: Yes, Your Honor.

Can I inquire, has Exhibit 824 been admitted?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 824?

MR. STEFAN: Yes.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't see it. No, I don't

think so. 6

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

MR. STEFAN: Okay. We can pull that down.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. STEFAN:
- Mr. Fahy, the Government counsel asked you about 10
- 11 Exhibit 2277, which was that IDAX press release. Do you recall
- making the edits to the document that they spoke to you about? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- Do you know in what state the document was eventually 14
- released or if it was released? 15
- 16 Α. I don't.
- Okay. You had mentioned to -- you'd mentioned to 17 Q.
- Government counsel a contract that you assisted Mr. Andrade 18
- with in a negotiation with Deloitte. 19
- That's correct. 20 Α.
- Do you recall when about you engaged in that negotiation? 21
- 22 Α. In 2019-2020.
- 23 What was the nature of the negotiation that you were
- engaged in with Deloitte? 24
- 25 Α. They were going -- Deloitte Corporate Finance is a FINRA

- 1 | member, and it was going to act as a broker in the sale of the
- 2 | Black Gold -- some of the Black Gold Coin patent and other
- 3 intellectual property.
- 4 | Q. What was contemplated with respect to the price of the
- 5 | sale that Deloitte was expecting to receive?
- 6 \ A. Deloitte was expecting to receive 15 percent of 80 to
- 7 100 million.
- 8 Q. 80 to 100 million dollars?
- 9 **A.** Yeah, with them getting 15 percent.
- 10 MR. STEFAN: Can I see Exhibit 2232.
- 11 Thank you, Ed.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize what I have just shown you?
- 13 **A.** Can we go forward to see the signature pages?
- 14 **Q.** Yes.
- MR. STEFAN: Could we advance to page 4, please.
- 16 Q. So that's one signature. And then -- okay. I apologize.
- 17 I cannot find the second signature block.
- 18 | A. I am familiar with that Deloitte also signed it, and I
- 19 have seen the executed version.
- 20 Q. Okay. So the version that you're seeing on this screen is
- 21 | absent Deloitte's execution of the signature on the document?
- 22 **A.** But I have seen the executed version --
- 23 **Q.** Okay.
- 24 **A.** -- in preparation for this testimony.
- 25 Q. Absent that execution signature, is the rest of this

FAHY - RECROSS / WARD

document, as far as you can tell, substantially the contract that was entered into with Deloitte?

- A. Yes, it is. It's the execution version. It's not the execution -- it's not the fully executed copy, though.
- Q. Understood.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, at this time we're just authenticating this document.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STEFAN: Thank you, sir.

I don't have any further questions.

MR. WARD: Just briefly.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 13 BY MR. WARD:
- 14 Q. Mr. Fahy, Mr. Stefan asked you about this contract with
- 15 Deloitte. That was in February of 2020; correct?
- 16 A. It was the first part of 2020. I don't -- I'm not going
- 17 | to be able to -- it's five years ago. The exact month, I'm not
- 18 | going to be able to tell you.
- 19 Q. Okay. But that was two years after the ICO?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** And it was two years after the pre-ICO sales?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. And there was never -- nothing ever came of the Deloitte
- 24 | engagement? There was never any sale of any patent portfolio?
- 25 A. Deloitte terminated it after he was -- Marcus was

```
indicted.
 1
              MR. WARD: Nothing further.
 2
          Thanks.
                   Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: You may step down.
 4
 5
                            (Witness excused.)
              MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, the defense will call Arthur
 6
     Weissman to the stand.
 7
          If I may have a moment.
 8
              THE COURT: How's the jury doing? We've got another
 9
     40 minutes. Does anybody need a break or can you hang in
10
11
     there? A break is requested?
          Oh, good. All right. Thank you.
12
          I assumed the thumbs-up was in favor of a break as opposed
13
     to the other way around.
14
15
      (Witness enters the courtroom and steps forward to be sworn.)
16
              THE COURT: If you could come forward, please, and
17
     come to the stand.
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Right this way.
18
          Please raise your right hand.
19
                         ARTHUR NORMAN WEISSMAN,
20
     called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn,
21
     testified as follows:
22
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
23
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated.
24
25
          Can you state your full name and spell your last name?
```

# WEISSINGER - DIRECT / DIAMONI

THE WITNESS: Sure. May name is Arthur Norman
Weissman, W-e-i-s-s-m-a-n.

## **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

## BY MS. DIAMOND:

- Q. Good morning -- or good afternoon, Mr. Weissman.
- I'd ask, when you testify, if you could speak directly
  into the microphone. Feel free to face the jury if you feel
  comfortable doing that, and speak slowly enough so we can all
  understand you clearly. Thank you.
- 10 **A.** Sure.

3

4

- 11 Q. Mr. Weissman, did you have -- what is your field of
- 12 business?
- 13 A. My field of business is providing back-office services to
- 14 | privately held companies that have investors' investments.
- 15 \ Q. And what does back-office services involve?
- 16 **A.** So that involves administrative work, organizing items,
- 17 | compliance, bookkeeping, just for the most part making sure
- 18 | that you're following the rules.
- 19 Q. So when people create companies, they would hire someone
- 20 | like you to help them do the details to keep them in check; is
- 21 | that fair?
- 22 **A.** I think that's fair, yeah.
- 23 | Q. Did you have occasion to do some work, whether or not you
- 24 | were paid for it, with a man named Japheth Dillman in 2020 --
- 25 | sorry -- 2017 or 2018?

2400 WEISSINGER - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 Α. Yes.
- Could you describe to the jury what sort of work or 2
- discussions about work that you and Mr. Dillman engaged in? 3
- Just briefly. 4
- 5 Sure. Α.
- 6 High level.
- Mr. Dillman wanted to launch investment vehicles, wanted 7
- to start companies, and was asking for help and support on how 8
- to structure them, how to set them up, and how to operate them. 9
- Was one of the companies that you helped him with named 10 Q.
- 11 Block Bits AML Holdings LLC?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 0. What is an LLC?
- LLC stands for limited liability company. It's a type of 14
- 15 corporation that is registered with the states.
- 16 Does it create a sort of partnership or shareholder
- 17 arrangement between the members?
- 18 Correct. So an LLC would have members, and all the Α.
- members are part owners of the LLC. 19
- And all the members would accrue or receive some tax 20
- consequence as a result of any money in, money out to the 21
- 22 corporation; is that right?
- 23 It's sometimes referred to as a pass-through entity.
- So each one of the members are shareholders, and they would 24
- 25 receive their pro rata share of taxes and profits and losses.

## WEISSINGER - DIRECT / DIAMOND

- 1 Q. "Pro rata" meaning it's per share, not per member; is that
- 2 right?
- 3 A. Correct. Correct.
- 4 Q. So some members may have a lot of shares, and some members
- 5 | may have few?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. For Block Bits AML Holdings, did you yourself become a
- 8 | member at some point?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. And were you familiar with a member whose name is
- 11 | Ben Boyer?
- 12 **A.** I am familiar, yes.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 MS. DIAMOND: One moment.
- Mr. Jackson, could you please display for the witness and
- 16 | the Court and counsel Exhibit 2743.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Weissman, are you familiar with the first page of the
- 18 document that appears on your screen?
- 19 A. It looks familiar, yes.
- 20 Q. And what is this document?
- 21 **A.** This document is a company agreement of
- 22 | Block Bits AML Holdings LLC.
- 23 **Q.** Thank you.
- MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit.
- 25 MR. HIGHSMITH: Hearsay.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         And what is the exception?
              MS. DIAMOND: I -- this -- sorry.
 2
          This witness used this document, signed this document,
 3
     participated in this document. I can establish more,
 4
 5
     Your Honor, more foundation for him to be familiar with this
     document and what it is, to explain to the jury. If I may,
 6
     I'll ask a few more questions.
 7
              THE COURT: I'm not sure that's going to take care of
 8
     the objection, but what is this?
 9
              MS. DIAMOND: It's not offered for the truth of the
10
     matter itself. It's offered as an exem- -- to show what the
11
     document is. There's relevance to this case that will be
12
     evident in the next exhibit that this witness will be
13
     identifying, but I can ask some more questions of this witness
14
     if --
15
16
              THE COURT: Well, you can go ahead. I am not sure the
     questions you sort of suggest you're going to ask are going to
17
18
     go to the objection that Mr. Highsmith has made.
          But you're saying you're offering it not for the truth?
19
              MS. DIAMOND: I'm offering it for the fact of --
20
              THE COURT: I understand -- pardon?
21
              MS. DIAMOND:
                            Sorry. We will be offering it for the
22
23
     fact of the signature pages that will be in the next exhibit.
              THE COURT: Okay. And what's the significance or
24
25
     relevance of the signature pages? If it's not -- okay.
```

```
1 It's not offered for the truth. So then what's the relevance?
```

MS. DIAMOND: The relevance is it's directly connected to the Count One funds, the funds --

THE COURT: To what?

MS. DIAMOND: The transaction charged in Count One in this case is directly relevant to this document, and I will establish that.

THE COURT: Why don't you ask a few more questions because I --

MS. DIAMOND: Sure.

- 11 Q. Mr. Weissman, do you know how this document came to be drafted?
- 13 A. I -- my recollection of this document, it was drafted off
  14 of a template that was taken down from -- purchased from the
  15 Internet.
- 16 **Q.** And was this for one of the companies that Mr. Dillman was 17 starting?
- 18 **A.** That is my understanding, yes.
- 19 Q. And did you help him in adapting the template to create
- 20 | this document?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 21 **A.** I provided some administrative help. I did not do any
- 22 | legal work on the document.
- 23 Q. And you reviewed it before it was finalized; correct?
- 24 A. From an administrative perspective. I'm not a lawyer. So
- 25 | just from -- just from reviewing that it's there.

- Q. And is this a document that any of the partners that
  joined Block Bits AML Holdings LLC signed in order to become a
- A. Yes. So to become a member, you would have to sign the
- operating agreement, which is what this agreement is.
- 6 MS. DIAMOND: If we could take this exhibit down for now.
- And for the witness and the Court, please display

  Exhibit 2744.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Weissman?
- 11 A. I've seen this document before, yes.
- 12 **Q.** What is this document?
- 13 A. This is the signature page for someone that would sign to
- 14 become a member of the LLC.
- 15 Q. Is this a document signed by Ben Boyer on January 11th,
- 16 | 2018?
- 17 A. I believe that's Ben Boyer's signature, but I can't tell
- 18 | for sure.

member?

- 19 MS. DIAMOND: If you could scroll to the next page,
- 20 Mr. Jackson, to display the schedule of contribution by the
- 21 | signer of this document.
- 22 **Q.** Do you see that there, Mr. Weissman?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 24 \ Q. And can you read the name of the member that was joining
- 25 | Block Bits AML Holdings?

```
A. Yes. It says --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object to -she can just ask the question directly rather than just reading
off the document --

MS. DIAMOND: Fine.

MR. HIGHSMITH: -- that's not admitted into evidence.

It's entering the hearsay document rather than just asking the question straightforward.

THE COURT: Well, depending -- all right.

Well, I'll sustain that objection. Ask a new question.

MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, I'm so sorry. May I have the court reporter tell me the objection that was sustained? I don't want to ask that guestion again. I want to --

THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't -- I think the easier way to do it is, let's just try a new question, and then we'll see if that works.

# MS. DIAMOND: Sure.

- Q. In creating the Block Bits Holding AM -- sorry -- Block
  Bits AML Holding LLC, was the purpose -- the purpose was to
  create a pooled resource for investing in AML BitCoin; is that
  right?
- 22 **A.** That's what Japheth Dillman wanted to do, correct.
- 23 **Q.** Japheth Dillman is the person who told you what you learned about AML BitCoin; is that right?
- 25 A. Correct.

```
He actually engaged in a lot of sales presentations to
 1
     Q.
    people to try to solicit more investors into --
 2
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Object. Leading.
 3
              MS. DIAMOND: Oh, sorry.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase it.
    BY MS. DIAMOND:
 6
          Are you aware of any marketing or presentation efforts by
 7
    Mr. Dillman that were conducted in your presence to solicit
 8
     investors into Block Bits AML Holdings LLC?
 9
          Yes.
10
     Α.
11
          Could you tell the jury about what you were aware of, what
     you participated in?
12
13
     Α.
          What I'm aware of is that Japheth Dillman made a --
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection, Your Honor.
14
15
    Mr. Dillman's statements, then it's hearsay. If it's his
16
     statements, it's not. But I'm objecting to Mr. Dillman's
17
    hearsay statements.
              MS. DIAMOND: Not offered for the truth, Your Honor.
18
     They're offered for the fact that they were made as marketing
19
     pitches for Dillman's entity in Mr. Weissman's presence.
20
              THE COURT: And what's missing is the relevance of
21
            What is the relevance?
22
     that.
              MS. DIAMOND: The relevance is --
23
              THE COURT: You know, I tell you what. Why don't
24
```

we --

Members of the jury, after I patted ourselves on the back 1 for not having sidebars, you can forget that. I need a 2 sidebar. 3 (Laughter.) 4 5 THE COURT: So let's go to the side. (The following proceedings were heard at the sidebar:) 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Where are we going? MS. DIAMOND: There's an entity that did its own 8 plans, that had its own members, that excluded Mr. Andrade. 9 They had emails. They didn't include Mr. Andrade. They were 10 11 working for their own profit that was in contravention of the terms of Mr. Andrade's own contracts, which permit using token 12 purchases for investments, pooled resources, shares, equity, 13 expectation of partnership in companies. Their contract is 14 15 materially different than Mr. Andrade's company. 16 And Ben Boyer signed their contract, not Mr. Andrade's 17 contract. There's no evidence that he signed Mr. Andrade's 18 contract. He didn't interact with Mr. Andrade. interacted with the people that Mr. Weissman was working on. 19 Okay. So you're saying this goes to 20 THE COURT: 21 the -- to the wire that is Mr. Boyer's --22 MS. DIAMOND: Yes. 23 THE COURT: -- wire? MS. DIAMOND: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: All right.

That is where the wire went to. 1 MS. DIAMOND: 2 THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIAMOND: It was under the control of Mr. Dillman, 3 and Mr. Andrade was not aware of it, was not told of it, and 4 5 that goes to Mr. Andrade's intent. THE COURT: It sounds like she should be able to 6 7 explore that. MR. HIGHSMITH: As long as she's not getting the 8 hearsay statements for truth of the hearsay statements, then --9 MS. DIAMOND: I would never offer Mr. Dillman's 10 statements for the truth. 11 MR. HIGHSMITH: We don't --12 THE COURT: I'll let -- I mean, it goes to -- they're 13 attacking the nitty-gritty of the wire here and who signed 14 15 what. 16 And I'm willing to let you do that. 17 MS. DIAMOND: And the joint intent between Mr. Andrade 18 and Mr. Dillman, which we do not think existed. THE COURT: Now, the fact that Dillman may have been 19 20 doing other bad things with his own investors does not --21 doesn't exculpate --MS. DIAMOND: I will not go into the entire Block Bits 22 I have read it. I know what it is. I know they had 23 many different schemes. I am not soliciting any of that from 24 25 this witness.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: This witness may not have foundation
 2
     for what Mr. Boyer signed off on or what -- so --
 3
              THE COURT: Well, we'll see. You can continue to make
 4
 5
     that objection, which is a different one than -- all right.
 6
     Let's see where it goes.
          (The following proceedings were heard in open court:)
 7
              THE COURT: You may proceed.
 8
              MS. DIAMOND: Do we have a question pending? Just so
 9
     I can find where we were. Thank you.
10
11
              THE COURT: You're asking the court reporter to read
     the question?
12
13
              MS. DIAMOND: If there was a question pending, yes.
     If not, I will ask a new question.
14
              THE COURT: It was a long time ago.
15
              MS. DIAMOND: It was. Not back in 2018, fortunately.
16
              THE COURT: Right.
17
              MS. DIAMOND: I can ask another question.
18
              THE COURT: Why don't you do that.
19
     BY MS. DIAMOND:
20
          Between the dates of January 10th and January 11th, 2018,
21
     Q.
     are you aware that there were emails sent to you that referred
22
     to the formation of Block Bits AML Holdings LLC?
23
          I'm aware of emails to that, yes.
24
```

And are you aware that some of those emails were sent to a

DIRECT / DIAMOND WEISSINGER -

1 man named Ben Boyer?

- Α. Yes.
- Did you ever meet Ben Boyer personally? 3 Q.
- No. 4 Α.

- 5 Did you ever talk to him on the phone? Q.
- I think once. 6 Α.
- And you do recognize that the signature pages, which have 7 Q.
- more than the two we have shown to you on Exhibit 2744, were 8
- Mr. Boyer's initial investment into Block Bits AML Holdings 9
- LLC? 10
- 11 I believe that's his signature.
- I would move -- oh, sorry. 12 MS. DIAMOND: Is it --
- 13 sorry.
- Mr. Weissman, in your business, are there times when you 14
- 15 see a signature page of a document alone, not connected to the
- 16 rest of the document?
- 17 It's very common when signing operating agreements, Α. Yeah.
- 18 which sometimes can be a hundred pages long, you just store the
- 19 signature page and the confirmation of the original operating
- 20 agreement.
- So looking -- if you were to look at the Block Bits 21 Q.
- 22 AML Holdings LLC agreement and look at the signature pages,
- 23 someone familiar with the agreement could understand that they
- match and the signature pages go with that agreement; is that 24
- 25 right?

**A.** That would be correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MS. DIAMOND: I would move Exhibits 2743 and 2744 into evidence.

MR. HIGHSMITH: I'm going to object. I don't think he's established the foundation. He spoke generally, but not about this specific document.

THE COURT: Well, I will admit 2744.

And what was the other number?

MS. DIAMOND: 2743. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Those are admitted.

(Trial Exhibits 2743 and 2744 received in evidence.)

- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, could we please see
- 13 Exhibit 3369 for the witness and the Court.
- 14 | Q. Do you see your name on the email that's displayed to you?
- 15 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And in the course of your business activities, did you
- 17 usually use an email that would display this name?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And was -- were emails that you received through this
- 20 email address records made at or near the time by or from
- 21 information transmitted by somebody with knowledge of the
- 22 | content?
- 23 A. Yes. I would assume so, yes.
- 24 Q. Do you keep your emails in the course of your regularly
- 25 | conducted activity for a business organization, occupation,

```
1 | calling, whether or not for profit?
```

- 2 **A.** What was the question? Do I keep emails?
- 3 **Q.** Yes.
- 4 A. Oh, yes. I -- this is a Gmail account, so it's stored in
- 5 | my Gmail account.
- 6 Q. And do you rely on the storage in your Gmail account to
- 7 | conduct your regularly -- your regular business activities?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 MS. DIAMOND: I'd like to move Exhibit 3369 into
- 10 | evidence. The witness has identified it as an email that he
- 11 received.
- 12 MR. HIGHSMITH: No objection.
- 13 **THE COURT:** 3369 will be admitted.
- 14 (Trial Exhibit 3369 received in evidence.)
- MS. DIAMOND: May this be published to the jury?
- 16 THE COURT: It may.
- 17 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 18 Q. Is Ben Boyer included as a recipient on this email?
- 19 A. I do see his name there, yes.
- 20 Q. When was this email sent?
- 21 **A.** It's dated January 12th, 2018.
- 22 **Q.** And what does this email instruct the recipients?
- 23 **A.** This is an email, which is rather standard in type, for
- 24 doing a wire transfer for payments.
- 25 **Q.** And what was this a wire transfer for payments for?

- 1 **A.** It says AML Holdings.
- Q. And that would be the Block Bits AML Holdings LLC that we referred to just recently; correct?
  - A. Correct.

- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, if you could please scroll down to the address on Pierce Street in San Francisco and highlight that.
- 8 Q. Mr. Weissman, are you familiar where Mr. Dillman lived in 9 2018?
- 10 **A.** I believe it's that address.
- 11 Q. The address displayed connected to the bank information
- 12 for the wire transfer that was sent to Ben Boyer on
- 13 January 12th?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Jackson, you can take that down.
- 17 Thank you.
- Could you please display to the witness an exhibit marked 3376, and the Court and counsel. Thank you.
- 20 **Q.** Mr. Weissman, do you recognize this to be an email that you received and stored as part of the records for your
- 22 business or other organization or activity that you were doing
- 23 | with Mr. Dillman?
- 24 **A.** It has my email address on it, yes.
- 25 | Q. Were you sent this directly or were you copied, or in what

```
1 form were you given this email?
```

- 2 **A.** It is -- it has my email address listed as bcc, which
- 3 | would be a blind copy.
- 4 Q. Does that mean that the other recipients of the email
- 5 | would not know that you got it?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit 3376.
- 8 MR. HIGHSMITH: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: 3376 will be admitted.
- 10 (Trial Exhibit 3376 received in evidence.)
- 11 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 12 Q. Do you recall at some point in March being introduced to
- 13 | Marcus Andrade's attorney Mr. Fahy?
- 14 | A. I do remember a phone call with him, yes.
- 15 Q. And what was the purpose of the phone call from your
- 16 | perspective?
- 17 A. My recollection of it was that it was a phone call to have
- 18 | a better understanding, from a legal perspective, around
- 19 | AML BitCoin.
- 20 **Q.** That phone call took place on or about March 9th, 2018;
- 21 | isn't that right?
- 22 **A.** I -- I don't remember specifically, but I'm sure it's
- 23 | somewhere in that range.
- 24 MS. DIAMOND: Could we see -- one moment,
- 25 Your Honor -- Exhibit 3377, and if it could just be displayed

1 to the witness, not the Court.

- 2 Q. Mr. Weissman, let me know if this email helps to refresh
- 3 your memory about when you spoke to Mr. Fahy.
- 4 **A.** Yes. It has a date of March 9th, 2018.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 MS. DIAMOND: And now I would like to show the witness
- 7 and the Court and counsel, please, Mr. Jackson, Exhibit 3363.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize this form? And we can scroll through,
- 9 it's four or five pages, for you if you need.
- 10 A. It's a standard Form D filing with the SEC.
- 11 | Q. What is a standard Form D filed with the SEC?
- 12 **A.** So a Form D is filed -- whenever a company's taking in
- 13 | investor dollars using the Reg D exemption, a Form D is filed.
- 14 Q. And did you file this particular form for Block Bits
- 15 | AML Holdings LLC?
- 16 **A.** Yes, we did.
- 17 | Q. And does your name appear on the signature line of this
- 18 document?
- 19 A. It does.
- 20 MS. DIAMOND: And if we could have the witness please
- 21 | look at page 3 of this document.
- 22 Q. Does this document indicate the date of the Block Bits
- 23 AML Holdings first sale?
- 24 | A. It -- yes, it does.
- 25 Q. What is that date?

MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit Exhibit 3363.

MR. HIGHSMITH: No objection.

THE COURT: 3363 will be admitted.

(Trial Exhibit 3363 received in evidence.)

MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.

And one more. Mr. Jackson, if you could please display to the witness Exhibit 3381.

- Is this, Mr. Weissman, an email sent to you by Ben Boyer on April 29th, 2018?
- 11 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

And this is one of the emails that you received and kept 12

in the course of your work for -- with Mr. Dillman and his

- Block Bits companies? 14
- 15 Yes, it was sent to me.
- 16 MS. DIAMOND: Move to admit Exhibit 3381.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. Hearsay. 17
- MS. DIAMOND: It's his business record. It's a fact 18 that these things were stated. 19
- THE COURT: Well, it's an email that was sent to him. 20
- That doesn't make it a business record. 21
- MS. DIAMOND: Yes. We're using it for the fact that 22
- 23 Mr. Boyer was communicating with Mr. Dillman and Mr. Weissman
- about the subject of the email. We do not care if the subject 24
- 25 is true, Your Honor, just the fact that this email was sent to

Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS Document 628 Filed 03/23/25 Page 187 of 198 WEISSINGER - CROSS / these individuals, but not to Mr. Andrade. 1 THE COURT: Again, what's the relevance? If it's not 2 offered for the truth, what's the relevance of it? 3 MS. DIAMOND: Mr. Boyer's testimony was pretty 4 5 extensive, and he testified that there were things that he did with respect to purchases of AML BitCoin through Mr. Dillman's 6 7 company and not through Mr. Dillman's company that postdated this email. 8 And this goes to the state of mind of the difference, not 9 that we are proving the state of mind of other people not on 10 11 trial here, but Mr. Andrade was not included in this email, not

subject to these discussions, and the jury may find that relevant when the jury is trying to determine issues of intent.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to sustain the objection. This doesn't come in.

> MS. DIAMOND: Thank you for your time.

Thank you, Your Honor.

# **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Good afternoon, Mr. Weissman. 20 Q.
- So you liked the idea of AML BitCoin? 21
- I did originally, yes. 22 Α.
- It seemed like a good idea? 23 Q.
- It did originally, yes. 24 Α.
- You say "originally." Did your view change over time? 25 Q.

- 1 A. Well, yes.
- 2 **Q.** Why did it change over time?
- 3 A. Because it did not come to fruition, and I -- I and many
- 4 of my friends who I spoke to about this lost a lot of money.
- 5 **Q.** You invested \$10,000?
- 6 **A.** That sounds about right. I don't remember the exact
- 7 amount.
- 8 Q. You considered it an investment?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you get any money back?
- 11 **A.** No.
- 12 Q. You understood, at the time you invested, that you were
- 13 | investing in order to build an actual product that worked;
- 14 | correct?
- 15 **A.** That was my understanding, yes.
- 16 Q. So your understanding at the time you made the investment
- 17 | was that the product was not complete; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Did you know at the time that the sellers of AML BitCoin
- 20 were earning commissions from the owner of the product?
- 21 A. No, not at all.
- 22 **Q.** Is that relevant to you?
- 23 | A. It would have been if I -- I wish I had known that, yes.
- 24 | Q. Why do you wish you had known about commission payments?
- 25 **A.** Because they have to be disclosed if someone's getting

- 1 paid a commission on a sale.
- 2 | Q. In addition to having to be disclosed, as someone who buys
- 3 | a product, is the fact that a salesman's earning a commission,
- 4 | is that important to you?
- 5 **A.** I don't mind if someone's earning commission, but I do
- 6 | mind if I don't know that the person's earning commission
- 7 | because that would change the reason for, you know, what I'm --
- 8 | the entire sales process.
- 9 Q. Move the microphone closer to you, please.
- 10 **A.** Oh, I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. Sticking for just a moment on commissions --
- 12 **A.** Mm-hmm.
- 13 Q. -- would you want to know if someone was earning a
- 14 | 30 percent commission on a sale?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. How about a 50 percent commission?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. You met Mr. Andrade and Mr. Abramoff at least once; is
- 19 | that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** And Mr. Abramoff was with Mr. Andrade at the meeting?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 **Q.** It was your understanding at that meeting that
- 24 Mr. Abramoff was not connected to the AML BitCoin project?
- 25 | A. I did not know who he was. He was just someone sitting at

- 1 a meeting.
- 2 \ Q. Did you -- did he identify himself at the meeting?
- 3 **A.** I think he just introduced himself with his first name.
- 4 | Q. Did you know Jack Abramoff had multiple prior
- 5 | convictions --
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 Q. -- at that time?
- 8 A. No. I found that out after an FBI agent called me and
- 9 told that to me. I didn't know who that person was.
- 10 Q. Would you have been involved in a project if you had known
- 11 | that the CEO and founders, one of his key advisors was a felon?
- 12 A. Absolutely not.
- 13 **Q.** Why not?
- 14 A. Because I don't want to be affiliated with anybody who's a
- 15 | felon.
- 16 Q. Did Mr. -- did you get an update regarding the technology
- 17 at any point, the AML BitCoin technology?
- 18 A. I never received a formal update, no.
- 19 Q. Did Mr. Andrade tell you anything about the technology
- 20 during your meeting?
- 21 A. I don't recall anything specific about the technology.
- 22 Q. Do you recall learning about a Super Bowl advertisement?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 | Q. Who did you learn that from?
- 25 **A.** From Japheth Dillman.

- 1 Q. Did you ever learn that Mr. Andrade specifically hid from
- 2 Mr. Dillman that it was not a real Super Bowl plan but a
- 3 rejection campaign?
- 4 **A.** I did not know that.
- 5 Q. Is that significant to you?
- 6 MS. DIAMOND: Objection. Misstates the testimony. No
- 7 foundation.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 BY MR. HIGHSMITH:
- 10 Q. Is that a fact that would be significant to you?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** Why?
- 13 A. It just goes to transparency and accuracy.
- 14 Q. Do you know -- there were a lot of questions about
- 15 Mr. Boyer's wire. Do you recall those questions?
- 16 **A.** Oh, that were asked today? Yes.
- 17 | Q. Yeah. There were questions about January 12th, 2018. Do
- 18 | you recall that?
- 19 A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
- 20 \ Q. And I think you saw instructions to send Mr. Boyer's money
- 21 to Block Bits Capital. Did you recall seeing that?
- 22 **A.** I saw the wire instructions, yes.
- 23 | Q. Did you know that the very same day Mr. Boyer's money was
- 24 | sent to Block Bits Capital, it was sent to accounts controlled
- 25 by Marcus Andrade?

- 1 A. No, I did not know that.
- 2 MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.

# 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 4 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 5 Q. Mr. Weissman, the investment that you made for AML tokens,
- 6 AML BitCoin Tokens, was made through your shares of
- 7 AML Holdings; is that right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. So you paid money that went to Japheth Dillman. That was
- 10 | your connection to your investment in AML BitCoin; correct?
- 11 **A.** That is correct.
- 12 Q. You did not read Mr. Andrade's website; correct?
- 13 **A.** No, I did not.
- 14 Q. You did not read Mr. Andrade's private purchase contracts;
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. No, I did not.
- 17 | Q. And you were not told that Japheth Dillman and David Mata
- 18 received commissions from Mr. Andrade for any token purchasers
- 19 | that they brought to his ICO, were you?
- 20 A. No, I did not. No, I did not.
- 21 **Q.** And did you ever pay money to the NAC Foundation?
- 22 A. I did not.
- 23 MS. DIAMOND: Thank you.
- 24 Nothing further.
- 25 THE COURT: You may step down.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Witness excused.) 2 THE COURT: I take it, do you have any further 3 witnesses for the day, or are we done? 4 5 MS. DIAMOND: We do not have any witness for the last ten minutes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, we have 7 reached the end of the week. There we go. 8 You're going to roll your eyes, I know, but I have to say 9 these things because they're important. Remember, do not 10 11 discuss this amongst yourselves or with anyone else. Do not do any research. Do nothing associated with this case. 12 saw anything about this case, turn it away and do other things. 13 Have a wonderful weekend. I do want to give you the 14 15 update. We are, I think, still on schedule, so all is well. 16 Remember also, for your planning purposes, next week 17 Thursday and Friday we will not be in session. So remember 18

that as you're planning for your week.

And have a wonderful weekend, and I'll see you Monday at 8:30. You've been great about being here ready to go, and it makes life so much easier for all of us. So have a great weekend.

(Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: We're out of the presence of the jury.

First question is the witnesses for Monday.

19

20

21

22

23

24

I expect the main witness on Monday will 1 MR. SHEPARD: be Eric Min. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. SHEPARD: We have some other potential witnesses 4 that I do not know whether we will call, but in case we do, 5 they would be Eric Olsen and Raul Torres. 6 THE COURT: And Torres is Raul Torres? Okay. 7 MR. SHEPARD: Correct. 8 THE COURT: Okay. You think that would get us through 9 the day, in any event? 10 11 MR. SHEPARD: That would be my expectation, yes. And then our expectation would be Dr. Armstrong, the 12 13 forensic neuropsychologist, for Tuesday. And hard to know exactly, but that might be the end, 14 15 obviously subject to Mr. Andrade's decision on whether he would 16 want to take the stand or not. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. HIGHSMITH: Should we be prepared to do 18 Mr. Armstrong on Monday if Mr. Olsen and Mr. Torres do not end 19 up being called? 20 MR. SHEPARD: Yeah, I just don't know his availability 21 at this point. 22 23 MS. DIAMOND: He's rearranged patients to be here Tuesday, but he's seeing some patients in the morning on 24 25 Tuesday and will be --

1 MR. SHEPARD: You mean Monday. MS. DIAMOND: I'm sorry. Monday. And will be 2 traveling Monday afternoon. 3 Okay. Well, on the representation that THE COURT: 4 5 we're heading towards the end, then we're going to have rebuttal, I understand. With Thursday-Friday not being 6 available, we're almost, under any scenario, going into the 7 following week in one form or another; but if it can be going 8 into the following week for closings, that would be excellent. 9 MR. SHEPARD: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: So we can do that, shooting for Monday or Tuesday for the closings. 12 MR. SHEPARD: If would be nice if the Government could 13 do its rebuttal case on Wednesday, assuming we finish on 14 15 Tuesday, and then we could do instructions and closings on 16 Monday --17 THE COURT: Right. MR. SHEPARD: -- or so, something like that. 18 THE COURT: Well, we'll see. 19 What it does cause me to think is that next week, probably 20 maybe Tuesday, we should have our conference about the 21 instructions. 22 You might go back and look. Some of the instructions that 23

are not in dispute, nonetheless, have a lot of brackets that

need to be filled in because we've got witnesses that have past

24

conviction. And there are other things that it's not -- it's just they're brackets because we hadn't gotten to the point of knowing exactly who's going to testify. And the experts, which experts' names to put in there. So you might help me with doing some revision of the basics so we can kind of just get those out of the way.

When we're talking about instructions, it does occur to me

When we're talking about instructions, it does occur to me that one way possibly to navigate this question, for want of a better way to phrase it, we can do it as the abbreviation "the Lindsay problem" or "the Lindsay issue" because I suspect we're going to have some issues floating out there.

The Government could propose -- I'm not saying I would give it necessarily but -- an instruction to make clear that it is not a defense that victims might get paid or those issues. And then you wouldn't be -- it might be a clearer path that the defense can go into the areas that they want to about intent without -- with the knowledge that the jury's going to be instructed that that whole area is, to the extent it talks about what happens down the line with the technology and the like, that it's -- that part is not a defense. It might be that is one way to go.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. SHEPARD: I don't think that's necessary,

Your Honor. I assure the Court, I'm not going to argue that

victims were --1 THE COURT: Well, I know. 2 MR. SHEPARD: Our focus on that language relates to 3 Mr. Andrade's understanding and intent. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, and I understand you can do that, but I think it's going to be hard to walk that line. So maybe 6 7 you can do it, and I accept your representation that you think that you'll be able to do that. I'm just -- I think it's going 8 to be a tough divide, to some extent. So, anyway... 9 Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: 11 I'm sorry to hold the party. Is there any reason we should be planning that it's going to move faster next week 12 than we've just discussed and we might get to closing on 13 Wednesday, or is that -- it's tough because if we don't have --14 15 if we only have one witness Monday --16 THE COURT: I'll tell you one of my concerns about 17 that --MR. HIGHSMITH: Yeah. 18 -- is then I'm gone Thursday-Friday. 19 THE COURT: 20 MR. HIGHSMITH: Yeah. THE COURT: And that doesn't preclude my asking one of 21 my colleagues to take the verdict or deal with questions, but 22 23 this is a complicated enough case that I would rather be available. 24

And so if we did that, I would have to tell them, "Okay.

```
You've heard all this, but don't come and start deliberation
 1
     until Monday." I don't -- I don't like that.
 2
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
 5
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Got it.
          Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. SHEPARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Have a good weekend.
 8
              MR. CHOU:
                         Thank you.
 9
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 1:27 p.m.)
10
                                ---000---
11
12
13
                         CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
              I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
14
15
     from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
16
17
     DATE:
            Saturday, March 1, 2025
18
19
20
                             ana Dub
21
22
23
                  Ana Dub, RDR, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CRG, CCG
               CSR No. 7445, Official United States Reporter
24
25
```